

To whom it may concern,

I am appalled by the cut of only \$250 million of the over \$5 Billion asked for. The Oeb with intervenors again essentially rubber stamping this filing. This is utterly disgusting and disgraceful!!!

My reasons:

1. Outrages executive compensation
2. Reliability indices that demonstrate over investment
3. Lack of demonstrating true effort for productivity gains
4. Asset condition assessment that is missing key asset data :
  - underground cable
  - overhead conductor
5. Biased consultants that support THESL rate filing, where consultants are paid to devise narratives supporting what THESL wants
6. outrages narrative of net zero strategy that is being pushed which will hurt low income customers and push the agenda to push large investment needs which only benefit a small cabal of individuals
7. No Business Cases were presented for any of major portions of THESL investment requirements. Where is the NPV calculations?  
As an example if underground investment had a better NPV then Overhead investment then why are the rates the same for all customers in both categories?

Side note:

I met a engineer recently who works at Toronto hydro who told me that he struggles to create projects to meet the demands of a specific portfolio due to lack of condition data.

This suggests that investment targets are driven top down, not from engineers. This should be investigated.