CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18A2 Battery Bank Replacement
London	WWART SHEET	rioject Name.	
Hydro	Hydro SYSTEM RENEWAL		Jan-18
		In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title:	Battery Bank Replacement Program		
Supporting Reference Material:	2017 Substation Assessment Report		
Description:	Battery banks installed in substations p and relays during a power failure. The years. Battery banks are replaced base will continue 2018 through 2021.	provide DC power to enable batteries are inspected ann ed on age and condition. Th	the operation of circuit breakers ually and tested once every four his program to replace battery banks
PRIMARY DRIVER	: Reliability	COST ES	TIMATE - BY YEAR
OTHER DRIVERS:	Safety	CC 2012 \$15, 2013 \$11, 2014 \$11, 2015 \$12,	AREA/SCOPE 435 427 019 065
CUSTOMERS IMPACTED:	650	2016 \$13 2017 \$11 2018 \$15 2019 \$15	,518 ,356 One Substation ,000 One Substation ,000
OEB CAPITAL REP A2 - B	PORTING: attery Bank Replacements	2020 \$15 2021 \$15	,000 ,000
		TOTAL COST EST	MATE: \$134,820
LH PROJECT DRIV	ER: REL	LH SECTION #	110

		Due to et Neverla em		
		Project Number:	18A2	
SUMMARY SHEET	SUMMARY SHEET		Battery Bank Replacement	
Hydro SYSTEM RENEWAL		Start Date:	Jan-18	
		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Battery Bank Replacement	t Program			
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Risks to completion successfully exect labour) is sufficient	on are minir cuted in eac nt to comple	mal. This project is part of h of the past five years. The te this project.	a program that has been he availability of resources (internal	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:				
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability		Replacing battery banks enhances the reliability of protection relays, which ensures that faults are addressed in a manner that minimizes customer interruptions.		
Safety	Replacir operate	Replacing battery banks ensures that DC power will be available to operate feeder protections, which are required to isolate faults.		
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not App	Not Applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Not App	Not Applicable		
Economic Development	Not App	Not Applicable		
Environmental Benefits	Not App	licable		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:	- <u>I</u>			
Slight reduction by reducing the probability of unpl failures through planned replacement.	anned	atri.		
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:		Promo		
Do nothing, however this alternative was rejected	since it is		A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER	
cost of the program.	eign the	A C	ala ta	
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:			A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability		ALLES	STATES STATES	
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:		The sea		
Customers were not directly engaged regarding th but recent surveys indicate customers value impro- reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).	is project, ovements to			



SYSTEM RENEWAL

Project Number:

Project Name: Start Date:

In-Service Date:

18A2 Battery Bank Replacement Jan-18 Dec-18

Project Title:

Battery Bank Replacement Program

Additional Information:

Not Applicable

Prepared By:	Ismail Sheikh, P.Eng. Distribution Engineer
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. V.P. Engineering & Operations

CAP	TAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18A3	
	IMARY SHEET	Project Name:	Substatio	n RTU Standardization
London Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18	
SYS1	TEM RENEWAL	In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title:	Substation RTU Standarization Program	n		
Supporting Reference Material:	2013 Substation Assessment Report			
Description:	London Hydro trialed a number of differ standard design in 2011. Many of the tr London Hydro has insufficient experien To ensure that London Hydro is able to the customers, a new program was dev through 2021 a program of RTU replac	rent substation remote t ial RTUs are no longer ce or spare component continuously support S veloped to replace all un ements will carry on at	terminal units (RT supported by the is to sustain them SCADA to enhanc nique substation l various substatio	Us) before selecting a manufacturer and ee system reliability for RTUs. From 2018 ns.
PRIMARY DRIVER:	Interoperability	соѕт	ESTIMATE - B	Y YEAR
			COST	AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVERS:	Efficiency	2012	\$0	
	Reliability	2013	\$0 \$0	
	Customer Value	2014	\$U	
		2015	\$30,000	2 Substations
CUSTOMERS		2016	\$38,050	2 Substations
IMPACTED:	2	2017	\$30,000	2 Substations
	_	2018	\$80,000	2 Substations
		2019	\$80,000	2 Substations
OEB CAPITAL REPO	ORTING:	2020	\$80,000	2 Substations
		2021	\$80,000	2 Substations
	A9 - Other			
		TOTAL COST E	STIMATE:	\$418,050
LH PROJECT DRIVE	R: REL	LH SECTION #		110

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18A3	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Substation RTU Standardization	
			Jan-18	
SYSTEM RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Substation RTU Standariza	tion Progra	m		
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Risks to completion executed in each of to complete this pr	on are minir of the past ⁻ roject.	nal. This project is part of a five years. The availability of	program that has been successfully f resources (internal labour) is sufficient	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:				
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability due to g		zing RTUs generates efficie /, while increasing reliabiliity reater staff familiarity with th	ncies in employee training and spare due to reduced repair times achieved e equipment.	
Safety	Not Appl	blicable		
Cyber-Security, Privacy	New equ	equipment		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	The RTL practices	RTUs will be secured in accordance with London Hydro's cyber security ces.		
Economic Development	Not Appl	Applicable		
Environmental Benefits	Not Appl	icable		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:			A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OF THE	
Slight reduction as training costs decrease due to e standardization.	equipment			
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:			-	
Do nothing, however this alternative was rejected since it does not address the need to be able to reliably maintain the SCADA system.		- [
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:				
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability				
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:				
Customers were not directly engaged regarding this but recent surveys indicate customers value improv reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Eng	s project, /ements in gagement).			

CAPITAL PROJECT

SUMMARY SHEET

SYSTEM RENEWAL

Project Number: Project Name:

18A3

Substation RTU Standardization

Start Date: In-Service Date: Jan-18

te: Dec-18

Project Title: Substation RTU

Substation RTU Standarization Program

Additional Information:

Not Applicable

London Hydro

Prepared By:	Ismail Sheikh, P.Eng. Distribution Engineer
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. V.P. Engineering & Operations

CAPIT	AL PROJECT	Project Number:	18A4		
SUMI	MARY SHEET	Project Name:	Nelson TS Backup Transformation		
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18		
		In-Service Date:	Dec-18		
Project Title: a	nstallation of Step-Down Transformer a t SUB-11 for Nelson TS Backup	t SUB-8 and provisioning fo	r a Mobile Unit Substation (MUS)		
Supporting L Reference L Material: ^C	London Downtown Long Term 27.6 kV Supply and 13.8 kV Decommissioning Strategy London Downtown - 13.8 kV/27.6 kV Nelson TS - 5 Year Plan QSI: Monthly Reliability Performance Overview - August 2017				
Description: L si tr D D h si si si si si si si si si	London Downtown is presently supplied mainly through the older 13.8 kV Non-Network and Network systems via Nelson Transformer Station (TS). Nelson TS is a double DESN with the T1/T2 supplying the 3-wire Network system and the T3/T4 supplying the 4-wire Non-Network system. Hydro One is rebuilding the T1/T2 DESN to 27.6 kV standards and this new supply is expected to be in service by December 2018 while the T3/T4 DESN will be eliminated by end of 2020. Due to increased failures of the T3/T4 DESN supply, the likelihood of a complete T3/T4 DESN outage has increased. The impact of a T3/T4 DESN outage would be significant and would affect the power supply to nearly 2,000 customers in the service territory for Nelson TS, leaving over 1,000 customers stranded for days or weeks. This new project involves the installation of a backup stepdown transformer at SUB-8 and making a provision for a Mobile Unit Substation (MUS) at SUB-11 in order to resupply the 13.8 kV downtown load from the 27.6 kV system. Approximately 9 MVAs transformation combined from these two substation upgrades would be available for backing up existing 13.8 kV non-network load that relies solely on the T3/T4 bus.				
PRIMARY DRIVER:	Reliability	COST EST	ΙΜΔΤΕ - ΒΥ ΥΕΔΒ		
		COS	T AREA/SCOPE		
OTHER DRIVERS:	Econ. Dev.	2012			
	Customer Value	2013			
		2014			
		2015			
		2016			
INFACTED.	2,000	2017 2018 \$215 (000 2 Substation ungrades		
		2010			
	 PTING:	2019			
OEB CAPITAL REPO	RTING:	2020			
		TOTAL COST ESTIN	IATE: \$215,000		
LH PROJECT DRIVER	REL	LH SECTION #	110		

6	CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18A4	
London	SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Nelson TS Backup Transformation	
Hydro			Start Date:	Jan-18	
			In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Installation of Step-Down Transformed (MUS) at SUB-11 for Nelson TS Back			at SUB-8 and provisioning tup	for a Mobile Unit Substation	
Risks to Co Mitigation P	mpletion & Plan: Risks to completion to complete this plane	mal. The availability of reso	urces (internal labour) is sufficient		
EVALUATIC	ON OF OUTCOMES:				
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability			rnate supplies will increase s r outage durations during sy	system reliability and reduce stem contingency scenarios.	
Safety Not		Not App	Not Applicable		
Cyber-Security, Privacy		Not App	Not Applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability for		This pro for incre	This project will permit the resupply of Nelson TS load via Talbot TS for increased reliability and operational flexibility during contingencies.		
	Economic Development	An alter Nelson - continge	An alternate power source ensures businesses stay operational in the Nelson TS service territory which includes downtown during contingencies.		
	Environmental Benefits	Not App	licable		
ІМРАСТ ТО	O&M COSTS:				
Negligible.	Negligible.		4MVA Transformer Terminations		
ALTERNAT	VES CONSIDERED:				
Do nothing; risk it would	however, this alternative was rejected pose to supply reliability.	due to the		2 1 V V V	
LINK TO ST	RATEGIC PLAN:				
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability					
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: Customers were not directly engaged regarding this project, but recent surveys indicate customers value improvements to reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).					



Project Number:

Project Name:

SYSTEM RENEWAL

Start Date: In-Service Date: 18A4 Nelson TS Backup Transformation Jan-18

Dec-18

Project Title:

Installation of Step-Down Transformer at SUB-8 and provisioning for a Mobile Unit Substation (MUS) at SUB-11 for Nelson TS Backup

Additional Information:



Prepared By:

Ismail Sheikh, P.Eng. Distribution Engineer

Approved By:

William Milroy, P.Eng. V.P. Engineering & Operations

	ITAL PROJECT	Project Number: Project Name:	18A5 Station Insulator Replacement
Hydro SYS	TEM RENEWAL	Start Date: In-Service Date:	Jan-18 Dec-18
Project Title:	Replacement of Porcelain Station Insu	lators at Substations	
Supporting Reference Material:	2017 Substation Assessment Report		
Description:	Porcelain insulators used on the struct failure similar to known issues with the This budget item will deal with porcela measure of enhancing reliability and st	ures inside some of London H line post insulators used on t in insulators installed inside m afety.	Hydro's substations are prone to the overhead distribution system. nunicipal substations as a
PRIMARY DRIVER	Reliability		IMATE - BY YEAR
		COSTEST	T AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVERS:	Safety Customer Value	2012 2013 2014 2015	
CUSTOMERS	Various	2016 2017 2018 \$50,0 2019	00 One Substation
UEB CAPITAL REF	VORTING:	2020 2021	
		TOTAL COST ESTIM	IATE: \$50,000
LH PROJECT DRIV	ER: REL	LH SECTION #	110

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number: 18A5		
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Station Insulator Replacement	
		Start Date:	Jan-18	
STSTEW RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Replacement of Porcelain S	Station Insu	ulators at Substations		
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Risks to completion to complete this pr	n are minii oject.	mal. The availability of res	ources (internal labour) is sufficient	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:				
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability ensure outages		placing porcelain insulators prone to failure at the substations will sure power is distributed reliably to customers and will reduce ages that can be avoided.		
Safety i Safety system mode ir		fety is the number one factor considered in addressing overhead stem weaknesses since live contact can occur due to the failure ode in some equipment.		
Cyber-Security, Privacy Not app		ot applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability Not app		lot applicable		
Economic Development	Improve as a plac	mproved reliability will contribute to overall attractiveness of London as a place to live and do business.		
Environmental Benefits	Not appl	licable		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:				
Slight reduction by reducing the probability of unpla failures through planned replacement.	anned	<u>k</u>		
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Do nothing, however this alternative was rejected s believed that the costs of unplanned failures outwe cost of the program.	ince it is igh the			
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:				
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability				
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:		*		
Customers were not directly engaged regarding this	s project,			
reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer				
Engagement).				



18A5 Station Insulator Replacement Jan-18 Dec-18

Project Title: Replacement of Porcelain Station Insulators at Substations

Additional Information:

Not Applicable

Prepared By:	Ismail Sheikh, P.Eng.
	Distribution Engineer
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng.
••••	V.P. Engineering
	& Operations

	TIAL	PROJECT	Project Nur	nber: 1	8B1	
SU	MMAR	Y SHEET	Project Nar	ne: C	able Silicone Injection	
London Hydro			Start Date:	J	an-18	
SYS	STEM F	RENEWAL	In-Service I	Date: D	ec-18	
Project Title:	Silicone	Injection of Underground C	able			
Supporting Reference Material:	Rehabili SPOOR Electric	Rehabilitation of Aging Underground Residential Distribution System: Addendum 2017 SPOORE Analysis - Methodology and Outcome Electric Distribution System Asset Sustainment Plan: 2015-2029 (2014)				
Description:	Silicone service. silicone 22 km; a analysis is based transforr The cable cable tha reallocat accomod Four sub cable to The reha transforr standarc flexibility	injection technology increas This project item covers the injection in four (4) subdivis III of the cable is 25+ years which encompasses reliab on a multi-year performance mers, and the presence of the le length addressed in this the at is outlined in the Asset Su- e resources to address the date Dundas Place project. Addivisions were selected for be rehabilitated. Abilitation will also include a mers (including live front tra I. The new transformers will y, and are expected to reduce	ses the lifespan of polymeric cable by adding another 40 years of rehabilitation of medium-voltage polymeric cable by means of ions serviced at 27.6 kV. The total cable length is estimated to be old. These subdivisions were selected using the SPOORE ility, safety, risk and aging of the underground cable. The analysis window which takes into account age and failures of cables and ransformer leakers. Dudget item is below the target of 46 km per year of rehabilitated ustainment Plan. The reduction in budget is temporary in order to increased scope and spending in Project 18C3 and 18F3 to silicone injection part of this budget item for a total of 22 km of nticipated replacement of 15 single-phase padmounted nsformers) that are deteriorated, leaking, or do not meet today's be equipped with dual load break switches that provide operation ce downtime for customers by allowing more effective switching.			
PRIMARY DRIVER	R:	Reliability		COST ESTIM	ATE - BY YEAR	
OTHER DRIVERS		Efficiency Customer Value Reliability	2012 2013 2014 2015	COST \$792,460 \$1,847,897 \$2,297,219 \$1,937,060	AREA/SCOPE 2 Subdivisions 5 Subdivisions 5 Subdivisions 8 Subdivisions	
CUSTOMERS	;		2016	\$2,370.774	4 Subdivisions	
IMPACTED:		Approximately	2017	\$2,553,843	6 Subdivisions	
		1,500 Customers	2018	\$1,440,000	4 Subdivisions	
			2019	\$1,910,000	4 Subdivisions	
OEB	CAPITAL	REPORTING:	2020	\$2,796,107	6 Subdivisions	
			2021	\$3,455,733	6 Subdivisions	
B1: Silicone	Injection of	of Underground Cable				
	,	J C	TOTAL CO	OST ESTIMATE	\$21,401,093	
LH PROJECT DRI	VER:	REL		N #	145	
l						

6	CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18B1
1	SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Cable Silicone Injection
London Hydro			Start Date:	Jan-18
	SYSTEM RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Ti	tle: Silicone Injection of Underg	round Cab	le	
Risks to C Mitigation	Completion & Plan: Resource availabi plan includes a mu meetings with eng	lity (interna ulti-year cc ineering a	al and contract) is the bigg ontract with external resound operations.	gest risk to completion. Mitigation rces and regular coordination
EVALUAT	ION OF OUTCOMES:			
Effici	ency, Customer Value, Reliability	Cable ir replacer cable fa service.	jection has been found to ment. Reliability will impro ilures. At the end, custom	be more economical than cable ve with fewer outages caused by ers will receive better, reliable
	Safety	Impact t handling	o safety is minimal with a g cables and other equipm	slight decrease in risk to workers nent.
	Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not app	licable	
	Co-ordination, Interoperability	Cable injection is a widely used solution for rejuvenating aging cable among utilities.		
	Economic Development	Improve as a pla	ed reliability will contribute ce to live and do business	to overall attractiveness of London s.
	Environmental Benefits	Silicone compou hazards	injection is environmenta nds are not flammable an	l friendly solution; the treatment d do not pose an environmental
ІМРАСТ Т	O O&M COSTS:	<u>.</u>		
Annual op reduction	perating and maintenance costs will have due to fewer outages related to cable fail	a slight ures.		
ALTERN Complete especially boring is r	ATIVES CONSIDERED: replacement of cables is more expensive if the cables are direct buried and directi required to install ducts.	e, onal	HOL	CLOSED

LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability

CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:

Customers were not directly contacted regarding this project but recent surveys indicate that customers value improvements in reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).





SYSTEM RENEWAL

Project Number: Project Name: Start Date: In-Service Date:

18B1 Cable Silicone Injection Jan-18

Dec-18

Project Title:

Silicone Injection of Underground Cable

Additional Information:



CAPI1	AL PROJECT	Project Num	18 18	B2
SUMI	MARY SHEET	Project Nam	e: Su	bdivision Rehabilitation
Hydro		Start Date:	.la	n-18
SYST	EM RENEWAL	In-Service D	ato.	40
				ec-18
Project Title: S	ubdivision Conversions / Rebuilds with	Silicone Injecti	on	
Supporting R Reference S Material: E	ehabilitation of Aging Underground Re POORE Analysis - Methodology and C lectric Distribution System Asset Susta	sidential Distrib outcome inment Plan: 20	ution System: Ac	dendum 2017
Description: T si p ⁱ	he selection was based on the compre uch as reliability, safety, risk and aging erformance window.	hensive SPOOI of underground	RE analysis whic I cable. The anal	n encompasses elements /sis is based on a multi-year
PRIMARY DRIVER:	Reliability			
		1	COST ESTIMA	TE - BY YEAR
			COST	AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVERS:	Efficiency	2012	\$2,051,900	3 Subdivisions
	Customer Value	2013	\$1,830,355	2 Subdivisions
		2014	\$1,014,866	4 Subdivisions
		2015	\$1,302,031	1 Subdivision (deferred)
CUSTOMERS		2016	\$1,050,862	1 Subdivision
IMPACTED:	Approximately	2017	\$31,639	
	100 customers	2018	\$70,000	1 Subdivision
		2019	\$1,334,000	1 Subdivision
OEB CAPITAL REPOI	RTING:	2020	\$0	1 Subdivision
	cienc (2021	\$780,000	2 Subdivisions
B2: Subdivision Conver Rebuilds with Silicone I	sions / niection			
	·y·· z ··	TOTAL CO	ST ESTIMATE:	\$9,465,653
LH PROJECT DRIVER	: REL		l #	145

CAPITAL PROJECT SUMMARY SHEET SYSTEM RENEWAL		Project Number: Project Name: Start Date: In-Service Date:	18B2 Subdivision Rehabilitation Jan-18 Dec-18	
Project Title: Subdivision Conversions / R	ebuilds wi	th Silicone Injection		
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Resource availabi plan includes a mu meetings with eng	lity (interna ulti-year co ineering ar	Il and contract) is the bigg ntract with external resound operations.	gest risk to completion. Mitigation rces and regular co-ordination	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	Cable re rated cal 27.6 kV reliability Also, wh due to th result in	placement is used only to bles and silicone injection rated cable. By installing will improve with fewer o en converted to 27.6 kV, he availability of back up s better service to custome	o replace the existing 5 kV and 15 kV is used to rejuvenate the existing new cable/injecting the existing, outages caused by cable failure. outages will be shorter in duration supplies for the 27.6 kV. This will ers.	
Safety	Impact to handling	o safety is minimal with a cables and other equipm	slight decrease in risk to workers nent.	
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not appl	Not applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Not appl	Not applicable		
Economic Development	Improve as a plac	Improved reliability will contribute to overall attractiveness of London as a place to live and do business.		
Environmental Benefits	Not appl	icable		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:				
Annual operating and maintenance costs will have reduction due to fewer outages related to cable faile	a slight ures.			
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:				
Not applicable		Halle		
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN: Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: Customers were not directly contacted regarding th but recent surveys indicate that customers value improvements in reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2 Customer Engagement)	is project 2.4			
Customer Engagement).				



SYSTEM RENEWAL

Project Number:18B2Project Name:Subdivision RehabilitationStart Date:Jan-18In-Service Date:Dec-18

Project Title:

Subdivision Conversions / Rebuilds with Silicone Injection

Additional Information:

Sun Valley (4.16 kV Supply)

Sun Valley is a very small subdivision located west of Wonderland Road, nested between Riverside Drive and Thames River. Approximately 100 customers are supplied via 0.85 km of underground primary cable that has been in service for 40+ years. The cable is rated 28 kV but energized at 4.16 kV. The cable will be treated with silicone injection and then re-energized. There are four (4) single-phase padmounted transformers in Sun Valley, of which 3 are live-fronts; all transformers will be replaced. The area will have one new section of primary cable installed to add an existing radial transformer to the loop.



Prepared By:

Rodney Doyle, P.Eng. Senior Distribution Engineer

Approved By:

William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations

SL	PITAL	PROJECT	Project Numbe Project Name:	r: 18B3 Replac	cement/Removals of SE's
London Hydro SY	STEM	RENEWAL	Start Date: In-Service Date	Jan-18 : Dec-18	3
Project Title:	Replac	ement of Air Insulated Section	nalizing Enclosures		
Supporting Reference Material:	Distribu service Electric 2016 C	ution Reliability Report: Perfo 27.6 kV Three-Phase Air Ins Distribution System Asset So Quality of Supply Report	rmance Review and a ulated Sectionalizing E ustainment Plan: 2015	New Perspective Enclosures (2006) -2029 (2014)	for In-
Description:	Earlier research and analysis into the failures of air insulated switching enclosures on the 27.6 kl system led to the internal publication of an in-depth report at London Hydro in 2006. The findings recommendations from that report have helped with targeting the elimination of the most prone-th failure units. The work conducted over more than ten years has shown a remarkable positive implies in performance and failures have dropped. From the time the higher-risk units started to be chan out (2006) to-date, more than 80% of the units have been addressed either by elimination or replacement with a Load Center (LC). Although London Hydro's plan is to continue to address, on average, 6-8 SE's every year, the reduction in this budget section is temporary in order to reallocate resources to address the incress cope and spending in Projects 18C3 and 18F3 to accomodate Dundas Place project. London H will return to its 6-8 SE's per year in 2020. At this pace, all the three-phase SE's remaining in ser would be addressed in the following 4-5 years.				psures on the 27.6 kV in 2006. The findings and of the most prone-to- narkable positive impact is started to be changed by elimination or 's every year, the to address the increased are project. London Hydro E's remaining in service
PRIMARY DRIVE	R:	Reliability	CO	ST ESTIMATE ·	BYYEAR
PRIMARY DRIVE	R:	Reliability		ST ESTIMATE - COST	BY YEAR
PRIMARY DRIVE	R:	Reliability	CO 2012	ST ESTIMATE - COST \$492,254	• BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 10 units
PRIMARY DRIVE	R:	Reliability Safety Customer Value	CO 2012 2013	ST ESTIMATE - COST \$492,254 \$512,101	• BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 10 units 9 units
PRIMARY DRIVE	R:	Reliability Safety Customer Value Efficiency	CO 2012 2013 2014	ST ESTIMATE - COST \$492,254 \$512,101 \$350,101	• BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 10 units 9 units 6 units
PRIMARY DRIVE	R:	Reliability Safety Customer Value Efficiency	CO 2012 2013 2014 2015	ST ESTIMATE • COST \$492,254 \$512,101 \$350,101 \$219,588	• BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 10 units 9 units 6 units 2 units
PRIMARY DRIVE	R:	Reliability Safety Customer Value Efficiency	CO 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	ST ESTIMATE - COST \$492,254 \$512,101 \$350,101 \$219,588 \$258,757	• BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 10 units 9 units 6 units 2 units 11 units
PRIMARY DRIVE OTHER DRIVERS	R:	Reliability Safety Customer Value Efficiency Approximately	CO 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017	ST ESTIMATE • COST \$492,254 \$512,101 \$350,101 \$219,588 \$258,757 \$358,480	• BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 10 units 9 units 6 units 2 units 11 units 4 units
PRIMARY DRIVE	R:	Reliability Safety Customer Value Efficiency Approximately 1,000 Customers	CO 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	ST ESTIMATE - COST \$492,254 \$512,101 \$350,101 \$219,588 \$258,757 \$358,480 \$180,000	• BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 10 units 9 units 6 units 2 units 11 units 4 units 1 unit
PRIMARY DRIVE	R:	Reliability Safety Customer Value Efficiency Approximately 1,000 Customers	CO 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019	ST ESTIMATE • COST \$492,254 \$512,101 \$350,101 \$219,588 \$258,757 \$358,480 \$180,000 \$689,500	• BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 10 units 9 units 6 units 2 units 11 units 4 units 1 unit 10 units
PRIMARY DRIVE OTHER DRIVERS CUSTOMERS IMPACTED: OEB CAPITAL RE	R:	Reliability Safety Customer Value Efficiency Approximately 1,000 Customers	CO 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020	ST ESTIMATE • COST \$492,254 \$512,101 \$350,101 \$219,588 \$258,757 \$358,480 \$180,000 \$689,500 \$636,000	• BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 10 units 9 units 6 units 2 units 11 units 4 units 1 unit 10 units 9 units 9 units
PRIMARY DRIVE OTHER DRIVER CUSTOMER IMPACTED	R:	Reliability Safety Customer Value Efficiency Approximately 1,000 Customers	CO 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021	ST ESTIMATE - COST \$492,254 \$512,101 \$350,101 \$219,588 \$258,757 \$358,480 \$180,000 \$689,500 \$636,000 \$99,500	• BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 10 units 9 units 6 units 2 units 11 units 4 units 1 unit 10 units 9 units 3 units
PRIMARY DRIVE OTHER DRIVERS CUSTOMERS IMPACTEDS OEB CAPITAL RE		Reliability Safety Customer Value Efficiency Approximately 1,000 Customers	CO 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021	ST ESTIMATE • COST \$492,254 \$512,101 \$350,101 \$219,588 \$258,757 \$358,480 \$180,000 \$689,500 \$636,000 \$99,500	• BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 10 units 9 units 6 units 2 units 11 units 4 units 1 unit 10 units 9 units 3 units
PRIMARY DRIVE OTHER DRIVERS CUSTOMERS IMPACTED: OEB CAPITAL RI B3 -	R:	Reliability Safety Customer Value Efficiency Approximately 1,000 Customers IG: Air Insulated SE's	CO 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 TOTAL COST	ST ESTIMATE - COST \$492,254 \$512,101 \$350,101 \$219,588 \$258,757 \$358,480 \$180,000 \$689,500 \$636,000 \$99,500 S636,000 \$99,500 S636,000 S99,500	• BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 10 units 9 units 6 units 2 units 11 units 4 units 1 unit 10 units 9 units 3 units 3 units

6	CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18B3
	SUMMARY SHEET SYSTEM RENEWAL		Project Name:	Replacement/Removals of SE's
London Hydro			Start Date:	Jan-18
			In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Tit	e: Replacement of Air Insulate	ed Sectiona	alizing Enclosures	
Risks to C Mitigation	ompletion & Risks to completion Plan: executed in each or is sufficient to completion	on are low. of the past oplete this p	This project is part of a pro ten years. The availability project.	ogram that has been successfully of resources (internal and contract)
EVALUATI	ON OF OUTCOMES:			
Efficie	ncy, Customer Value, Reliability	The rem (SE) with failures. supply.	noval or replacement of air h Load Centers (LC) will le It is expected that custom	insulated switching enclosures ad to fewer outages caused by SE ers will receive a more reliable
	Safety	Replacir dielectric system experier	ng air-insulated switching e c load centers (dead-front) with a considerable decrea nced.	enclosures (live-front) with solid will have a positive impact to the se in the risk of flashovers
	Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability		Load Centers became London Hydro standard for distribution switchgear (200A and 600A).		
	Economic Development	Improve as a pla	d reliability will contribute t ce to live and do business.	o overall attractiveness of London
	Environmental Benefits	Not appl	licable	
IMPACT TO	O O&M COSTS:			
Annual operation of	erating and maintenance costs will have lue to fewer outages as a result of SE fa	a slight ilures.		
ALTERNA	TIVES CONSIDERED:			
Not applica	able			
LINK TO S	TRATEGIC PLAN:			
Section 6.2	2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability			
CUSTOME	R ENGAGEMENT:			
Customers but recent	s were not directly contacted regarding th surveys indicate that customers value	ns project		
improveme Customer	ents in reliability (refer to DSP Section 3. Engagement).	2.4		

4	CAPITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18B3
	SUMMARY SHEET	Project Name:	Replacement/Removals of SE's
ondon	SYSTEM RENEWAL	Start Date:	Jan-18
Hydro		In-Service Date:	Dec-18

Project Title: Replacement of Air Insulated Sectionalizing Enclosures

Additional Information:

L

In the last decade London Hydro has addressed three-phase air-insulated switchgear on the 27.6 kV distribution system that were underperforming according to the report issued in 2006. At the end of 2017, of the 148 switching enclosures (SE's) audited at the start of the program, 122 SE's were addressed by removing 64 from the system and replacing 58 with Load Centers (LC's).

This year's budget will address a 600 amp switchgear installed on a main feeder (SE 185 - formerly refurbished with silicone insulators) by replacing it with an automated Load Centre, to introduce an additional remote sectionalizing device on 4M15.



27.6 kV Switchgear Status - 2017

CAP SUI	CAPITAL PROJECT SUMMARY SHEET		18B4 Transformer Replacement
Hydro	TEM RENEWAL	Start Date: In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title:	Defective/Leaking Transformer Repla	cements	
Supporting Reference Material:	Electric Distribution System Asset Su OEB Annual Audits	stainment Plan: 2015 to 20	29 (2014)
Description:	London Hydro field staff conduct annurequirements of the Ontario Energy B transformers, as well as transformers bushings. These matters are usually gaskets, or as a result of rusted botto. This project covers the cost to identify budget item also includes funding for require replacement. This budget item that are leaking or are being found de The dollars invested 2018 through 20 Sustainment Plan to gradually addresting that a statement and the gradual statement of the gradual statement of the gradual statement of the gradual statement plan to gradual statement and the gradual statement plan to gradual statement of the gradual statement plan to gradual statement plan statement plan to gradual statement plan to g	ual audits of padmounted tra oard. These audits are me which may be weeping oil caused by transformer agir m cabinets from salty sidew v and replace fully deprecia the replacement of transfor n has traditionally also inclu fective in the field througho 21 are in line with the recor s the aging population of pa	ansformers in accordance with the ant to identify defective or depreciated around the primary and secondary ng and the degradation of the sealing valks. ted and leaking transformers. This mers that have failed in the field and uded replacement of polemount units ut the year. nmendations of the Asset admounted transformers.
PRIMARY DRIVER	: Environmental	COST E	STIMATE - BY YEAR
OTHER DRIVERS:	Reliability	CC 2012 \$1,04 2013 \$892 2014 \$737 2015 \$1,49 2016 \$1,16	AREA/SCOPE 7,618 2,191 7,297 3,000 1,332 60-80 units
OEB CAPITAL REF	Estimated 900-1200 PORTING	2017 \$866 2018 \$1,15 2019 \$800 2020 \$800 2021 \$800	5,890 60-80 units 0,000 100+ units 0,000 0,000
B4 - Replacement	t of Defective/Leaking Transformers	TOTAL COST EST	MATE: \$9,748,328
LH PROJECT DRIV	ER: SAF	LH SECTION #	145

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18B4	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Transformer Replacements	
		Start Date:	Jan-18	
STSTEW RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Defective/Leaking Transfor	mer Replac	cements		
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Resource availabi that performs cabl subdivisions.	lity is the bi e silicone ir	ggest risk to completion; Lo njection to address the units	ndon Hydro, if necessary, uses the contractor identified in need of replacement in	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	Reliability transforme measure is 15-year As	is inherently improved by tin ers that can be on the verge s in line with the asset renew esest Sustainment Plan.	nely replacement of padmounted of failure or affect the environment. This val process described by London Hydro in its	
Safety	I his iten no longe for electi	n contributes greatly to safet r be tamper proof and, henc ric contact.	y as rusted cabinets (see photo below) may e, become a hazard to public and employees	
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not appl	icable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Discussi transforr	Discussions with utilities may influence manufacturers to modify the design of transformer cabinets to be more endurable to weather, salt and contamination.		
Economic Development	Improved place to	nproved reliability will contribute to the overall attractiveness of London as a lace to live and do business.		
Environmental Benefits	Potential the soil a environn	lly leaking oil from transform affecting the environment. Tl nental benefits.	ers can enter the ground and contaminate his measure demonstrates vigilence to the	
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:				
Fewer outages will occur due to transformer failure potential reduction in annual operating and mainte costs.	s, with a nance	The second secon		
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Allowing deteriorated transformers to run to failure can cause additional outages in subdivisions where other components of the system have improved their reliability. addressed.				
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:				
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability and Safety				
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:		Rusted tanks (OH and UG)		
Customers are not directly contacted for this project but indicate increased customer value with improved reliabili DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).	surveys ty (refer to			

h	CAPITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18B4	
/	SUMMARY SHEET	Project Name:	Transformer Replacements	
ydro		Start Date:	Jan-18	
	SYSTEM RENEWAL	In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
				1

Project Title:

Defective/Leaking Transformer Replacements

Additional Information:

London Hydro performs OEB audits on the condition of all the padmounted transformers in our system every three years. These audits help identify potentially defective/end-of-life or leaking transformers for replacement. London Hydro takes its environmental responsibilities seriously and, as such, continues to invest capital dollars into the identification and removal of these problematic transformers. This budget item also includes funding for the replacement of transformers that have failed in the field or require immediate replacement, prioritized according to audit results.

The cost to replace a typical padmounted transformer ranges between \$7,500 and \$20,000 depending on the transformer type and size. On average, London Hydro has been replacing approximately 60-80 padmount units in one year, in addition to the polemount units that needed to be changed out in emergency. This proposed budget is slightly higher than in previous years; with the increased amount of rehabilitation work in underground subdivisions, more units are identified in need of replacement apart from the annual OEB audits.

Prepared By:	Sunny Patel, P.Eng. Rodney Doyle, P.Eng.
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng.
	V.P. of Operations

SUMMARY SHEET SYSTEM RENEWAL Project Name: Secondary Pedestal Replacements Secondary Pedestal Replacements Project Title: Replacement of Deteriorated Secondary Pedestals Dec-18 Project Title: Replacement of Deteriorated Secondary Pedestals Supporting Reference Material: Electric Distribution System Asset Sustainment Plan: 2015-2019 (2014) Annual OEB Field Audits Description: Lordon Hydro has a secondary underground distribution system that includes approximately 900 single-phase, low voltage junction pedestals, typically used in residential areas. These pedestals (located in fornity ard o barse obtained at the end of life. These units are low scale to several service cables in order to supply multiple premises. A large majority these units are often corroded. It has also been found that the connections and barries within the existing units are beginning to fail, passe oft and are considered at the new of the end obtain. These not been opened or worked on since the orginal installation and problems appear when staff have to conduct secondary cable repairs. This budget item covers the replacement of the most deteriorated units with new non-metalic pedestals. Areas where problems have been exported by the Asset Sustainment Plan that anticipates the need for the secondary system renewal. PRIMARY DRIVER: Safety COST AREA/SCOPE OTHER DRIVERS: Reliability Estimated 150 2015 52,630 12 Units 2016 54,17.19 12 Units 2016 </th <th></th> <th>CAPIT</th> <th>AL PROJECT</th> <th>Project Numb</th> <th>er: 18B5</th> <th></th>		CAPIT	AL PROJECT	Project Numb	er: 18B5	
Hydro Start Date: Jan-18 In-Service Date: Dec-18 Project Title: Replacement of Deteriorated Secondary Pedestals Supporting Reference Material: Electric Distribution System Asset Sustainment Plan: 2015-2019 (2014) Annuel OEB Field Audits Description: London Hydro has a secondary underground distribution system that includes approximately 900 single-phase, low voltage junction pedestals, hybically used in residential areas. These pedestals (located in frontyard to backyard) house how voltage electrical connections. From one common bus cable to several service cables in order to supply multiple premises. A large majority of these units are often corroded. It has also been found that the connections and barriers within the electores are often corroded. It has also been found that the connections and barriers within the electores are often corroded. It has also been found that the connections and barriers within the indend supplement of worked on since the original installation and problems appear when staff have to conduct secondary cable repairs. This budget item covers the replacement of the most deteriorated units with new non-metalic pedestals. Areas where problems have been experienced in the past, as well as newly discovered units that present safety concerns will be addressed first. This project is supported by the Asset Sustainment Plan that anticipales the need for the secondary system renewal. PRIMARY DRIVER: Reliability CUSTOMERS Reliability CUSTOMERS Reliability MPACTED: Estimated 150 2016 \$21,179 2017 \$23,141 10 Units	London	SUMN	IARY SHEET	Project Name	Secono Replac	lary Pedestal ements
SYS IEM RENEWAL In-Service Date: Dec-18 Project Title: Replacement of Deteriorated Secondary Pedestals Supporting Reference Material: Electric Distribution System Asset Sustainment Plan: 2015-2019 (2014) Annual OEB Field Audits Description: London Hydro has a secondary underground distribution system that includes approximately 900 single-phase, low voltage junction pedestals, typically used in residential areas. These pedestals (located in forntyard or backyard) house low voltage electrical connections, from one common bus cable to several service cables in order to supply multiple premises. A large majority of these units are in excess of 30-40 years of and are considered at the end of life. The outdated metal enclosures are often corroded. It has also been found that the connections and barriers within the existing units are beginning to fail, posing safety risk. Many of the pedestals however have not been opened or worked on since the original installation and problems appear when staff have to conduct secondary cable repairs. This budget item covers the replacement of the most deteriorated units with new non-metalic pedestals. Areas where problems have been experienced in the past, as well as newly discovered units that present safety concerns will be addressed first. This project is supported by the Asset Sustainment Plan that anticipates the need for the secondary system renewal. PRIMARY DRIVER: Reliability 2012 \$33,610 17 Units QUISTOMERS Reliability 2013 \$20,466 12 Units 2014 \$22,015 12 Units 2016 <th>Hydro</th> <th>0)/0TE</th> <th></th> <th>Start Date:</th> <th>Jan-18</th> <th></th>	Hydro	0)/0TE		Start Date:	Jan-18	
Project Title: Replacement of Deteriorated Secondary Pedestals Supporting Reference Matorial: Electric Distribution System Asset Sustainment Plan: 2015-2019 (2014) Annual OEB Field Audits Description: London Hydro has a secondary underground distribution system that includes approximately 900 single-phase. low voltage junction pedestals. Typically used in residential areas. These pedestals (located in frontyard or backyard) house low voltage electrical connections, from one commo bus cable to several service cables in order to supply multiple premises. A large majority of these units are in excess of 30-40 years old and are considered at the end of life. The outdated metal enclosure are often corredet. It has also been found that the connections and barriers within the existing units are beginning to fail, posing safety risks. Many of the pedestals however have not been opperincecton in the past, as well as newly discovered units that present safety concerns will be addressed first. This project is supported by the Asset Sustainment Plan that anticipates the need for the secondary system renewal. PRIMARY DRIVER: Safety COST ESTIMATE - BY YEAR OTHER DRIVERS: Reliability 2012 \$33.610 17 Units Q2112 \$33.610 17 Units 2015 322.836 12 Units CUSTOMERS Reliability 2015 \$22.836 12 Units 2016 \$41.719 12 Units Description: Estimated 150 2015		SYSIE		In-Service Dat	te: Dec-18	8
Supporting Reference Material: Electric Distribution System Asset Sustainment Plan: 2015-2019 (2014) Annual OEB Field Audits Description: London Hydro has a secondary underground distribution system that includes approximately 900 single-phase, low voltage junction pedestals, typically used in residential areas. These pedestals (located in frontyard or backyard) house low voltage electrical connections, from one common bus cable to several service cables in order to supply multiple premises. A large majority of these units are in excess of 30-40 years old and are considered at the end of life. The outdated metal enclosures are often corroded. It has also been found that the connections and barriers within the existing units are beginning to fail, posing safety risks. Many of the pedestals however have not eno pened or worked on since the original installation and problems appear when staff have to conduct secondary cable repairs. This budget item covers the replacement of the most deteriorated units with new non-metalic pedestals. Areas where problems have been experienced in the past, as well as newly discovered units that present safety concerns will be addressed first. This project is supported by the Asset Sustainment Plan that anticipates the need for the secondary system renewal. PRIMARY DRIVER: Reliability 2012 \$33,610 17 Units QUI \$23,466 12 Units 2015 \$22,015 12 Units CUSTOMERS IMPACTED: Estimated 150 2017 \$32,148 10 Units 2018 \$20,000 2018 \$20,000 DES CAPITAL REPORTING: 2020 </td <td>Project Titl</td> <td>e: Re</td> <td>placement of Deteriorated Second</td> <td>ary Pedestals</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Project Titl	e: Re	placement of Deteriorated Second	ary Pedestals		
Description: London Hydro has a secondary underground distribution system that includes approximately 900 single-phase, low voltage junction pedestals, typically used in residential areas. These pedestals cable to several service cables in order to supply multiple premises. A large majority of these units are in excess of 30-40 years old and are considered at the end of life. The outdated metal enclosures are often corroded. It has also been found that the connections and barriers within the existing units are beginning to fail, posing safety risks. Many of the pedestals however have not been opened or worked on since the original installation and problems appear when staff have to conduct secondary cable repairs. This budget item covers the replacement of the most deteriorated units with new non-metalic pedestals. Areas where problems have been experienced in the past, as well as newly discovered units that present safety concerns will be addressed first. This project is supported by the Asset Sustainment Plan that anticipates the need for the secondary system renewal. PRIMARY DRIVER: Safety COST AREA/SCOPE OTHER DRIVERS: Reliability 2012 \$33,610 17 Units Quite 2013 \$20,456 12 Units 2016 \$41,719 12 Units 2016 \$41,719 12 Units 2016 \$21,833 10 Units 2017 \$32,143 10 Units 2016 \$41,719 12 Units 2016 \$41,719 12 Units 2018 <t< td=""><td>Supporting Reference Material:</td><td>Ele An</td><td>ectric Distribution System Asset Su nual OEB Field Audits</td><td>stainment Plan: 201</td><td>5-2019 (2014)</td><td></td></t<>	Supporting Reference Material:	Ele An	ectric Distribution System Asset Su nual OEB Field Audits	stainment Plan: 201	5-2019 (2014)	
PRIMARY DRIVER: Safety COST ESTIMATE - BY YEAR OTHER DRIVERS: Reliability 2012 \$33,610 17 Units OTHER DRIVERS: Reliability 2012 \$33,610 17 Units Customer Value 2013 \$20,456 12 Units CUSTOMERS 2014 \$22,015 12 Units IMPACTED: Estimated 150 2016 \$41,719 12 Units 2019 \$20,000 9 Units 2019 \$20,000 OEB CAPITAL REPORTING: 2020 \$20,000 2021 \$21,000 B7 - Misc. Subdivision Projects TOTAL COST ESTIMATE: \$256,784 LH PROJECT DRIVER: REL LH SECTION # 145	Description	I: Loi sin (loi cal are are wo cal Th per uni Su	ndon Hydro has a secondary under gle-phase, low voltage junction per cated in frontyard or backyard) hou ble to several service cables in ord in excess of 30-40 years old and a often corroded. It has also been f beginning to fail, posing safety ris rked on since the original installation ble repairs. is budget item covers the replacem destals. Areas where problems have ts that present safety concerns will stainment Plan that anticipates the	rground distribution a destals, typically use se low voltage elect er to supply multiple are considered at the found that the conne ks. Many of the per on and problems app nent of the most dete ve been experienced be addressed first. need for the second	system that include ed in residential area trical connections, fi premises. A large e end of life. The ou ections and barriers destals however ha pear when staff hav eriorated units with n d in the past, as wel This project is sup dary system renewa	s approximately 900 as. These pedestals rom one common bus majority of these units utdated metal enclosures within the existing units ve not been opened or e to conduct secondary new non-metalic as newly discovered ported by the Asset I.
OTHER DRIVERS: Reliability 2012 \$33,610 17 Units Customer Value 2013 \$20,456 12 Units Customer Value 2014 \$22,015 12 Units 2014 \$22,015 12 Units 2013 CUSTOMERS 2015 \$25,836 12 Units IMPACTED: 2016 \$41,719 12 Units 2017 \$32,148 10 Units 2019 \$20,000 9 Units OEB CAPITAL REPORTING: 2020 \$20,000 2021 \$21,000 9 Units B7 - Misc. Subdivision Projects TOTAL COST ESTIMATE: \$256,784 \$256,784	PRIMARY [ORIVER:	Safety	C(OST ESTIMATE -	BY YEAR
OTHER DRIVERS: Reliability 2012 \$33,610 17 Units Customer Value 2013 \$20,456 12 Units 2014 \$22,015 12 Units 2015 \$25,836 12 Units CUSTOMERS					COST	AREA/SCOPE
Customer Value 2013 \$20,456 12 Units 2014 \$22,015 12 Units 12 Units 2015 \$25,836 12 Units 12 Units CUSTOMERS IMPACTED:	OTHER DR	RIVERS:	Reliability	2012	\$33,610	17 Units
2014 \$22,015 12 Units CUSTOMERS 2015 \$25,836 12 Units IMPACTED: Estimated 150 2016 \$41,719 12 Units 2017 \$32,148 10 Units 2019 \$20,000 9 Units 2019 \$20,000 2020 \$20,000 9 Units 0EB CAPITAL REPORTING: 2020 \$20,000 2021 \$21,000 B7 - Misc. Subdivision Projects TOTAL COST ESTIMATE: \$256,784 LH PROJECT DRIVER: REL LH SECTION # 145			Customer Value	2013	\$20,456	12 Units
CUSTOMERS IMPACTED: 2015 \$25,836 12 Units Estimated 150 2016 \$41,719 12 Units 2017 \$32,148 10 Units 2018 \$20,000 9 Units 2019 \$20,000 9 Units 2020 \$20,000 2021 B7 - Misc. Subdivision Projects TOTAL COST ESTIMATE: \$256,784 LH PROJECT DRIVER: REL LH SECTION # 145				2014	\$22,015	12 Units
CUSIOMERS IMPACTED: 2016 \$41,719 12 Units Estimated 150 2017 \$32,148 10 Units 2018 \$20,000 9 Units 2019 \$20,000 9 Units 2020 \$20,000 2021 B7 - Misc. Subdivision Projects TOTAL COST ESTIMATE: \$256,784 LH PROJECT DRIVER: REL LH SECTION # 145				2015	\$25,836	12 Units
INPACTED: Estimated 150 2017 \$32,148 10 Units 2018 \$20,000 9 Units 2019 \$20,000 2020 \$20,000 2021 \$21,000 B7 - Misc. Subdivision Projects TOTAL COST ESTIMATE: \$256,784 LH PROJECT DRIVER: REL LH SECTION # 145	CUST			2016	\$41,719	12 Units
2018 \$20,000 9 Units 2019 \$20,000 \$20,000 OEB CAPITAL REPORTING: 2020 \$20,000 B7 - Misc. Subdivision Projects 2021 \$21,000 B7 - Misc. Subdivision Projects TOTAL COST ESTIMATE: \$256,784 LH PROJECT DRIVER: REL LH SECTION # 145			Estimated 150	2017	\$32,148	
2019 \$20,000 OEB CAPITAL REPORTING: 2020 \$20,000 B7 - Misc. Subdivision Projects TOTAL COST ESTIMATE: \$256,784 LH PROJECT DRIVER: REL LH SECTION # 145				2018	\$20,000	9 Units
Z020 \$20,000 2021 \$21,000 B7 - Misc. Subdivision Projects TOTAL COST ESTIMATE: \$256,784 LH PROJECT DRIVER: REL LH SECTION # 145				2019	\$20,000 \$20,000	
B7 - Misc. Subdivision Projects TOTAL COST ESTIMATE: \$256,784 LH PROJECT DRIVER: REL LH SECTION # 145		AL REPUR		2020	\$∠U,UUU ¢24,000	
B7 - Misc. Subdivision Projects TOTAL COST ESTIMATE: \$256,784 LH PROJECT DRIVER: REL LH SECTION # 145				2021	φ∠ I,000	
LH PROJECT DRIVER: REL LH SECTION # 145		B7 - Misc.	Subdivision Projects	TOTAL COS	ST ESTIMATE:	\$256,784
	LH PROJE	CT DRIVER:	REL		1#	145

CAPITAL	PROJECT		Project Number:	18B5	
	Y SHEET		Project Name:	Secondary Pedestal Replacements	
			Start Date:	Jan-18	
5131EIVI I	XEINEVVAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Repla	cement of Deteriorate	ed Seconda	ry Pedestals		
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan:	The identification outages on the se This process may potentially be unsa achieve more of th	of deteriora condary sy result in ac afe. Consid ne necessa	ited secondary pedestals stem; there is no proactiv iditional pedestals remain dering an increase for this ry replacements.	happens as crews respond to power ve search to prioritize replacements. ning in the system that could s budget item in the near future may	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOM	IES: /alue, Reliability	Pedesta propertie dismantl failure us	ls can be located on the t es. Deteriorated pedestal ed and beside becoming sually affects more than o	front yard or back yard of residential s often are rusty, crooked or an unsafe electrical enclosure, their one household for a longer duration.	
	Safety	This iten inadverte	n contributes greatly to sa ently expose live conduct	afety as pedestal deterioration can tors to staff and to public.	
Cyber-S	Security, Privacy	Not appl	Not applicable		
Co-ordination,	Interoperability	Not applicable			
Econom	ic Development	Improved reliability will contribute to the overall attractiveness of London as a place to live and do business.			
Environ	mental Benefits	There ar program units (or	e no direct environmenta . New plastic pedestals the left) that could howe	al benefits associated with this (on the right) replace old metalic ever be recycled.	
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:				All the second se	
Less power interruptions may bad pedestals, with a potentia and maintenance costs.	occur as a result of e I reduction in annual	eliminating l operating			
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Allowing deteriorated pedestals to run to failure could increase their life time; however, associated safety concerns lead to replacement scoper rather than later.					
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN: Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability and Safety				LET LECTRAL GUPPERT	
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMEN	T:				
Customers are not directly containdicate increased customer value DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Eng	cted for this project but le with improved reliabil gagement).	surveys ity (refer to			

London	CAPITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18B5
	SUMMARY SHEET	Project Name:	Secondary Pedestal Replacements
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18
	SYSTEM RENEWAL	In-Service Date:	Dec-18

Project Title: Replacement of Deteriorated Secondary Pedestals

Additional Information:

The underground residential distribution system at London Hydro began to develop in mid 1960's. With service cables approaching 50-55 years old, associated pedestals of likely the same age are still in service. London Hydro is collecting information on the demographics of the secondary system; future plans may be formulated to begin mass replacement as some services reach end of life. This rebuild process would encompass the elimination of most of the old pedestals, as such no separate plan is needed to address this aging equipment type.

CAPIT	AL PROJECT	Project Numbe	r: 18B6		
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Vault	Rebuilds	
London		Start Date:	Jan-1	8	
SYSTE	M RENEWAL	In-Service Date	Dec-1	8	
Project Title: Va	ault Transformer Replacements				
SupportingElectronReference4.2Material:Of	ectric Distribution System Asset Susta ondon Downtown Long-Term 27.6 kV 16 kV Aging Infrastructure System Pla EB Audits conducted by field staff	ainment Plan: 2015 Supply and 13.8 k\ anning Report (201	-2029 (2014) / Decommissioni 1), Appendix D	ng Strategy	
Description: Th Th	ne Asset Sustainment report identified nese vaults were also inspected by Lo	l various indoor tran ndon Hydro staff.	various indoor transformer vaults as being in poor condition. ndon Hydro staff.		
These indoor transformer vaults contain dry-type transformers that are more than forty years operations staff has identified these locations as having chronic water problems that could re- equipment failure. This budget item will allow for the replacement of these dry-type transform padmount or pole mount transformers located outside the vaults. It will also allow for the inst and termination of secondary cables from the new transformation to the new disconnects insi vaults.					
PRIMARY DRIVER: Safety		COST ESTIMATE - BY YEAR			
			COST		
OTHER DRIVERS:	Environmental	2012	\$134,849	6 vaults	
	Customer Value	2013	\$216,173	3 vaults	
		2014	\$91,031	4 vaults	
		2015	\$170,696	5 vaults	
CUSTOMERS		2016	\$69,589	3 vaults (2 deferred)	
IMPACTED:	1	2017	\$175,354 \$57,000	3 vaults	
		2010	\$37,000	i vauit	
	2010	\$174.000			
		2021	\$288,000		
B5 - Rebuild	d or Convert Vault Areas				
	TOTAL COS	T ESTIMATE:	\$1,708,702		
LH PROJECT DRIVER: SAF		LH SECTION	#	145	

		Project Number	1986
		Project Number.	Todo Vault Rebuilds
		Project Name.	
Hydro SYSTEM RENEWAL		Start Date:	Jan-18
		In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title: Vault Transformer Replace	ments		
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Transformer vaults apartment building permission from th to the customer ar ensure the availab mitigation plan is in	s are usual g basemen ne owner to nd engage pility of reso n close co-	lly located on customer-ov ts, school vaults etc; there o upgrade the service; miti the customer in the decisi ources to match outage tin ordination with the owner	vned premises, such as in fore, London Hydro requires gation plan is to present the options on-making process; we will need to hing dictated by the owner; to ensure schedule compatibility.
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:	London overhea	Hydro coordinates vault tr d voltage conversion proje	ansformer replacements with ects so that the customers are less
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	affected by power interruptions and can further benefit from increased reliability of supply. Removing transformer from customer premises mitigates liability and provides room for the customer.		
Safety	Vault tra transforr on them ground a	nsformer replacements ad mers, which can be unsafe since energized compone and accumulated water, w	chieve the elimination of dry-type when maintenance is performed ents can come in contact with the hich also causes corrosion.
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not appl	licable	
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Co-ordir equipme	nation will be required with ent is found.	customers on whose premises the
Economic Development	Improve London	d reliability will contribute as a place in which to live	to the overall attractiveness of and do business.
Environmental Benefits	Environi include t installati	mental benefits that can be the recycling of the metal o ons.	e the result of such replacements components from these old
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS: Fewer outages can be expected after the supply sy upgraded to current standards and, hence, the ann operating and maintenance costs may be reduced.	vstems are ual	Dry-type transformers	
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Leave transformers in service; however, this optionis, is not acceptable as they have reached their end of life and can no longer be properly and safely maintained.			
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:		* 15	
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability and Safety			
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: London Hydro initiates contact with the owner to ex and explore viable options for vault replacement; Lo Hydro co-ordinates service interruptions, site restor overall schedule.	xpain work ondon ration and	Corrosion under basement door	



SYSTEM RENEWAL

Project Number:	18B6
Project Name:	Vault Rebuilds
Start Date:	Jan-18
In-Service Date:	Dec-18

Project Title:

Vault Transformer Replacements

Additional Information:



London	CAPITA SUMM	AL PROJECT ARY SHEET	Project Number: Project Name: Start Date:	18B7 Backup Supply Installation Jan-18
	SYSTE		In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title:	Inst	allation of Backup Supply		
Supporting Reference Material:	201	6 Quality of Supply Report		
Description:	Lon wer con equ This ope the	don Hydro has started to experien e serviced in the past via a radial u trol room operators with no options ipment failure. s budget item provides for addition rators with an alternate source fro speed that power can be restored	ce outages in the residentia underground system. This of to restore power quickly di al supply in one of those su m which they can restore po to these areas and enhance	al underground subdivisions that configuration leaves London Hydro's uring outages resulting from abdividsions thereby providing our ower. This work will greatly improve e the reliability of supply.
PRIMARY DR	RIVER:	Customer Value	COST FS	STIMATE - BY YEAR
OTHER DRIV	ERS:	Reliability	CC 2012 \$94 2013 \$44 2014 \$40 2015 \$17	OST AREA/SCOPE 4,421 4,325 0,547 1,253
CUSTOMERS IMPACTED:	5	235	2016 \$40 2017 \$70 2018 \$20 2019 \$),072),040),000 \$0
OEB CAPITAL REPORTING B7 - Misc. Subdivision Proiects		2020 S 2021 S	\$0 \$0	
			TOTAL COST EST	IMATE: \$480,658
LH PROJECT	DRIVER:	REL	LH SECTION #	145

CAPITAL PROJECT SUMMARY SHEET SYSTEM SERVICE Project Title: Installation of Backup Supple Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Risk to completion a Substruct of the supple	Project Number: Project Name: Start Date: In-Service Date: is project is part of a prog six years. The availability project.	18B7 Backup Supply Installation Jan-18 Dec-18		
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability rel		Backup supply provides the opportunity of quicker power restoration in the event of a permanent fault in the underground system, with minimum impact on the customers load. This provides increased reliability for home owners and minimizes power interruptions.		
Safety	There is	no direct implication to sa	afety from this program	
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not appl	applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Not appl	icable		
Economic Development	Improve London	oved reliability will contribute to the overall attractiveness of lon as a place to live and do business		
Environmental Benefits	There is shorter p reduced	ere is no direct impact on environmental benefits. However, orter power restoration reduces crews' time in the field and hence, duced emissions from operating trucks.		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:				
Less power interruptions have the potential for a reannual operating and maintenance costs.	duction in			
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:				
Customers can be supplied by radials but most faul underground system are permanent and repairs ca extended periods of time during which customers c experience long power outages unless backup sup place.				
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:				
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability and Safety				
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:		1		
Customers are not directly contacted for this project but s indicate increased customer value with improved reliabili DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).	surveys ity (refer to			



Project Title:

Installation of Backup Supply

Additional Information:

The blue phase (highlighed yellow) within the Belmount Subdivision does not have an alternate supply. Furthermore, three 3phase transformers (circled yellow), rely on the same radial blue phase supply. The blue phase conductor at switchgear LC 4411 has only one source. If a cable fault occured on it, interrupting the blue phase supply at LC 4411, then 222 residential customers, one public school and 12 general commercial customers would experience a long duration outage.

The scope of this project is to bring in another blue phase supply that bypasses LC 4411, which will mitigate the above risk by having a backup supply.







	PITAL JMMA	- PROJECT RY SHEET	Project Numb Project Name	oer: 18B8 e: Fault Ir	ndicator Installations
Hydro	STEM SERVICE		Start Date: In-Service Da	te: Dec-18	
Project Title:	Installation of Fault Indication in Padmounted Transformers				
Supporting Reference Material:	2016 (Quality of Supply Report			
Description:	 Determining the location of faulted equipment on underground residential distribution systems can result in extended outage duration in the absence of fault indication devices. In areas where transformers do not have fault indicators, crews must search for visible failure signs inside each transformer and, if there are none, the cable between every two transformers must be tested to determine the location of the fault. Modern fault indication technology allows for a quick assessment, without inspecting every transformer from the inside, in order to determine the location of a faulted segment and then isolate it. The power can be restored to the affected customers in a much shorter duration, relying on the indication provided by the transformers that "saw" fault current. This item includes the installation of approximately 57 fault indicators in selected areas where transformers where fault indicators are already present; this project will provide fault indication at every transformer location, thus shortening the troubleshooting time for the crews and the outage duration for the customers in those subdivisions. These subdivisions are: 1. White Oaks North 2. Lambeth area 3. Sevilla Park 4. Various Locations 				
PRIMARY DRIVE	R:	Efficiency			
Modern				COST ESTIMATE -	AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVER	S:	Reliability	2012	\$14,902	
		Customer Value	2013	\$17,316	
			2014	\$12,102	48 units
			2015	\$12,000	48 units
CUSTOMER	S		2016	\$15,036	56 units
IMPACTED	:	Estimated 750	2017	\$16,235	65 units
			2018	\$20,000	51 units
		10	2019	\$20,000	
OEB CAPITAL REPORTING:		2020	\$20,000		
			2021	\$21,000	
B7 -Misc. Subdivision Projects			TOTAL CO	ST ESTIMATE:	\$168,591
LH PROJECT DR	IVER:	REL	LH SECTIO	N #	145
CAPITAL PROJECT SUMMARY SHEET SYSTEM SERVICE Project Title: Installation of Fault Indication Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Risk to completion executed in each of sufficient to complete	on in Padm i is low. Th of the past ete this pro	Project Number: Project Name: Start Date: In-Service Date: ounted Transformers is project is part of a prog ten years. The availability oject.	18B8 Fault Indicator Installations Jan-18 Dec-18		
--	---	---	--	--	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	Shorter o undergro being re on subdi	outages are achieved who ound distribution system. trofitted with fault indicatio vision performance and r	en fault indication is present in the Older transformers can benefit of on. Select areas are covered based ehabilitation plans.		
Safety	No direc road with effort.	t impact for safety but loc nout accessing every tran	ating a fault by patrolling the main sformer case exerts less physical		
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not appl	t applicable			
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Not appl	icable			
Economic Development	Improve as a plac	d reliability will contribute ce to live and do business	to overall attractiveness of London s.		
Environmental Benefits	There ar troubles duration	e no direct environmenta hooting time can result in s, hence gas emissions a	l benefits. However, shorter operating the trucks for shorter re reduced.		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:					
Annual operating and maintenance costs will have reduction due to reduced crew time responding to a	a slight an outage.	LED bulb turns r has passed throu	red when fault current ugh the transformer		
Newer transformers with fault indication are currently installed in areas where the infrastructure is rebuilt/converted. LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN: Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability and Safety			7180		
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: Customers are not directly contacted for this projec surveys indicate increased customer value with imp reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).	t type but proved		e An anti-angle angle and an anti-angle and an and an and an and an and an		







		L PROJECT	Project Num	18 B	9
SI	IMM		Project Nam	1e: 4.16	kV Underground
London Hydro			Start Date:	.lan-	18
SY	STE	M RENEWAL	In-Service D)ate: Doc	18
				Dec	-10
Project Title:	Zon	e B and Zone C Underground C	onversions		
Supporting Reference Material:	4.16 Elec	ง kV Aging Infrastructure System tric Distribution System Asset Sเ	Planning Report (/ istainment Plan: 2	2011), Appendix D 015-2029 (2014)	
Description:	The con sup 50 y con outli	Asset Sustainment Plan Report dition. The deficiencies related to ply as well as public and employe rears old. As well, the undergrou verted from the 4.16 kV distribution ined in the 4.16 kV Aging Infrastr	identified various p the age of the equ e safety. The pole nd systems in thes on system to the 2 ucture System Pla	parts of the system uipment may adverses and associated h se areas are in poor 7.6 kV distribution s nning Report.	in this area as being in poor sely impact the reliability of ardware are approximately condition. The area will be system as per the directive
PRIMARY DRIVE	R:	Reliability		COST ESTIMATI	E - BY YEAR
	e.	Efficiency	2012	¢103 206	
UTIER DRIVER	J.	Customer Value	2012	\$400,236	9 TV's
			2013	\$328 092	2 TV's 5 TF's & 1 SUB
			2014	\$431 033	2 TV's & 7 TF's
CUSTOMEDS			2013	\$49.450	7 TF's / 0.81 km primary
			2010	\$55,363	7 TF's/ 1 08 km primary
		127	2017	\$139,000	5 TFs / 0.51 km primary
			2010	\$42 000	
	EDUD1		2013	Ψ - 2,000 <u></u> \$327 በበበ	
OLD VAFITAL K			2020	\$448 000	
B6	- Under	ground Conversions	2021	Ψ ϮϮ ϴ,ϴϴϴ	
			TOTAL C	OST ESTIMATE:	\$2,323,470
LH PROJECT DR	RIVER:	REL	LH SECTIO	ON #	145

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18B9	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	4.16 kV Underground Conversion	
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18	
SYSTEM RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Zone B and Zone C Under	rground Co	nversions		
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Risk to completion overhead line con that has been suc resources (interna	n is low. Re oversion pro ccessfully e al and contr	esources must be secured ojects under Project 17G5. xecuted in each of the pas ract) is sufficient to comple	to co-ordinate timing with the This project is part of a program t six years. The availability of te this project.	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	Voltage substati interval, the abili overhea reconfig	conversion by zones is int ons that provide backup to so decommissioning is m ty to reliably supply these d work is also co-ordinated uration.	ended to off load multiple each other during the same time ade possible without jeopardizing customers. Underground and d for the most optimal system	
Safety	Safety o convers transfor exposed operated	f the operation staff is beir ion of underground plant s mers are live front style, w I (see picture) and a risk o d.	ng improved throughout voltage ince many of the existing padmount here the energized connections are f flashover exists when they are	
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not app	licable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Co-ordir New und utilities.	Co-ordination is required with overhead line projects in Section 17G5. New underground plant placement will be co-ordinated with other utilities.		
Economic Development	Improve London	d reliability will contribute a a place in which to live	to the overall attractiveness of and do business.	
Environmental Benefits	Environ transfor exchang	mental benefits include elin mers which could be leakir ged or removed.	mination of very deteriorated ng oil into the ground before being	
 IMPACT TO O&M COSTS: Fewer outages can be expected as the supply chanew 27.6kV new system, resulting in a reduction in operating and maintenance costs. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Leave plant in service; however, this option is not as the plant reached its end of life and can no long. 	nges to the annual acceptable ger be			

LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

properly and safely maintained

Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability

CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:

Property owners are contacted for discussion regarding pole relocations, new routing of underground cables, restoration, etc. Customers are provided with utility contact names after high level notifications regarding project scope.





	CAPIT	AL PROJECT	Project Number	er: 18B10	
4	•/ • • • •			13.8 k ¹	/ Underground
London	SUMM	ARY SHEET	Project Name:	Conve	rsion
Hydro	OVOTE		Start Date:	Jan-18	
	3131E		In-Service Dat	e: Dec-18	3
Project Title	: 13.8	3 kV Underground Conversions	of Non-Network Load	and Customer Owr	ned Substations
Supporting Reference Material:	Lon	don Downtown - 13.8 kV/27.6 k\	/ Nelson TS - 5 Year I	Plan	
Description	: The con are:	initiatives outlined in the "Londo verting the load on the 13.8 kV r a also requires the conversion of	on Downtown - 13.8 k\ oon-network system. Ir customer owned sub	//27.6 kV Nelson T a addition, the prop stations (CS).	S - 5 Year Plan" require osed voltage conversion
	• CS • CS • CS • N ^T rebu • Th and It is Pro- sch pro-	S 296 - 382 Waterloo Street (YM S 315 - 675, 685 & 695 Richmon S 277 - 11 Maitland Street (Lond T 84 - 383 Richmond Street (Roy uild) aree-phase loop along Wellington 4 SEs (the Wellington "Gateway anticipated that 4,482 kW of loa jects and associated costs in this edules and related 13.8 kV supp gram is 2020.	CA) d Street (Richmond S on Linen Services) - C /al Bank Building) - Co n Road between Batho y") d will be converted fro s section vary annually ly system conversions	quare). Coordinate with Propordinate with Propordinate with Proportional Sources and Sources 13.8 kV distribury based on timing content of the planned correct sources.	ject 18G4 ect 18F3 (Dundas Place th Street containing 7 TEs tion to 27.6 kV distribution. of Customer conversion apletion of the entire
PRIMARY D	RIVER:	Reliability	C(OST ESTIMATE	- BY YEAR
OTHER DRI	VERS:	Customer Value 423	2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	COST \$0 \$0 \$299,310 \$803,314 \$741,551 \$1,310,000	AREA/SCOPE 6 TE's & 1 SE 14 TE's 5 TE's 3 CS, 7 TEs & 4 SEs
OEB CAPIT	AL REPOR	FING: ground Conversions	2019 2020 2021	\$1,340,000 \$2,169,000 \$0	5 CS & 3 SE's 5 CS & 1 NT
			TOTAL COS	ST ESTIMATE:	\$6,663,175
LH PROJEC	T DRIVER:	REL	LH SECTION	#	145

CAPITAL PROJECT SUMMARY SHEET SYSTEM RENEWAL	Project Number: Project Name: Start Date: In-Service Date:	18B10 13.8 kV Underground Conversion Jan-18 Dec-18		
Project Title: 13.8 kV Underground Conve	ersions of Non-Network Load and (Customer Owned Substations		
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Risks to completion are anticipated to be low. Availability of resources to match timing the overhead line conversion projects will be addressed by securing resources (intern contract) in advance. The only other risk that could potentially affect this project is get approval from an owner to convert the customer's station, in which case we would insistep down transformer at a suitable location and leave the customer's service on 13.8				
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	Downtown's load serviced at 13. co-ordinating the overhead portio same time, the current 27.6 kV s connected through new station ti load, as well as future developm Efficiency is gained by eliminatin multiple voltages and running on	8kV is being converted to 27.6 kV by on with the underground work. At the upply to the city core will be es connecting to existing commercial ents in the most reliable way. Ig multiple cables energized at ly one 27.6 kV voltage system.		
Safety	There are no direct safety issues However, obsolete customer-ow more accessible and safer to ope centres.	associated with this project. ned equipment is replaced with a erate automated system, such as load		
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not applicable			
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Various projects co-ordinate with 18G4 or 18F3) and will require c	other 13.8kV conversions (18C2, o-ordination with property owners.		
Economic Development	Modern and reliable supply syste encourage the development of n contribute to making London a p	ems in the downtown core will ew businesses, and thereby rosperous city.		
Environmental Benefits	The City of London is considerin new advanced, green transporta revitalized downtown. London Hy be able to accomodate all the ne	g rapid transit in the years to come, a tion system that will move through a /dro's enhanced electric supply will w load emerging from this.		

IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:

The modern and enhanced power supply in the downtown core energized at only 27.6 kV, coupled with a backup supply provided by new feeder ties, should contribute to decreased operating and maintenance costs throughout the year.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

The non-network 13.8kV supply from the Nelson transformer station will no longer be available since Hydro One is rebuilding the station over the next five years. The load could be gradually transferred to the 27.6kV via stepdown transformation; capacity and reliability, however, could be at risk with downtown expansion.

LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability

CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:

Planned collaboration with building and property owners, as well as early stage involvement of customers is quintessential to the success of these projects. Engineering and Operations staff ensure proper communications at every stage.

Customer Substation CS 315: 675, 685 & 695 Richmond St.



CAPITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18B10
SUMMARY SHEET	Project Name:	13.8 kV Underground Conversion
SYSTEM RENEWAL	Start Date: In-Service Date:	Jan-18 Dec-18
Project Title: 13.8 kV Underground Conversion	ns of Non-Network Load and	Customer Owned Substations
 Additional Information: The initiatives outlined in the London Downtown - 13.8 kV/ - 5 Year Planreport require converting all 13.8 kV load by y Hydro One eliminates the only transformer station supplyin Converting the downtown load from 13.8 kV distribution, th supply source, to the 27.6 kV distribution system, that has sources, ensures a more reliable system to the city of Lond and also aids in optimizing switching and load transferring 27.6 kV stations. Some of the benefits of the conversion work include: Two customer substation conversions eliminate primary switchgear which poses maintence and liability issues be Hydro and the customer owned distribution (NT 84 and 0 - One customer substation distributes primary to three prop Converting this one supply to three individual services will eliminate distribution trespass and reduce system loss - The area known as Wellington Gateway (see adjacent im conversion of seven three-phase padmount transformers switching enclosures (SEs) which are actively replaced of system. Conversion of a submersible transformer to London Hydr switchable vault transformer (NT 84). 	27.6 kV Nelson T: re 6891 27.6 kV Nelson T: re 6891 27.6 kV Nelson T: re 6031 224 1224 102	20 6227 T 11069 T 11070 1523 T 11069 T 11070 1523 T 11069 T 11070 T 1070 T 107
	1027	
	Prepared By:	Senior Distribution Engineer
	Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations

CA	PITA	AL PROJECT	Project Number	r: 18B11	
SU	MM	ARY SHEET	Project Name:	Outage Re Improvem	estoration ents
London Hydro			Start Date:	Jan-18	
SY SY	STE		In-Service Date	: Dec-18	
Project Title:	Add	ling Switchable Padmounted Trans	formers for Resident	tial Supply	
Supporting Reference Material:	201	6 Quality of Supply Report			
Description:	Determining the location of faulted equipment on underground residential distribution systems, depending on how many fault indicators exist on a circuit, will affect the outage time for all the customers interrupted due to the fault. More so, if switchable padmounted transformers are not present, isolating a fault location can involve multiple operations and longer outage time for customer who could otherwise be restored sooner. In areas where older transformers do not permit isolating themselves from a loop one at a time, crews must isolate entire strings of transformers (from riser to open point) in order to isolate the faulted transformer or cable section and re-energize the healthy portion of the circuit. Modern switchable transformers have two medium-voltage switches that permit the unit to be isolated upstream or downstream or completely removed from the loop before lifting the elbows. This new budget item aims to replace some of the live-front transformers or older non-switchable units that do not have this flexibility built in. By deploying more switchable padmounted transformers in subdivisions, the power can be restored to some of the unaffected customers in a much shorter duration.				
	R.	Efficiency			
Modern			CO	ST ESTIMATE - BY	YYEAR
				COST	AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVERS	S:	Reliability	2012		
	_	Customer Value	2013		
			2014		
			2015		
CUSTOMER	6		2016		
IMPACTED:		Estimated 100	2017		
			2018	\$50,000	1 Subdivision
OEB CAPITAL RE	POR	ΓING:	2019 2020 2021		
B7 -N	/lisc. S	Subdivision Projects	TOTAL CO	ST ESTIMATE:	\$50,000
LH PROJECT DRI	VER:	REL	LH SECTION	#	145

		Project Number	18811	
		Project Name:	Outage Restoration	
		Start Date:	Improvements Jan-18	
SYSTEM SERVICE		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Adding Switchable Padr	nounted Trans	l sformers for Residential S	Supply	
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: (internal labour	tion is low. Alt) is adequate	hough this is a newly add to complete the work.	led project, the availability of resources	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	Shorter undergro feature l from a c (referred	outages are achieved who ound distribution system. by means of a "signaling l ircuit one at a time due to I to as "dash 1" and "dash	en fault indication is present in the Switchable transformers present this ight" and they also can be switched out o the two switching elements installed n 2").	
Safety	The imp units an	act on safety is exercized d replacing them with swi	by removing from service live-front tchable transformers.	
Cyber-Security, Privacy	y Not app	ot applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	y Not app	applicable		
Economic Developmen	t Improve a place	oved reliability will contribute to overall attractiveness of London as ce to live and do business.		
Environmental Benefits	There and troubles duration	re no direct environmenta hooting time can result in s, hence gas emissions a	l benefits. However, shorter operating the trucks for shorter ire reduced.	
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:				
Annual operating and maintenance costs will ha reduction due to reduced crew time responding	ave a slight to an outage.	Ĭ, Ĭ,	← Under-Oil Load-Break Switch	
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:				
Newer switchable transformers are currently ins areas where the infrastructure is rebuilt/converte	stalled in ed.		-Backup Current-Limiting Fuse	
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN: Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability and Safe	ety	S↓ €	—Bayonet-Style Fuse	
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: Customers are not directly contacted for this pro surveys indicate increased customer value with reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).	pject type but improved	Internal Electric	cal Arrangement of Fusing and vitching Elements	



CAP	PITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18B12
London SUI	MMARY SHEET	Project Name:	Civil Works for Improved Outage Restoration
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18
SYS	STEM SERVICE	In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title:	Installing Spare Duct for Improved Re	storation Time at Multiunit Ra	dial Customers
Supporting Reference Material:	2016 Quality of Supply Report		
Description:	London Hydro has increasingly started unit radial customers (e.g. commercia or no available spare duct exists, outa fault needs to be located and fixed be	I to experience outages on old I developments, apartment bu ge times tend to be very long fore re-energization.	der underground cable supplying multi- ildings). When the cable is not ducted (up to 8 hours or higher) becase the
	This budget item provides for addition to these customers and enhance the r	al civil work to improve the sp eliability of supply by installing	eed at which power can be restored g new spare duct.
PRIMARY DRIVER	: Customer Value	COSTES	
OTHER DRIVERS:	Reliability	CC 2012 2013 2014 2015	OST AREA/SCOPE
CUSTOMERS IMPACTED:	Various	2016 2017 2018 \$50 2019	,000
OEB CAPITAL REF B7 - M	PORTING	2020 2021	
		TOTAL COST EST	MATE: \$50,000
LH PROJECT DRIV	ER: REL	LH SECTION #	145

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18B12	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Civil Works for Improved Outage	
London Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18	
SYSTEM SERVICE	SYSTEM SERVICE		Dec-18	
Project Title: Installing Spare Duct for Im	proved Res	storation Time at Multiunit	Radial Customers	
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Risk to completion (internal labour) is	n is low. Alti s adequate f	hough this is a newly adde to complete the work.	ed project, the availability of resources	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	Spare duc restoratior undergrou can facilita will be due	ts for radially fed custome by pulling new cable in th nd system, which takes lo ate the next step of asset re for replacement.	rs provide the opportunity of quicker power ne event of a permanent fault in the nger to repair. This is also a program that enewal when these type of aged cables	
Safety	There is	no direct implication to sa	fety from this program	
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not appl	pplicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Co-ordination, Interoperability Not appl			
Economic Development	Improve place to	ved reliability will contribute to the overall attractiveness of London as a to live and do business		
Environmental Benefits	There is restoration from ope	no direct impact on envirc on reduces crews' time in erating trucks.	onmental benefits. However, shorter power the field and hence, reduced emissions	
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:	<u>I</u>			
Less power interruptions have the potential for a reannual operating and maintenance costs.	eduction in			
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:		•		
Customers can be supplied by radials but most faults in the underground system are permanent and repairs can last extended periods of time during which customers can experience long power outages unless failed cable can easily be replaced by new cable.				
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:		•		
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability and Safety				
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:		1		
Customers are not directly contacted for this project but surveys indicate increased customer value with improved reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).				

	CAPITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18B12
London	SUMMARY SHEET	Project Name:	Civil Works for Improved Outage Restoration
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18
	STSTEM SERVICE	In-Service Date:	Dec-18

Project Title: Installing Spare Duct for Improved Restoration Time at Multiunit Radial Customers

Additional Information:

This item will target larger multiunit radial customers where the underground supply is direct buried, which can significantly prolong the duration of an outage having to repair the faulted cable. By providing a spare duct, restoration time can be improved as the underground crews have a means to replace the cable instead of locating the fault, digging up and splicing the phase that faulted. Our GIS system will be used to create a report of locations where cable is aged (25+ years old), it is a radial feed to a multiunit appartment building, direct buried. The selection will be made based on performance experienced over the course of the last few years for radial underground customers where risk of cable failure is higher.

Prepared By:	Rodney Doyle, P.Eng. Senior Distribution Engineer
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations





CAPIT.	AL PROJECT	Project Number:	18C1				
SUMN	IARY SHEET	Project Name:	27.6 kV Supply to Core				
London Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18				
SYST	EM SERVICE	In-Service Date:	Dec-18				
Project Title: Ma	ain Feeder Backup Supply to Core (El	ectrical)					
Supporting Lo Reference Lo Material: QS	London Downtown Long Term 27.6 kV Supply and 13.8 kV Decommissioning Strategy London Downtown - 13.8 kV/27.6 kV Nelson TS - 5 Year Plan QSI: Monthly Reliability Performance Overview - August 2017						
Description: Lo syst the rel De De In ne tha Th - ir - e - p - a the Th In nu he	London Downtown is presently supplied mainly through the older 13.8 kV Non-Network and Network systems via Nelson Transformer Station (TS). Nelson TS is a double DESN with the T1/T2 supplyin the 3-wire Network system, and the T3/T4 supplying the 4-wire Non-Network system. Hydro One is rebuilding the T1/T2 DESN to 27.6 kV standards and this new supply is expected to be in service by December 2018 while the T3/T4 DESN will be eliminated by end of 2020. In preparation for the new 27.6 kV Nelson TS in-service date, this project intends to install three (3) new 27.6 kV circuits from the new Nelson TS to supply the downtown ring-bus and offload Talbot TS that presently supplies the ring. The new Nelson 27.6 kV supply to the downtown core will provide many benefits: - increase adequacy and security of supply; - enable the connection of distributed generation in the core; - potential to replace aging infrastructure including elimination of lead cable, and - assist with voltage conversion of the 4-wire Non-Network system load to 27.6 kV, in preparation for the T3/T4 DESN phased out. This project is expected to impact over 4,000 customers in the service territory for Nelson TS. In addition to the above the 26M47 egress cable from Talbot TS, although 28 years old, has had numerous faults and it needs replacing based on recent inspections revealing indictions of expected in the service for the target indication of expective.						
PRIMARY DRIVER:	Reliability	COST ESTIMATE - BY YEAR					
OTHER DRIVERS: CUSTOMERS IMPACTED:	Reliability Safety Customer Value Econ. Dev. 5,000+	CC 2012 2013 \$1,12 2014 \$319 2015 \$153 2016 \$1,14 2017 \$3,44 2018 \$1,71 2019 \$	AREA/SCOF 4,173 ,016 ,939 5,424 2,000 0,000 0	ΡE			
OEB CAPITAL REPOR	Reinforcement	2020 \$ 2021 \$	0 0				
		TOTAL COST EST	MATE: \$7,894,552				
LH PROJECT DRIVER	RNF	LH SECTION #	140				

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18C1		
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	27.6 kV Supply to Core		
London		Start Date:	Jan-18		
SYSTEM RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18		
Project Title: Main Feeder Backup Supp	bly to Core (Electrical)			
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Risks that could p conditions such a situations arise, a	potentially a as collapsed alternative ro	ffect this project signif ducts and unforeseer outes will have to be re	cantly include unknown underground City of London projects. If these e-evaluated.		
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:					
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	The new reduce c scenaric renewal	v feeders from Nelson customer outage durat os. As well, the addition and upgrade of old de	TS will increase system reliability and ions during system contingency nal feeders to the core permits the teriorated electrical infrastructure.		
Safety	Not App	licable			
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not App	licable			
Co-ordination, Interoperability	This pro TS for in continge	This project will permit the interconnection of Nelson TS and Talbot TS for increased reliability and operational flexibility during contingencies.			
Economic Development	Addition the City London	al capacity to support of London and its inte Plan. Also permits the	the economic development initiatives of nsification plans as outlined in the City's connection of generation.		
Environmental Benefits	Penetrat aging inf	tion of 27.6 kV supply frastructure containing	to the core facilitates the removal of lead.		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:			///		
There may be a slight reduction in the long run as additional equipment facilitates renewal of old infra leading to outages.	the astructure		Nelson TS New feeders to Sub 11 and		
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:			downtown to		
Do nothing; however, this alternative was rejected risk it would pose to supply reliability.	l due to the		offload Talbot TS		
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:					
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability		Sub 11			
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: Customers were not directly engaged regarding th but recent surveys indicate customers value impro- reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).	nis project, ovements to	Supplies downtown currently from Talbot TS			



CAPITAL PROJECT SUMMARY SHEET

SYSTEM SERVICE

Project Number:18C1Project Name:27.6 kV Supply to CoreStart Date:Jan-18In-Service Date:Dec-18

Project Title:

Main Feeder Backup Supply to Core (Electrical)

Additional Information:

New 27.6 kV Nelson TS Feeders (coordinate with Project 18C3)

The new feeder routing is as illustrated: 1) Red Circuit: 1st of two new main supplies to Sub 11. 2) Green Circuit: 2nd of two new main supplies to Sub 11. Note: Red and Green circuits offload Sub 11 from Talbot TS.

Sub 11 new supply from Nelson TS.

Sub 11 supplies downtown core ringbus.

3) Blue Circuit: Offloads Talbot TS 26M48 feeder Note: Blue circuit will permit the 26M48 (with reduced load) to backup Talbot TS 26M51 feeder. Both feeders supply nonringbus downtown load.

26M47 Cable Egress Replacement:

Its route highlighted below amounts to approximately 3 km of egress. This egress cable was originally installed in 1990. Over the past ten years, it has experienced seven faults. The results of recent inspections indicate evidence of excessive heating, and due to the number of faults London Hydro Engineers have deemed this cable to have reached the end of its useful service life and planned for its replacacement.





Prepared By:

Rodney Doyle, P.Eng. Senior Distribution Engineer

Approved By:

William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations

10	APITA	L PROJECT	Project Numbe	r: 18C2	
London	SUMMA	ARY SHEET	Project Name:	13.8 kV Main Fee	Conversion eders
Hydro	VOTEN		Start Date:	Jan-18	
5	SYSTEN		In-Service Date	Dec-18	
Project Title:	13.8	kV Conversion of Main Fee	ders		
Supporting Reference Material:	Londo	on Downtown - 13.8 kV/27.6	δ kV Nelson TS - 5 Year F	Plan	
Description:	A mu distrit Dowr non-r	Iti-year voltage conversion o oution infrastructure, as well ntown - 13.8 kV/27.6 kV Nel network downtown core to 2	of 13.8 kV loads to 27.6 k l as address the long term son TS - 5 Year Plan repo 7.6 kV supply.	V will facilitate the re strategic plans des ort which recommen	moval of aging cribed in the London ds the conversion of the
	The v loads condi	vork proposed is the fourth at 27.6 kV supply. This we tion of the existing 13.8 kV	year of a multi-year strate ork is also co-ordinated w Nelson TS supply from Hy	gic plan to resupply ith other plans that v ⁄dro One.	non-network 13.8 kV /ill address the age and
PRIMARY DRI					
		Reliability	C0	OST ESTIMATE -	BY YEAR
		Reliability	C(OST ESTIMATE - COST	BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVE	:RS:	Reliability Efficiency	C(DST ESTIMATE - COST \$0	BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVE		Reliability Efficiency Econ. Dev.	C(2012 2013	DST ESTIMATE - COST \$0 \$0	BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVE		Reliability Efficiency Econ. Dev.	C0 2012 2013 2014	DST ESTIMATE - COST \$0 \$0 \$545,748	BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVE		Reliability Efficiency Econ. Dev.	2012 2013 2014 2015	DST ESTIMATE - COST \$0 \$0 \$545,748 \$470,000 \$002,000	BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVE		Reliability Efficiency Econ. Dev.	CC 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017	DST ESTIMATE - COST \$0 \$545,748 \$470,000 \$667,000 \$470,000	BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVE CUSTOMERS IMPACTED:		Reliability Efficiency Econ. Dev. 240	CC 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	DST ESTIMATE - COST \$0 \$0 \$545,748 \$470,000 \$667,000 \$472,200 \$805,000	BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 1,400 kW 2,092 kW 1 860 kW
OTHER DRIVE CUSTOMERS IMPACTED:		Reliability Efficiency Econ. Dev. 240	Co 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019	DST ESTIMATE - COST \$0 \$545,748 \$470,000 \$667,000 \$472,200 \$805,000 \$275,000	BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 1,400 kW 2,092 kW 1,860 kW
OTHER DRIVE		Reliability Efficiency Econ. Dev. 240	Co 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020	DST ESTIMATE - COST \$0 \$0 \$545,748 \$470,000 \$667,000 \$472,200 \$805,000 \$275,000 \$275,000	BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 1,400 kW 2,092 kW 1,860 kW
OTHER DRIVE CUSTOMERS IMPACTED: OEB CAPITAL		Reliability Efficiency Econ. Dev. 240 NG:	Co 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021	DST ESTIMATE - COST \$0 \$545,748 \$470,000 \$667,000 \$472,200 \$805,000 \$275,000 \$275,000 \$0	BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 1,400 kW 2,092 kW 1,860 kW
OTHER DRIVE CUSTOMERS IMPACTED: OEB CAPITAL		Reliability Efficiency Econ. Dev. 240 NG: Conversions	Co 2012 2013 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021	DST ESTIMATE - COST \$0 \$0 \$545,748 \$470,000 \$667,000 \$472,200 \$805,000 \$275,000 \$275,000 \$0	BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 1,400 kW 2,092 kW 1,860 kW
OTHER DRIVE CUSTOMERS IMPACTED: OEB CAPITAL		Reliability Efficiency Econ. Dev. 240 NG: Conversions	Co 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 TOTAL COS	DST ESTIMATE - COST \$0 \$0 \$545,748 \$470,000 \$667,000 \$667,000 \$472,200 \$805,000 \$275,000 \$275,000 \$0 ST ESTIMATE:	BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE 1,400 kW 2,092 kW 1,860 kW \$3,509,948

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18C2	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	13.8 kV Conversion Main Feeders	
		Start Date:	Jan-18	
		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: 13.8 kV Conversion of Mair	n Feeders			
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Availability of reso projects; mitigation resources (interna	ources to m n plan is clo Il or contrac	atch timing with the 13.8 k ose co-ordination with the c ct) to ensure completion.	✓ underground plant conversion overhead line projects and securing	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	The con complete following over to th alternativ also be e loads su	version of the main 13.8 k ed according to the multi-ye g the plan, the non-network he much more reliable 27.6 ves for backup during cont eliminated in co-ordination pplied by the 13.8 kV over	/ non-network feeders will be ear plan to off load Nelson TS. In load will gradually be switched 5 kV system, with increased ingencies. Older infrastucture will with voltage conversion of the head system.	
Safety	In conve poles, w	rting 13.8 kV main feeders ill be eliminated from the s	, any depreciated plant, such as ystem, increasing safety overall.	
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not appl	Not applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Concurrent with executing the negotiated Connection Cost Recovery Agreement (CCRA) with Hydro One for the upgrade of Nelson TS, London Hydro was engaged with the IESO, the OPA, and Hydro One on the OEB's Regional Planning Process (RPP).			
Economic Development	Improve as a plac	Improved reliability will contribute to overall attractiveness of London as a place in which to live and do business		
Environmental Benefits	There ar project; s	e no direct environmental some material (e,g., wire) r	benefits associated with this nay be recycled in the process.	
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS: Annual operating and maintenance costs may be reduce to fewer outages related to a newly converted s	educed system.	Nelson TS		
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Voltage conversion of all 13.8 kV non-network load completed by 2020. An evaluation of the challenge encountered in this project leaves as an option son temporary supply via step-down transformation at s locations where load still needs to be supplied at 13	l must be es ne selected 3.8 kV.			
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN: Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: At the design stage, when changing the physical la distribution system, property owners may be invited discuss placement options of poles, potential new r	yout of the d to routing,			

6	CAPITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18C2
London	SUMMARY SHEET	Project Name:	13.8 kV Conversion Main Feeders
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18
	SISIEW KENEWAL	In-Service Date:	Dec-18

Project Title:

13.8 kV Conversion of Main Feeders

Additional Information:

The initiatives outlined in the London Downtown - 13.8 kV/27.6 kV Nelson TS - 5 Year Plan report require converting all 13.8 kV load by year 2020 when Hydro One eliminates the only transformer station supplying this voltage. Converting the downtown from 13.8 kV distribution, that had only one supply source, to the 27.6 kV distribution system, that has multiple supply sources, ensures a more reliable system to London's core area and also aids in optimizing switching and load transfering amongst the other 27.6 kV stations.

Under this project item it is anticipated that approximately 1,860 kW of 13.8 kV load will be converted to the 27.6 kV distribution system. The general project area covers the overhead plant as illustrated below (in yellow) including preliminary work for the mobile transformer at Sub-8. Upon successful conversion of the planned load, it is anticipated there will be an additional 574 kW of 13.8 kV main feeder load remaining to convert by year 2020.



CAF SU	PITAL PROJECT MMARY SHEET	Project Number: Project Name:	18C3 Civil Structure Installa	tion		
Hydro	STEM SERVICE	Start Date: In-Service Date:	Dec-18			
Project Title:	Installation of Civil Structure					
Supporting Reference Material:	City of London: The London Plan					
Description:	 The City of London will be conducting extensive civil infrastructure rehabilitation along the following streets: 1) Dundas Street: from Wellington Road to the Thames River (construction phased over 2 years) 2) York Street: from Colborne Street to Thames River (construction phased over 3 years) 3) Talbot Street: from Kent Street to Fullerton Street All projects will start in early 2018. In conjunction with the City's projects, London Hydro will replace most of its existing concrete encased duct and maintenance hole systems, whose audits revealed the structural integrity is at, or nearing, its usefull lifespan. In collaboration with Project 18F3, London Hydro will rebuild and modernize the existing electrical distribution system along Dundas Street to a 27.6 kV system; York and Talbot Street projects will replace existing structure and reroute existing cables. The installation of these civil infrastructures or also permit London Hydro to install future main feeder circuits and provide the City's Core with the 27.6 kV supply. Additionally, in collaboration with Project 18F1, London Hydro will require to construct new concrete encased duct and maintenance hole systems at the new Nelson Tranformer Station to permit the egress of the new feeders. 					
	Co-ordination	COST E	STIMATE - BY YEAR			
OTHER DRIVERS: CUSTOMERS IMPACTED: OEB CAPITAL RE	Efficiency Reliability Econ. Dev. Environmental Various	Collocation Collection Collect	DST AREA/SO \$0 \$0 05,000 35,000 00,000 50,000 00,000 00,000 00,000	COPE		
		TOTAL COST EST	IMATE: \$11,580	,000		
LH PROJECT DRIV	/ER: RNF	LH SECTION #	141			

6	CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18C3		
1	SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Civil Structure Installation		
London Hydro			Start Date: Jan-18			
	SYSTEM RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18		
Project Ti	tle: Civil Structure Installation					
Risks to (Mitigatior	Availability of reso ordination with the (contract) in a joir planning and desi least one year in a	ources to m e City to en nt tender wi ign time; mi advance to	atch timing dictated by t sure schedules are com th the City. This is a very tigation plan is to press provide sufficient time fo	he City; mitigation plan is close co- patible and to secure resources complex project that requires much the City to commit to the project at pr planning and design.		
EVALUAT Effici	TON OF OUTCOMES: ency, Customer Value, Reliability	This project involves the replacement of the old duct and maintenance holes and electrical equipment that are nearing the end of useful life with new duct structure and maintenance holes, modernized electrical equipment and 27.6 kV feeders. The efficiency is gained by removing multiple cables supplied by various systems and installing and operating only 27.6 kV feeders.				
	Safety	The safe will prov perform	ety component relies on ide a safer environment work.	the fact that new maintenance holes for our employees to access and		
	Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not app	licable			
	Co-ordination, Interoperability	This pro to minim installati	ject is co-ordinated with nize costs and for efficier on.	the City of London and other utilities at completion of civil infrastructure		
	Economic Development	Additional capacity in the core supports the economic development initiatives of the City of London and its intensification plans as outline in the City's London Plan.				
	Environmental Benefits	Environ that will downtov	mental benefits are relate allow London Hydro to e vn supply system.	ed to future new cable installations liminate lead cable present in the		

IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:

New civil infrastructure installations permit London Hydro to find new routes for underground supply cable, which can free up and allow decomissioning of other old structures that would otherwise require maintenance to remain in service.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

London Hydro can commit to projects related to civil infrastructure installation on its own, using its own contractors at the time when projects evolve. This is a much more costly option than co-ordinating with the City.

LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability

CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:

Multiple parties are involved in discussion throughout this project, in co-ordination with the City of London, property owners, commercial customers and other parties affected (e.g. other utilities).



CAPITAL PROJECT SUMMARY SHEET

Project Number: Project Name:

18C3

SYSTEM SERVICE

Start Date: In-Service Date: Civil Structure Installation Jan-18

Dec-18

Project Title:

Hydro

Installation of Civil Structure

Additional Information:

The scope for civil structure installations by street are highlighted below. Costs include depopulating cable from vaults.



		Droject Number	1004	
		Project Number:	1804	
SU	MMARY SHEET	Project Name:	Main Fe	eder lies
Hydro CVC		Start Date:	Jan-18	
513		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title:	New 27.6 kV Main Feeder Ties			
Supporting Reference Material:	London Area Regional Infrastructure Electric Distribution System Asset St	Plan (2017) ıstainment Plan: 2015-202	9 (2014)	
Description:	Significant residential and commercia growth exceeding reliable operating I TS is being utilized to support this ac station is operating above its 10-day exercises with Hydro One and the IE	al development in the city's limits on the existing distrib lditional load growth, howe Limited Time Rating (LTR) SO.	North-West e oution feeders. ver, load must) as identified in	nd has resulted in load Presently, Wonderland be reduced as this n the Regional Planning
	I his project involves building a new to North-West and assist in reducing lo required as this feeder build will leve	eeder circuit from Talbot T ad on Wonderland TS. Mi rage the spare provisions o	S to support tr nimal new pole on existing pole	e load growth in the construction will be es.
PRIMARY DRIVER	: Reliability	COST I	ESTIMATE - I	BY YEAR
	Efficiency	(COST	AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVERS:	Customer Value	2012	ֆՍ \$Ո	
	Econ. Dev.	2013	\$0 \$0	
		2015 \$7	76,043	
CUSTOMERS		2016 \$1,	623,919	685kW converted
IMPACTED:	6 000+	2017 \$	85,576	
	8,000+	2018 \$1	50,000	1 Project
		2019 \$6	\$53,000	
OEB CAPITAL RE	PORTING:	2020 \$6	\$50,000	295kW converted
		2021 \$2,	100,000	
	C4 - Main Feeder		TIMATE	\$6 038 53 8
				ψ0,000,000
	/ER: RNF	LH SECTION #		140

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18C4	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Main Feeder Ties	
London Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18	
SYSTEM RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: New 27.6 kV Main Feeder	Ties			
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Risks to completio sufficient to compl	on are limite ete this pro	ed. The availability of reso oject.	ources (internal and contractor) is	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	This pro provide Wonder and relia reconfig	This project is initiated as a result of a System Planning directive to provide load support in the North-West end of the City and to Wonderland TS. Customers will benefit from the additional capacity and reliable supply to support load growth and increase reconfiguration options under system contingencies.		
Safety	Not appl	icable		
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not appl	ot applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Not appl	lot applicable		
Economic Development	Addition attractive	dditional capacity and improved reliability will contribute to overall ttractiveness of London as a place in which to live and do business.		
Environmental Benefits	Not appl	icable		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS: Remain the same or increase slightly due to the ne constructed backbone system.	ewly		27.6 kV Route along Oxford St.	
 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Do nothing, however this alternative was rejected s existing distribution system is operating at the edge reliable limits due to increased loads as a result of commercial and residential development experience North-West. LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN: Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: Customers were not directly engaged regarding this but recent surveys indicate customers value improving reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement). 	since the e of its the ced in the s project, vements to			

4	CAPITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18C4
	SUMMARY SHEET	Project Name:	Main Feeder Ties
London	SYSTEM RENEWAL	Start Date:	Jan-18
Hydro		In-Service Date:	Dec-18

Project Title: New 27.6 kV Main Feeder Ties

Additional Information:

This new feeder tie will generally run along:

1) Oxford St. between Wharncliffe Rd. and Wonderland Rd.,

2) Wonderland Rd. between Oxford St. and Sarnia Rd.,

3) Sarnia Rd. between Wonderland Rd. and Hyde Park Rd.

This feeder build will offload the 26M54 and provide a feeder tie to Wonderland TS to permit greater load transfer capability within the city's rapidly developing North-West end. This build will also facilitate feeder reconfiguration to better balance loading and improve relability.

Prepared By:	Sunny Patel, P.Eng. Distribution Engineer
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations

6	CAPI	TAL PF	ROJEC	Т		Proje	ct Number:	18CC1	
1	SUM	MARY	SHEE	Г		Project Name:		Nelson TS	
London Hydro	0)/07			Start Date:		Jan-18			
	SYSI	EM RE	NEWA	L		In-Ser	vice Date:	2018	
Project Title	:	Neslon TS (Conversion	to 27.6 k	V Hydro	One Pa	ayments		
Supporting Reference Material:		1) London Downtown Long Term 27.6k\ 2) London Downtown - 13.8kV/27.6kV №			n 27.6kV ?7.6kV N	′ Supply elson T	/ and 13.8kV Decor S - 5 Year Plan	nmissioning Stra	ategy
Description:		This project the 13.8kV expend/borr \$2M is brok The AFUDC Total for the	is establish Substation ow the \$2,0 en down inf cexpenses	ned to tra and the c 000,000 e to \$500k that wou	ck the re conversion evenly. A quarters Id hit the	equired on of the .lso, sin books	payments to Hydro Substation to 27.6 ce the OEB provid quarterly for each y	One for the deco kV. This project es the CWIP rate rear as well as a	ommissioning of t assumes that we es quarterly the running Project
	2.70%	2.70%	2.70%	2.70%	365	D CICITI			
	8/31/15	Pmt	Int	Balance					
	9/30/15	1,000,000	3,551	1,603,551	30				
	12/31/15	1.750.000	10,913	1,614,464	92		14,000	2015 Total AFUDC	
	3/31/16	1,750,000	12,939	3,377,403	91	16			
	6/30/16		22,735	3,400,138	91				
	12/31/16		23,140	3,423,277 3,446,574	92		82,000	2016 Total AFUDC	
	3/15/17	1,750,000		5,196,574					
	3/31/17		25,017	5,221,591	90 91	16			
	9/30/17		35,775	5,292,515	92				
	12/31/17	1 750 000	36,018	5,328,533	92		132,000	2017 Total AFUDC	
	3/15/18	1,750,000	37.546	7,078,533	90	16			
	_,,			.,,			Assume project complete		
	6/30/18		47.902	7,163,981	91		June 30th (OEB 1/2 year rule)	2018 Total AFUDC	
	7/31/18		,	7,163,981			,		
	8/31/18			7,163,981	02				
	10/31/18		-	7,163,981	92				
	11/30/18			7,163,981					
	12/31/18	6 850 000	- 313 981	7,163,981	92		85,000	Total Project AFLIDC	
	3/15/21	1,450,000	010,001				015,000	Final Payment	
PRIMARY DI	RIVER:		Relial	bility			COST ES	IMATE - BY Y	EAR
							00	.	
	/500		01				CO	א וכ	KEA/SCUPE
OTHER DRIV	VERS:		Custome	er value		20	12		
			Econ.	Dev.		20	13		
			Environ	mental		20	14		
						20	15 \$	1,614,464	
CUSTOMER	S					20	16 \$	1,832,111	
IMPACTED:			Directly:	2.000		20	17 \$	1,881,959	
			Indirectly	: 4,000		20	18 ¢	1 835 000	
			,	,					
						20	13	¢250,000	
UEB CAPITA	AL REPO	DRIING:				20	20		
	C	1 Poinforce	amonto			20	21 \$	1,450,000	
	C.		EITHEITIUS			то	TAL COST ESTI	MATE:	\$8,863,534
		D.				1110			140
LH PROJECT DRIVER: RNF				DECTION #		140			

6	CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18CC1			
SUMMARY SHEET			Project Name:	Nelson TS			
London			Stort Data:	lan 18			
Hydro	SYSTEM RENEWAL						
			In-Service Date:	2018			
Project Tit	Project Title: Neslon TS Conversion to 27.6 kV Hydro One Payments						
Risks to C Mitigation	ompletion & Plan:						
EVALUATI	ON OF OUTCOMES:						
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability		As a result of this project, the adequacy and security of supply to the downtown will increase as it will providing additional capacity for growth.					
Safety Not App			licable				
	Cyber-Security, Privacy Not App		blicable				
	Co-ordination, Interoperability	licable					
	Economic Development	Additional capacity in the core supports the economic development initiatives of the City of London and its intensification plans as outlined in the City's London Plan.					
	Environmental Benefits	Penetrat aging int	ion of the 27.6kV supply t rastructure containing lea	o the core facilitates the removal of d.			
There may be a slight reduction in the long run as the additional equipment facilitates renewal of old infrastructure leading to fewer outages.							
ALTERNA	TIVES CONSIDERED:						
Considered Do Nothing option along with other options for							
efficient and optimal solution.							
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:		INSER	T PICTURE HERE				
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability							
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:			†				
Customers were not directly engaged regarding this project, but recent surveys indicate customers value improvements to reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).							



Neslon TS Conversion to 27.6 kV Hydro One Payments Project Title:

Additional Information:

Part 4: Manner of Payment

The Customer shall make the following progress payments (plus HST) towards the Facilities Upgrade Contribution by on or before the Payment Milestone Date specified below. Hydro One will invoice the Customer for each progress payment 30 days prior to the Payment Milestone Date.

Progress Payment No.	Payment Milestone Date	Total Payment Required (plus HST)
1	June 15, 2015	\$1,600,000 plus HST in the amount of \$208,000*
2	March 15, 2016	\$1,750,000 plus HST in the amount of \$227,500*
3	March 15, 2017	\$1,750,000 plus HST in the amount of \$227,500*
4	March 15, 2018	\$1,750,000 plus HST in the amount of \$227,500*
5	Earlier of March 15, 2021 or 3 months prior to the date scheduled	\$1,450,000 plus HST in the amount of \$188,500**
	by Hydro One to commence decommissioning of the T3/T4 DESN***	

Should the Customer default in paying any amount to Hydro One, Hydro One reserves the right to add the AFUDC as it deems necessary.

Progress payments 1-4 are collectively, the First Reconciliation Customer Progress Payments ** Progress payment 5 is the Second Reconciliation Customer Payment. ** The Customer acknowledges and agrees that if Hydro One is able to commence the decommissioning of the T3/T4 DESN prior to <u>March 15, 2021</u>, the Customer is required to make the Second Reconciliation Customer Payment by no later than 3 months prior to the date that Hydro One has scheduled for Hydro One to commence the decommissioning work. Hydro One shall notify the Customer, in writing, should Hydro One be able to schedule the commencement of the decommissioning work prior to <u>March 15, 2021</u>.

Prepared By: Ken Walsh, P.Eng. Approved By: Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations

CAPI	TAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18D1				
SUM	MARY SHEET	Project Name:	City of London (Road Authority) Relocations				
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18				
SYS	TEM ACCESS	In-Service Date:	Dec-18				
Project Title:	City of London (Road Authority) Reloca	tions					
Supporting 2 Reference 2 Material:	frastructure Replacement List (2014-2023), City of London 014 Transportation Development Charge - Background Study, City of London (May 2014) 030 Transportation Master Plan - SmartMoves (May 2013) ondon Rapid Transit (SHIFT) ublic Service Works on Highways Act						
Description:	This project involves the relocation of London Hydro Infrastructure located on the road allowance These relocations are initiated by the Road Authority (City of London) and are necessary in order accommodate planned modifications to the roadway.						
	Works on Highways Act enacted by the power to ensure that all operating corpor- vith the Road Authority to execute any imely manner. The Act states that an (elocate their plant on the road allowand alterations within a specified time perior of costs for these required works. Typic he labour and vehicle costs from the R	Provincial Governmen prations entitled to the u required modifications t Operating Corporation (ce to accommodate the d. The Act also outlines cally the Operating Corp oad Authority.	t. The Act gives a Road Authority the se of the road allowance cooperate to the profile of the road allowance in a London Hydro Inc.) must modify or Road Authority's improvements or the mechanism for the apportionment poration is permitted to recover 50% of				
PRIMARY DRIVER: Co-ordination		соѕт	ESTIMATE - BY YEAR				
OTHER DRIVERS:	Econ. Dev.	C 2012 \$1,5	OST AREA/SCOPE 89,553				
	Customer Value	2013 \$99	01,465				
	Safety	2014 \$1,9	28,812				
		2015 \$1,5	20,000				
CUSTOMERS		2016 \$3,0	25,000				
IMPACTED:	Various	2017 \$3,0	66,121				
		2018 \$4,3	3U, IUU 05 000				
		2019 \$1,6	95,000 70,000				
UED CAPITAL REPU		2020 \$1,0	70,000 80,000				
D1 - City	Road Authority Relocates	2021 \$/3	0,000				
	Toda Automy Nelocales	TOTAL COST ES	FIMATE: \$20,546,051				
LH PROJECT DRIVE	R: COL	LH SECTION #	133				

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number: 18D1				
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	City of London (Road Authority) Relocations			
		Start Date:	Jan-18			
SYSTEM ACCESS		In-Service Date:	Dec-18			
Project Title: City of London (Road Authority) Relocations						
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Availability of resources to match timing dictated by the City of London; mitigation plan is close co-ordination with the City to ensure schedules are compatible; some projects may require new infrastructure to be designed, ordered, constructed; mitigation plan is to push the City to commit to projects at least one year in advance to provide ample lead time for planning.						
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:						
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability exi mo		Past projects have demonstrated it is most efficient to build new infrastructure at the new location, rather than attempt relocating the existing infrastructure; this results in newer infrastructure which will be more reliable.				
Safety Renew of the		ed infrastructure and new design standards improve the safety distribution system				
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not App	plicable				
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Significa utilities; works	cant co-ordination is required with the municipality and other ; where possible, a single contractor is used to install civil				
Economic Development	Municipa developi plan.	bal road widenings are part of the City's overall economic oment plan to enhance growth, and this project supports that				
Environmental Benefits	Not App	licable				
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:						
Annual operating and maintenance costs may be re since newly installed infrastructure will experience f outages.	88	200 CAS				
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:		4	T			
In most cases there are no alternatives; if possible, alternatives that reduce impact to utility plant are co	43.8-300 ST	51.6-200				
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:						
6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability - Growth		THE ASSOCIATION OF THE ASSOCIATIONO OF THE ASSOCIATION OF THE ASSOCIATIONO OF THE ASSOCIATIONO OF THE ASSOCIATIONO OF THE ASSOCIATIONO OF THE ASSO	STMH			
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: The City of London leads customer interaction on road widening projects; London Hydro initiates contact with customers to explain the driver for hydro work, potential service interruptions, surface restoration responsibilities, and overall schedule.			100 CAS 250 CAS NOTE: REMOVE & RELOCATE ITILITY POLE			


	TAL PROJECT MARY SHEET	Project Number: Project Name:	18E1 Expansions and Relocations		
London		Start Date:	Jan-18		
SYS	TEM ACCESS	In-Service Date:	Dec-18		
Project Title:	Developer Driven Distribution Circuits	Expansions and Relocation	S		
Supporting Reference Material:	London Hydro Conditions of Service (A	ugust 2017)			
Description:	This budget item includes extension of the existing high voltage overhead or underground distribution system in order to accommodate new customer developments as they are added to London's service area. This budget item includes all costs associated with the construction of these extensions. At present time we are not aware of any expansion projects. Historically we build at least one expansion per year and the allocated budget is for those potential expansions.				
This item also includes the relocation of existing London Hydro plant for accommodating new developments within the city limits. These relocations are required when items such as new pro driveways and turn lanes for new developments are in conflict with the existing hydro plant. This budget item includes all costs associated with the relocation.					
PRIMARY DRIVER:	Customer Value	- COST ES	TIMATE - BY YEAR		
OTHER DRIVERS:	Econ. Dev.	2012 \$474 2013 \$721 2014 \$346 2015 \$461	AREA/SCOPE 4,285 1,224 5,785 1,286		
CUSTOMERS IMPACTED:	Various	2016 \$683 2017 \$901 2018 \$350 2019 \$999	3,035 1,549 0,000 9,200		
OEB CAPITAL REPO	DRTING er Expansions and Relocations	2020 \$1,30 2021 \$200	0,800),000		
		TOTAL COST EST	MATE: \$6,438,164		
LH PROJECT DRIVE	R: DEV	LH SECTION #	131		

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18E1	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Expansions and Relocations	
London Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18	
SYSTEM ACCESS		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Developer Driven Distributi	on Circuit E	Expansions and Relocation	ons	
Risks to Completion & Availability of reso Mitigation Plan: Coordination with the completion.	ources to m the develop	atch timing required by the transformed securing resource	ne developer; mitigation plan is close es (internal or contract) to ensure	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:				
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability		kpansion for new developments within the city of London reinforces e distribution system and often represent opportunities to reconfigure frastructure and increase automation, which enhances the reliability the power supply to customers.		
Safety	There a	e are no direct implications to safety as a result of this project		
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not App	oplicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	London relocatio	Ion Hydro responds to customers' requests for service or cation of plant to support new developments.		
Economic Development	Adequat initiative the City'	equate supply capacity supports the economic development atives in the city of London and the intensification plans outlined in City's London Plan.		
Environmental Benefits	There a	re no direct environmenta	I benefits associated with this project.	
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:				
Not Applicable		Developer driven	E /	
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:		expansion		
Customer development added to London Hydro's s area must be supplied with service upon request.	//			
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:				
6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability - Growth	F	1 h		
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:		2		
This project is a direct result of customer application receive service in territories where London Hydro I infrastructure. Customers make decisions on the ty expansion (overhead or underground) required.	ons to acks the /pe of the			



CAPITAL PROJECT SUMMARY SHEET

SYSTEM ACCESS

Project Number: Project Name: 18E1 Expansions and Relocations Jan-18

In-Service Date:

Start Date:

Dec-18

Project Title: Developer Driven Distribution Circuits Expansions and Relocations

Additional Information:

This project involves the installation and/or modification of electrical equipment that is used in supplying customers' installations. It also includes the work associated with upgrading existing installations.

The London Hydro Conditions of Service details how capital contributions are assessed for these installations.

At the present time, London Hydro is not aware of any specific expansions that will be required in 2018. The \$350,000 budget allocated for expansions and relocations has historically been the amount required to cover projects yet to be determined by developers.

Prepared By:	Sunny Patel, P.Eng. Distribution Engineer
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. V.P. Engineering & Operations

CAP SUN	ITAL PROJECT	Project Number: Project Name:	18E2 Seconda	ry Service Upgrades	
Hydro SYS	Hydro SYSTEM ACCESS		Jan-18 Dec-18		
Project Title:	Residential Secondary Service Upgrades				
Supporting Reference Material:	London Hydro Conditions of Service (August 2017): Service Upgrades - Residential (Sec.2.2.4 & Appendix B)				
Description:	This budget item is for the replaced larger capacity conductor. These co- electrical service demands. This bud upgrades. For an upgrade to a serv charge a reasonable fee for the portion	nent of existing overhea nnections are typically re lget item includes all cos vice level higher than the on of the upgrade beyond	d low voltage s equired when cu sts associated v basic connecti basic service.	service conductors with ustomers increase their vith these basic service on, London Hydro may	
	Customer Value				
		COST	ESTIMATE - E	BY YEAR	
OTHER DRIVERS:	Econ. Dev.	2012 \$ 2013 \$ 2014 \$ 2015 \$	COST 340,484 314,266 342,587 395,395	AREA/SCOPE	
CUSTOMERS IMPACTED:	Various	2016 \$ 2017 \$ 2018 \$ 2019 \$	445,300 390,944 363,000 370,000	various various various	
OEB CAPITAL REP E2 - Resident	ORTING: tial Secondary Service Upgrade	2020 \$ 2021 \$	377,000 384,000		
		TOTAL COST ES	STIMATE:	\$3,722,976	
LH PROJECT DRIVI	ER: DEV	LH SECTION #		131	

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18E2	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Secondary Service Upgrades	
Hydro		Start Date:	.lan-18	
SYSTEM ACCESS		In-Service Date:	Dec 18	
			Dec-10	
Project Title: Residential Secondary Serv	vice Upgra	des		
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Risks to completion executed each year this project.	on are mini ar. The ava	mal. This project is part of ailability of resources (inte	f a program that is successfully rnal labour) is sufficient to complete	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:				
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability		Replacement of aged, undersized secondary services is supported by the Asset Sustainment Plan, which projects that assets reaching end of life should be replaced before affecting reliability or jeopardizing safety.		
Safety	Upgradi electric	Jpgrading substandard or inadequate installation of residential electric service contributes to an improvement in safety overall.		
Cyber-Security, Privacy Not A		licable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Not App	licable		
Economic Development	Improve London	ed service will contribute to as a place in which to live	o the overall attractiveness of and do business.	
Environmental Benefits	There a	There are no direct environmental benefits associated with this work.		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:				
Fewer outages may be experienced on the second system when older installations are upgraded, result lower operating and maintenance costs.	ary Ilting in			
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:		Mid span tap for		
The Distribution System Code requires every LDC to provide			residential service	
upgrades bring all installations up to current standa	ards.			
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:			$\times / /$	
6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability - Asset Manageme	nt			
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: Upgrades to residential secondary services are init		Les Million Street		

Upgrades to residential secondary services are initiated by customers; London Hydro upgrades the infrastructure in response to the customer request.





CAPI	TAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18E3		
SUN	IMARY SHEET	Project Name:	Residential Underground Servicing		
London Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18		
SYS	TEM ACCESS	In-Service Date:	Dec-18		
Project Title:	New Single Family Residential Underg	round Distribution			
Supporting Reference Material:	CMHC Housing Market Outlook for London Reports (2016) CMHC Housing Forecast Data London Hydro Conditions of Service (August 2017)				
Description:	This item involves the installation of sin provide service as needed to develope	ngle family residential underg ers.	round distribution systems to		
	It is noted that market conditions can on item is solely dependent on market con- varying magnitude depending on custo	reate large fluctuations in ex nditions. This section will cor omer requirements.	penditures from year to year. This ntain several different projects of		
PRIMARY DRIVER:	Customer Value	0007.507			
		COSTEST	IMATE - BY YEAR		
		COS	ST AREA/SCOPE		
OTHER DRIVERS:	Econ. Dev.	2012 \$2,480	,430		
		2013 \$1,513	,249		
		2014 \$2,881	,332		
		2015 \$2,340	,507		
		2016 \$2,896	,029 200		
INPACTED:	Various	2017 \$4,700 2018 \$3,325	000		
		2018 \$3,525 2019 \$1,440	000		
OEB CAPITAL REP	ORTING:	2020 \$1,470	,000		
	-	2021 \$1,494	,000		
E3 - Sing	le Family Residential UG	TOTAL COST ESTIN	MATE: \$24,601,456		
LH PROJECT DRIVE	ER: DEV	LH SECTION #	142		

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18E3	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Servicing	
		Start Date:	Jan-18	
SYSTEM ACCESS		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: New Single Family Resid	dential Under	ground Distribution		
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan:Resource avail completion. Mit operations staft and secure the start date	ability to matc igation plan ir f to determine external reso	ch customer's requested s includes close co-ordinatio the projects that need to urces (contractor) well in a	ervice date is the biggest risk to n between engineering and be completed by external resources advance of the requested project	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:		With the economic growth expected in the City of London, new developments will be built. London Hydro utilizes these opportunities to expand the underground distribution system infrastructure, reconfigure the system, and increase automation, which will provide the customers with reliable power supply.		
Safety	/ There is	no direct implication to sa	afety as a result of this project.	
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not app	licable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	London support	Hydro responds to develo new developments in the	per's requests for new services to City of London.	
Economic Developmen	London accomo supply c City of L London	is growing and new reside date the growth. London H apacity supports the econ ondon and its growth plar Plan".	ntial subdivisions will be built to lydro will ensure that adequate omic development initiatives in the is as outlined in the City's "The	
Environmental Benefits	There a	re no direct environmenta	benefits as a result of this project.	
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:				
Not applicable				

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

There is no alternative consideration; new houses added to London Hydro's with service area must be supplied with service upon request.

LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability - Growth

CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:

This project is a direct result of customer applications to install services in new subdivision developments where London Hydro lacks the underground infrastructure. This project is closely co-ordinated with the customer and developers.





CAPI	TAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18E4		
SUN	IMARY SHEET	Project Name:	Multi-Housing Servicing		
London Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18		
SYS	TEM ACCESS	In-Service Date:	Dec-18		
Project Title:	New Multi-Housing Underground Distr	ibution			
Supporting Reference Material:	CMHC Housing Market Outlook for London Reports (2016) CMHC Housing Forecast Data London Hydro Conditions of Service (August 2017)				
Description:	This item involves the installation of m underground distribution systems to pr	ulti-housing (primarily town ovide service as needed to	houses and condominiums) developers.		
	This item is solely dependent on marked of varying magnitude depending on cu	et conditions. This section stomer requirements.	will contain several different projects		
PRIMARY DRIVER:	Customer Value	COST ES	TIMATE - BY YEAR		
OTHER DRIVERS:	Econ. Dev.	CC 2012 \$633 2013 \$995 2014 \$83	AREA/SCOPE 3,113 3,045 1,460		
		2015 \$1,86	67,370		
CUSTOMERS		2016 \$1,22	26,389		
IMPACTED:	Various	2017 \$2,57	78,480 13,000		
		2018 \$2,02	0.000		
OEB CAPITAL REP		2020 \$95	5,000		
		2021 \$97	4,000		
E4 - Mu	Iti-Family Residential UG				
		TOTAL COST EST	IMATE: \$13,041,857		
LH PROJECT DRIVE	ER: DEV	LH SECTION #	143		

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18E4	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Multi-Housing Servicing	
London Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18	
SYSTEM ACCESS		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: New Multi-Housing Underg	round Distr	ibution		
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: Resource availability to match customer's requested service date is the biggest risk to completion. Mitigation plan includes close co-ordination between engineering and operations staff to determine the projects that need to be completed by external resource and secure the external resources (contractor) well in advance of the requested project start date.				
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	With the develop to expar reconfig the custo	/ith the economic growth expected in the City of London, new evelopments will be built. London Hydro utilizes these opportunities o expand the underground distribution system infrastructure, econfigure the system, and increase automation, which will provide ne customers with reliable power supply.		
Safety	There is	no direct implication to safe	ety as a result of this project.	
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not appl	applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	London support	don Hydro responds to developer's requests for new services to port new developments in the City of London.		
Economic Development	London London economi plans as	ondon is growing and new multi-housing projects will be developed; ondon Hydro will ensure that adequate supply capacity supports the conomic development initiatives in the City of London and its growth lans as outlined in the City's "The London Plan" plan.		
Environmental Benefits	There ar	e no direct environmental b	enefits as a result of this project.	
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:			A CONTRACTOR	
Not applicable			1	
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: There is no alternative consideration; new multi-hol developments added to London Hydro's service are supplied service upon request.	using ea must be			
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:				
6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability - Growth		O Marine		
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:		27/4		
I his project is a direct result of customer applicatio	ns to here		S. Parts	
London Hydro lacks the underground infrastructure project is closely co-ordinated with the customer ar developers.	e. This nd		•	
L		1		



CAP	ITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18E5		
SUN	IMARY SHEET	Project Name:	Commercial Distribution		
London Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18		
515		In-Service Date:	Dec-18		
Project Title:	New Commercial Distribution Service	s			
Supporting Reference Material:	London Hydro Conditions of Service (August 2017) City of London: The London Plan				
Description:	This item is for the installation of com provide service as required by custon	mercial overhead and unden ners. This item is solely base	rground distribution systems to ed on market conditions.		
	This budget is based on past historica development forecasts, market review	al expenditure patterns and point of a statement of	past history, City of London		
PRIMARY DRIVER:	Customer Value	COST ES	STIMATE - BY YEAR		
		СС	OST AREA/SCOPE		
OTHER DRIVERS:	Econ. Dev.	2012 \$2,43	39,282		
		2013 \$2,31	10,586		
			14,678		
CURTOMERS		2015 \$1,90 2016 ¢1.02			
		2010 \$1,92 2017 \$2.83	+0,000 30 198		
	Various	2018 \$2.99	91.000		
		2019 \$2,03	30,000		
OEB CAPITAL REP	ORTING:	2020 \$2,07	70,000		
		2021 \$2,1 ²	11,000		
E5 - Comn	nercial Distribution Services				
		TOTAL COST EST	IMATE: \$22,666,744		
LH PROJECT DRIVE	R: DEV	LH SECTION #	144		

6		PROJECT		Project Number:	18E5	
1	SUMMAR	Y SHEET		Project Name:	Commercial Distribution	
London Hydro				Start Date:	Jan-18	
	SYSTEM	ACCESS		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Tit	le: New C	ommercial Distributio	on Services	3		
Risks to C Mitigation	ompletion & Plan:	Resource availabi completion. Mitiga operations staff to and secure the ex service date.	lity to matc ition plan ir determine ternal reso	h customers' requested icludes close co-ordination the projects that need to urces (contractor) well in	service dates is the biggest risk to on between engineering and be completed by external resources advance of the requested project	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability		With the economic growth expected in the City of London, new commercial and industrial projects will be developed requiring London Hydro to expand its overhead/underground infrastructure. This expansion will reinforce the distribution system and offers opportunities for reconfiguration and increased automation, which provide the customers with reliable power supply.				
		Safety	There is no direct implication to safety as a result of this project.			
	Cyber-S	ecurity, Privacy	Not applicable			
	Co-ordination,	Interoperability	London for new i	London Hydro co-ordinates with and responds to customer requests for new installations or modifying current installations.		
Economic Development		Commercial and industrial customers play a big role in the economic growth of the City of London. London Hydro will ensure that adequate supply capacity supports the economic development initiatives in the City of London and its growth plans as outlined in the City's "The London Plan" plan.				
Environmental Benefits Th			There ar	e no direct environment	al benefits as a result of this project.	
IMPACT T	O O&M COSTS:		•			

Not applicable

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

There is no alternative consideration; new commercial and industrial customers added to London Hydro's service area must be supplied service upon request.

LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability - Growth

CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:

This project is a direct result of customer applications to install services in proposed commercial and industrial locations. This project is closely co-ordinated with the customer and for developers.



red By Development Services - CITY OF LONDON



Additional Information:

This project involves the installation and/or modification of electrical equipment that is used to supply commercial (including apartments) and industrial customers' installations. It also includes the work associated with upgrading existing installations to meet the demands and requirements for the new loads.

The "London Hydro Conditions of Service" document outlines how capital contributions are calculated for these installations.

From a budgeting perspective, the annual expenditures are estimated based on a number of factors including past history, City of London development forecasts, market reviews, and customer inquiries. Examples of the various documents created and reviewed are shown. This information is updated each year and the forecasts and budgets are adjusted accordingly.

This collection of information is part of a larger library that is used in the preparation of the 25 year load forecast.



CAF	PITAL PROJECT	Project Nur	nber: 1	8F1		
SUI	MMARY SHEET	Project Nar	ne: /	letwork Vaults/ Manholes Fransformer Replacements		
Hydro		Start Date:	J	an-18		
SYS		In-Service I	Date: D	ec-18		
Project Title:	Network Vaults / Manholes / Transform	er Replacemer	nts			
Supporting Reference Material:	Summary Report of Structures Inventor Electric Distribution System Asset Sust	ry: Maintenance ainment Plan: 2	e Holes & Network Tr 2015-2029 (2014)	ansformer Vaults (2012)		
Description:	This item involves the design and installation of structural entities such as concrete manholes, vaults, roof s and steel vault grating, as well as replacement of network transformers, protectors and other electrical components associated with the structure replacement.					
	In 2012, London Hydro conducted an extensive inspection of the civil structures on its distribution system. London Hydro retained four engineering consultants to complete inspection and assess the condition of the below grade structures: network transformers vaults and maintenance holes. The total number of inspected structures consisted of 32 network transformer vaults and 553 maintenance holes. The report summarized th following items: inventory breakdown, structure condition ratings, inventory replacement cost value, recommendations for next inspection cycle and anticipated life expectancy.					
	Each year, we select manholes and valit inspect year by engineering consultants. criteria because a large number of structur inspection for those structures in good co structures based on their age. By 2017, v 50 years old from this group. We have be and we are all up to date with replacement In 2018, London Hydro will replace various and rebuild at least one MH and a vault. I as part of 13.8 kV conversion that coordin	s for inspection In 2017, we also ures were due fo indition. In additive have finished een replacing maints to 2017. Is maintenance ondon Hydro wo nates with the C	based on two criteria. took structural rating or a default 5-year insp ion, for the never-insp the inspection for all r anholes and roof slabs hole (MH) roofslabs, ra- rill also replace network ity of London's Dundas	One is the recommended re- into consideration as the 2nd ection. We postponed the ected population, we selected the nanholes and vaults which are since the report was published epair walls to MHs and vaults, a transformers and their relays s St. project.		
PRIMARY DRIVER	: Safety	_	COST ESTIMAT	E - BY YEAR		
OTHER DRIVERS:	Reliability Efficiency	2012 2013 2014 2015	COST \$904,397 \$555,280 \$1,297,346	AREA/SCOPE 13 Projects 9 Projects 9 Projects 12 Projects		
CUSTOMERS IMPACTED:	Various	2013 2016 2017 2018 2019	\$1,500,000 \$1,000,000 \$1,581,189 \$1,130,000 \$950,000	10 Projects 12 Projects 12 Projects 10 Projects		
OEB CAPITAL REF	PORTING: Network Vaults/ Manholes /Transformers	2020 2021	\$1,050,000 \$1,050,000			
		TOTAL	COST ESTIMATE	\$11,018,212		
LH PROJECT DRIV	YER: SAF	LH SECTI	ON #	141		

C/	APITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18F1
London S	UMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Network Vaults/ Manholes /Transformer Replacements
Hyaro			Start Date:	Jan-18
51	STEM RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title:	Network Vaults / Maintenan	nce Holes /	Transformer Replacemen	ts
Risks to Comple Mitigation Plan:	etion & Resource availabil ordination between be completed by e advance of the pro	lity is the bi n engineeri external res oject start c	iggest risk to completion. I ing and operations staff to ources and secure the ext late.	Vitigation plan includes close co- determine the projects that need to ternal resources (contractor) well in
EVALUATION O	PF OUTCOMES: Customer Value, Reliability	The netw system of abandor retired w when wo eliminate planning	work system housed by the downtown is gradually beir ned due to reduced loads, rhen redundant. Network ork takes place in the vault ed according to customer n	e duct and maintenance hole ng reshaped, with sections of cable and some structures are being transformers are often refurbished t housing them, or completely needs identified by system
	Safety	Working Hydro. T confined	safely in below grade stru his project ensures that o spaces to conduct work i	ictures is a high priority at London perators continue to be able to use n a very safe manner.
	Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not appl	icable	
Co-	ordination, Interoperability	Not appl	icable	
	Economic Development	Maintain economi to suppo	ing the infrastructure in th ic development as London ort emerging loads in the m	e downtown core supports Hydro continues to have the ability nost reliable way.
	Environmental Benefits	Environr the elimi cable wł environr	nental benefits that are a nation of segments of pap nich has become obsolete nental concerns.	direct result of this project include er-insulated-lead-covered (PILC) at London Hydro due to safety and
IMPACT TO O&I A slight reduction can be realized a infrastructure. ALTERNATIVES London Hydro er	M COSTS: n in the operating and maintenance as a result of renewing this type of CONSIDERED: ngineers do not have in-house exp	e costs ertise		

London Hydro engineers do not have in-house expertise regarding civil work. Civil engineering consultants have been contracted to advise on the state of this infrastructure and have made recommendations that needed to be followed.

LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability

CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:

London Hydro engages City of London and businesses that are directly affected when such large scope reconstruction projects take place.







CAPITAL PROJECT SUMMARY SHEET

SYSTEM RENEWAL

Project Number:

Project Name: Start Date: In-Service Date: 18F1 Network Vaults/ Manholes /Transformer Replacements Jan-18 Dec-18

Project Title:

Network Vaults / Manholes / Transformer Replacements

Additional Information:

London Hydro owns a large number of older maintenance holes (MH) and vaults, a few of them dating from the early 1920's. The condition assessment performed on this infrastructure several years ago indicates some structures are no longer safe to be in use. This item will resolve safety and reliability issues resulting from these inspections and in compliance with the Asset Sustainment Plan.

This budget item also includes the cost for replacing the electrical components associated with the structure replacements. Complete reconstruction is very complex and can reach exorbitant costs. Therefore, London Hydro's approach is to reinspect civil structures as appropriate and perform remedy work, prioritizing replacements only if necessary and in coordination with other work on the network.

Based on London Hydro's 2017 structural audit, the following is proposed for 2018 construction:

- Roof slab replacements for MHs: 62, 269, 356, 501 and 558.
- Roof slab and wall repairs to MH 624 and Vault 084.
- Rebuild MHs 255 and 466. Options may include abandoning the structures or perform remedy work to delay replacement.
- Rebuild an exisitng vault or install a new vault.

Prepared By:	Rodney Doyle, P.Eng. Senior Distribution Engineer
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations

<i>v</i> /	CAPIT	AL PROJECT	Project Number:	18F2	
	SUMM	ARY SHEET	Project Name:	Prima Repla	ry & Secondary Cable cement
Hydro			Start Date:	Jan-18	3
	SYSTE		In-Service Date:	Dec-1	8
Project Title:	: Prir	nary & Seconday Cable Replaceme	ent		
Supporting Reference Material:	Eleo	ctric Distribution System Asset Sus	tainment Plan: 2015-20	29 (2014)	
Description:	Lon Iow con and	don Hydro utilizes approximately 2, -voltage main secondary cable in th tinuously assessing and replacing o require immediate replacement. T	,000 km of primary cabl le downtown service ter cables through capital p his project provides fur	le in its distribu rritory. Althoug projects, some ading to replac	ition system and 22 km of gh London Hydro is cables fail unexpectedly e such cables.
PRIMARY DI	RIVER:	Reliability	COST	ESTIMATE	- BY YEAR
PRIMARY DI	RIVER:	Reliability	COST	ESTIMATE	- BY YEAR
PRIMARY DI	RIVER: /ERS:	Reliability	2012 9 2013 9 2014 9 2015	ESTIMATE COST \$462,053 \$199,082 \$384,081 \$50,095	- BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE
PRIMARY DI OTHER DRIV CUSTOMER IMPACTED:	RIVER: /ERS: S	Reliability Efficiency Various	2012 3 2013 3 2014 3 2015 2016 3 2017 3 2018 3 2019 3	ESTIMATE COST \$462,053 \$199,082 \$384,081 \$50,095 \$147,985 \$266,879 \$130,000 \$380,000	- BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE
PRIMARY DI OTHER DRIV CUSTOMER IMPACTED: OEB CAPITA	RIVER: /ERS: S	Reliability Efficiency Various	2012 9 2013 9 2013 9 2014 9 2015 2016 9 2017 9 2018 9 2019 9 2020 9 2021 9	ESTIMATE COST \$462,053 \$199,082 \$384,081 \$50,095 \$147,985 \$266,879 \$130,000 \$380,000 \$380,000 \$380,000	- BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE
PRIMARY DI OTHER DRIV CUSTOMER IMPACTED: OEB CAPITA F2 - Rep	RIVER: VERS: S AL REPOR ⁻	Reliability Efficiency Various TING: Primary& Secondary Cables	2012 9 2013 9 2014 9 2015 2016 9 2016 9 2017 9 2018 9 2019 9 2020 9 2021 9 2021 9	ESTIMATE COST \$462,053 \$199,082 \$384,081 \$50,095 \$147,985 \$266,879 \$130,000 \$380,000 \$380,000 \$380,000	- BY YEAR AREA/SCOPE \$2,780,175

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18F2	
SUMMARY SHEET	г	Project Name:	Primary & Secondary Cable Replacement	
		Start Date:	Jan-18	
	\L	In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Primary & Seconday	Cable Replacem	ent		
Risks to Completion & The risks to Mitigation Plan: The risks to on historical associated v	completion are r l experience and with cable failures	ninimal. An inventory of s labour allocation is priorit s.	pare material is maintained based ized based on the operational risk	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:				
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliab	ility Replacir supply o	ng failed cables ensures t f electricity.	hat customers receive a reliable	
Sat	fety Not App	licable		
Cyber-Security, Priv	acy Not App	licable		
Co-ordination, Interoperab	ility Not App	licable		
Economic Developm	ent Not App	licable		
Environmental Bene	fits The faile cables d	ed cables being replaced lo not contain lead.	often contain lead, while the new	
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:				
There may be a slight reduction due to the re required to splice modern polymer-based cal	educed labour bles.			
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:				
Do nothing, however this alternative was rejerning risk it would pose to supply reliability.	ected due to the		and the second second	
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:		Con a sil		
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability			+	
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:			The adverse of	
Customers were not directly engaged regard but recent surveys indicate customers value reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Custor Engagement).	ling this project, improvements to ner			



London Hydro	CAPITA SUMM	AL PROJECT ARY SHEET	Project Number: Project Name: Start Date:	18F3 Manhole Jan-18	Cable Rebuilds
	SYSTE	MRENEWAL	In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title:	Mai	nhole Cable Rebuilds			
Supporting Reference Material:	Ele	ctric Distribution System Asset Su	stainment Plan: 2015-202	9 (2014)	
Description: London's downtown core is largely supply voltage network grid stepping down via supplied by PILC (paper insulated lead cables are installed in a common duct a past fifty years. This item includes replastrap cables (lead free alternative cable cables within crowded manholes that ar unused cables, clear up hazards and mused cables. Chip of London will also cover the installation of the total conducting extense 2018: Dundas Street from Wellington R Street from Colborne Street to Thames London's projects, London Hydro is replacensequence, the associated electrical The new electrical system will be converted ecommissioning of the 13.8 kV Nelson			applied from the 13.8 kV ne ia network transformers. ad cable). The primary cal it and manhole system that placement of lead primary ole), replacement of secon are difficult to work in. By make space available for ation of cable protecting fur released when a fault occ e probability of catastroph ensive civil infrastructure r Road to the Thames Rive es River (phased over 3 ye eplacing most of the civil s al distribution within these iverted to the 27.6 kV syste ion transformer station in 2	etwork system b The network ha bles and the low it has become v cables with ne idary cables, an doing this worl future cable ins uses in the main urs. These fusc ic failures subst rehabilitation in er (phased over ears). In conjur structures (refer structures will ne em, which will s 2020, and will he	by an extensive low is traditionally been w voltage network grid very crowded over the w EPR insulated flat ad reconfiguration of k we will eliminate stallations. As of the low voltage e elements limit the tantially. The core starting in 2 years), and York for the roject 18C3). As a require replacement. Support the elp modernize our city's
PRIMARY DF	RIVER:	Safety	COST I	ESTIMATE - E	BY YEAR
OTHER DRIV	'ERS: S	Reliability Efficiency No Direct Impact to Custome	rs 2012 \$7 2013 \$2 2013 \$2 2014 \$7 2015 \$7 2016 \$6 2017 \$7 2018 \$1 2019 \$2 2020 \$2 2021 \$7	COST 145,750 239,326 142,461 150,000 617,965 128,535 ,960,000 200,000 200,000	AREA/SCOPE
F4 - I	Vanhole Ca	able Rebuilds/Fuse Install	TOTAL COST ES	STIMATE:	\$3,934,037
LH PROJECT	DRIVER:	SAF	LH SECTION #		150

6	CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18F3
1	SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Manhole Cable Rebuilds
London Hydro			Start Date:	Jan-18
	SYSTEM RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Titl	e: Maintenance Hole Cable Re	ebuilds	<u>I</u>	
Risks to Co Mitigation	Ompletion & Resource availabil Plan: coordination between to be completed by well in advance of	lity is the b een engine y external the projec	iggest risk to completion. ering and operations staff resources and securing th t start date.	Mitigation plan includes close f to determine the projects that need ne external resources (contractor)
EVALUATI Efficie	ON OF OUTCOMES: ncy, Customer Value, Reliability	Cable re mainten to enabl replaced hole wal addition installati	builds are usually planned ance hole is in decent cor e safe access and work. O l with new cables and also ls. New installations will in unused cables are eliminons.	d when a civil component of the ndition and cables need to be rebuilt Old primary and secondary cables are p re-routed along the maintenance increase overall system reliability. In nated making space for future
	Safety	Many of during c seconda	hazards associated with able rebuilds; also adding ries increases safety and	confined spaces are eliminated protection in the low voltage prevents catastrophic failures.
	Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not app	icable	
	Co-ordination, Interoperability	Co-ordir rebuild v outages	nation with the City and cu vork happens to properly i	stomers is necessary when cable regulate the traffic and to co-ordinate
	Economic Development	Not App	licable	
	Environmental Benefits	Lead ca material potentia	ble elimination is very ber is a designated substanc lly harmful.	neficial to the environment as this e and has been found to be
IMPACT TC Maintenand maintenand accessibilit less time.	DO&M COSTS: ce costs may be reduced when cables in ce holes are rebuilt since, during outage y is improved, and, therefore, the work r	n s, nay take	Cable congestion inside manhole	
ALTERNAT The possib untouched problemation lead cable.	TIVES CONSIDERED: ility exists to leave these maintenance h but the complexity of the system can be c with the need to pull new cable in place	ole cables come e of the old		147) 1342-22
LINK TO S 6.2.1 - Em CUSTOME London Hy	TRATEGIC PLAN: phasis on Reliability - Asset Manageme R ENGAGEMENT: dro engages City of London and busines	nt sses that		
are directly projects tal	 affected when such large scope recons ke place. 	truction		A AND



Approved By:

William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations

C C	APIT/	AL PROJECT	Project Nu	ımber:	18F4
S	UMM	ARY SHEET	Project Na	ime:	Explosion-Limiting MH Covers
London Hydro			Start Date:		Jan-18
S	YSTE	MRENEWAL	In-Service	Date:	Dec-18
Project Title:	Exp	blosion-Limiting Maintenance Cover	Installations		
Supporting Reference Material:	Tec	chnical Risk Assessment - Manholes	and Vaults, A	AESI, 2013	
Description:	Exp mai exp to c che con To des to ti mai of ti	blosions in manholes are low probabilishole explosion can launch an 80 kill blosions are typically caused by the ig overheating cable insulation, or non-L emicals. It may also be possible for his nbustible gases are not present. reduce the potential impact of manho signed to provide a controlled release he manhole frame and designing ext nhole covers lift only a few inches du he explosion.	lity/high impa ogram cast-in ondon f com ondon Hydro igh-current ar ole explosions of pressure on naust ports infuring an explo	ct events. The er on manhole cove bustible gases the sources such as cs to cause man s, London Hydro during explosions to the bottom of t sion and create a	hergy released in a major er 15 meters or more. Such hat accumulate in manholes due is natural gas leaks and dumped hole explosions when will install manhole covers is. By latching the manhole cover he cover, explosion-limiting an air-dam that limits the force
PRIMARY DRIV	/ER:	Safety	-	COST ESTIM	IATE - BY YEAR
OTHER DRIVE	RS:		2012 2013 2014 2015	COST \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	AREA/SCOPE
CUSTOMERS			2016	\$100,000	0 80 Covers
IMPACTED:			2017	\$100,000	0 80 Covers
			2018	\$25,000	20 Covers
			2019	\$25,000	20 Covers
UEB CAPITAL	REPUR		2020	\$25,000 \$25,000	
F4 - M	anhole C	able Rebuilds/Fuse Install	2021	φ ∠ 5,000	20 Covers
			TOTAL	COST ESTIMA	TE: \$300,000
LH PROJECT D	RIVER:	SAF	LH SECT	TION #	150

CAPITAL PR	ROJECT		Project Number:	18F4
	SHEET		Project Name:	Explosion-Limiting MH Covers
			Start Date:	Jan-18
STSTEMRE	NEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title: Explosion	Limiting Maintenance	e Covei	Installations	
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan:	The risks to completion approved by the Stand covers.	n for th lards d	is project are minimal. A epartment and minimal la	materials standard has been abour is required to install the new
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES	:			
Efficiency, Customer Valu	ie, Reliability No	ot Appl	icable	
	Safety In en	the ev hance	ent of an explosion insid d.	e a manhole, public safety will be
Cyber-Sect	urity, Privacy No	ot Appl	icable	
Co-ordination, Int	eroperability No	ot Appl	icable	
Economic I	Development No	ot Appl	icable	
Environme	ntal Benefits No	ot Appl	icable	
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:				
Not Applicable				O O
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDEREI	D:		A A A C	2540)
Do nothing, however this alternati does not enhance public safety.	ve was rejected becau	use it	100	100)
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:			6.0	
6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability			A Per	68971
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT				
Customers were not directly enga but recent surveys indicate custor	ged regarding this pro ners value improveme	oject, ents to		

N. S. R.

but recent surveys indicate customers value improvements to safety (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).

CAPITAL PROJECT Project Number: 18F4 Explosion-Limiting MH Project Name: **SUMMARY SHEET** Covers London Hydro Start Date: Jan-18 SYSTEM RENEWAL In-Service Date: Dec-18 Project Title: Explosion-Limiting Maintenance Cover Installations Additional Information:

Not Applicable

Prepared By:	Hassan El-Madhoun, P.Eng. Distribution Engineer	
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations	
	Prepared By: Approved By:	Prepared By:Hassan El-Madhoun, P.Eng. Distribution EngineerApproved By:William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations

	CAPITA	L PROJECT	Project Num	ber: 18G1	
1	SUMM	ARY SHEET	Project Nam	e: Pole	Replacements
London Hydro			Start Date:	Jan-1	8
5	SYSTE	M RENEWAL	In-Service Da	ate: Dec-1	8
Project Title:	Rep	lacement of Deteriorated Poles			
Supporting Reference Material:	Ann Elec	ual Sound and Bore Pole Test R tric Distribution System Asset Si	esults ustainment Plan: 20)15-2030 (2014)	
Description:	Eac year pole repl: fair The liste the l This trea	h year London Hydro tests an a rs 2010-2016, London Hydro test es. As a result of these pole test acement each year. London Hy condition, as well as all poles ide testing involves performing a vi n for hollow sounds (referred to a base of the pole when required. capital project is intended to c tment/replacement - approximate	average of 3,000-4 ted 22,432 unique sts, an average of dro has completed antified to have been sual check of the p as "sound test"), as over the costs of r ely 35-40 poles can	4,000 London Hydro poles of a populatio 37 poles are recor testing all poles ide n in-service for over cole and its equipme s well as obtaining a replacing depreciate be replaced with thi	o owned poles. During the on of almost 28,000 owned nmended for treatment or entified as being in poor or 20 years. ent, hammering the pole to core material sample from d poles recommended for s budget.
PRIMARY DR	IVER:	Safety	(COST ESTIMATE	- BY YEAR
				COST	AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIVE	ERS:	Reliability	2012	\$354 585	various
			2012	\$267 987	various
			2014	\$250,393	various
			2015	\$482.980	various
CUSTOMERS			2016	\$347.053	various
IMPACTED:			2017	\$555.988	·
		N / - '		,,-••	various
		Various	2018	\$300.000	various various
		Various	2018 2019	\$300,000 \$300,000	various various various
OEB CAPITAL	_ REPORT	Various	2018 2019 2020	\$300,000 \$300,000 \$300,000	various various various various
OEB CAPITAL	- REPORT	Various FING: / Depreciated or Fire Risk	2018 2019 2020 2021	\$300,000 \$300,000 \$300,000 \$300,000	various various various various various
OEB CAPITAL G1 - F	_ REPOR1 Poles - Fully	Various FING: / Depreciated or Fire Risk	2018 2019 2020 2021 TOTAL CC	\$300,000 \$300,000 \$300,000 \$300,000 DST ESTIMATE:	various various various various various \$3,458,986

6	CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18G1	
London	SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Pole Replacements	
Hydro			Start Date:	Jan-18	
	STSTEW RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Tit	le: Replacement of Deteriorate	ed Poles			
Risks to C Mitigation	ompletion & Plan: Risks to completion executed each ye complete this projeter	on are minir ear. The ava ect.	nal. This project is part of a ailability of resources (inter	a program that is successfully nal and contractor) is sufficient to	
EVALUATI	ON OF OUTCOMES:	This pro	ject has a direct impact on	safety and system reliability.	
		Replacir transforr	ng depreciated poles will pr ners and switches) suppor	otect expensive assets (e.g.,. ted by the poles as well as	
Efficie	ncy, Customer Value, Reliability	reducing to custor	the risk of experiencing poners.	ower outages; hence, adding value	
	Safety	This pro deprecia storms c	gram is aimed at replacing ted poles are at high risk c onditions.	fully depreciated poles; fully f failure especially during heavy	
	Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not applicable			
	Co-ordination, Interoperability		Limited co-ordination required; most poles are replaced like-for-like without affecting adjacent pole lines and/or projects. Transfer of third party attachments requires some co-ordination with the asset owner		
	Economic Development	Limited i	mpact		
	Environmental Benefits	Not appl	icable		
IMPACT TO	O O&M COSTS:		\ \		
Depreciate Upgrading as well; he	ed poles typically support older distribution these poles will address these depreciation nce, reducing unplanned outages and O	on assets. ted assets &M costs.	Pole top decay		
ALTERNA	TIVES CONSIDERED:		, Ž		
Limited con depreciate	nsideration; poles that are deemed fully d via poles testing must be replaced imn	nediately.	Y		
LINK TO S	TRATEGIC PLAN:		1 A		
6.2.1 - Em	phasis on Reliability				
CUSTOME	R ENGAGEMENT:				
Limited en are mostly	gagement with customer is required as n replaced like-for-like.	nost poles			

London Hydro	CAPITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18G1	
	SUMMARY SHEET	Project Name:	Pole Replacements	
		Start Date:	Jan-18	
	SYSTEM RENEWAL	In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Ti	tle: Replacement of Deteriorated Poles	1		

Additional Information:

This project involves replacement of the deteriorating wooden poles that were tested and recommended for replacement.

London Hydro's system contains over 27,750 London Hydro owned poles. Approximately 98% of the poles on London Hydro's system are made of wood. In 1998, London Hydro introduced the pole testing program as part of our condition based assessment. All poles that are older than 20 years are tested every 5 years. Pole testing is done on an annual basis and capital budgeting are based on historical expenditure.

The graph below summarizes the condition of 22,432 poles tested between the years 2010-2016. Based on past testing results, about 1% of poles tested required immediate replacement - that is approximately an average of 30 poles per year. The graph test data also shows that there are poles that have been in-service for over 50 years (estimated time span for useful life) and not yet fully depreciated. Depending on the pole test results, these older poles are tested more frequently, as a due diligence, and to maximize their in-service lifespan. Standard CAN/CSA 22.3 requires all wood poles with 60% (or less) strength remaining to be reinforced or replaced.



Results for 22,432 poles tested between 2010-2016

	CAPIT	AL PROJECT	Project Num	ber: 18G2		
1	SUMM		Project Nam	e: Pole F	ire Mitigation	
London Hydro	SOIVIIV		Start Date:	.lan-18	4	
	SYSTE	M RENEWAL	In-Service Da	ate: Dec 1	, D	
				Dec-10	5	
Project Title	: Re	placement of Poles Susceptible to	Pole Fires			
Supporting Reference Material:	Mit Ele	Mitigating Pole Fires on London Hydro's Distribution System Report Electric Distribution System Asset Sustainment Plan: 2015-2029 (2014)				
Description: Pole fires occur in specific older types of overhead construction with wood cross insulators. In these types of construction, leakage current tracks over deteriora becomes concentrated in places where bolts and steel brackets interface with fires.				rossarms and pin type prated insulators and h the wood resulting in		
	Se coi	Several years ago London Hydro instituted the pole fire replacement program and to-date has completed 86% of the projects.				
The areas designated for replacement consist of the plant built more the in the above reports as requiring replacement. This plant consists of our and construction techniques that are more prone to failure than those u				nt built more that 40 nt consists of outdate ire than those used t	years ago and identified ed and aged materials oday.	
	Th Av cui	is budget item will rebuild the pole enue. In addition, the pole line fee rently susceptible to fires.	line along Newbold	d Street between Hai Il be addressed by th	grieve Road and Bradley is item as the poles are	
PRIMARY D	RIVER:	Reliability	c	OST ESTIMATE -	BY YEAR	
				0007		
		Safety	2012	¢512 737	AREA/SCOPE	
	VERJ.	Jaiely	2012	\$306 730		
			2013	\$389 177		
			2014	\$64 499		
CUSTOMED	29		2015	\$129 799	1 Street	
			2010	\$106.017	2 Streets	
		Various	2018	\$120.000	2 Streets	
			2019	\$120.000	_ 010010	
			2020	\$275.000		
			2021	\$0		
G1	- Poles - Ful	ly Depreciated or Fire Risk		• -		
			TOTAL CO	OST ESTIMATE:	\$2,023,959	
LH PROJEC	T DRIVER:	REL		N #	132	

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18G2	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Pole Fire Mitigation	
		Start Date:	Jan-18	
SYSTEM RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Replacement of Poles Su	sceptible to	Pole Fires		
Risks to Completion &Mitigation Plan:Risk to completion executed each y sufficient to com	on is low. Th ear since 20 plete this pro	is project is part of a prog 01. The availability of res oject	gram that has been successfully sources (internal and contract) is	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:				
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability		By replacing poles that were identified as risk of pole fires, London Hydro reinforces the overhead system infrastructure providing increased reliablity, while eliminating outdated plant and reconfiguring the distribution system to supply customers more efficiently.		
Safety	Safety in eliminat conditio	Safety increases when configurations that are susceptible to fire are eliminated from the system by removing possible hazardous conditions associated with pole fires.		
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not app	Not applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Minimur owned b	Minimum co-ordination is required to transfer 3rd party attachments owned by other utilities that share our poles.		
Economic Development	Improve London	Improved reliablity will contribute to the overall attractiveness of London as a place in which to live and do business.		
Environmental Benefits	Not app	licable		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS: Fewer outages may be experienced after eliminative risks, hence reducing overall operating and maint costs.	ting pole fire tenance		Wood cross arms and pin style insulator construction	
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Deferring these pole replacements until they reac is an option; however, risk factors affecting safety reliability warrant their replacement as per this pro time line.	ch end of life / and ogram's			
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:				
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability				
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: Customers were not directly contacted regarding but recent surveys indicate that customers value improvements in reliability (refer to DSP Section 3 Customer Engagement).	this project 3.2.4			



CA	PITA	AL PROJECT	Project Num	ber: 18G4	
SI SI	UMM	ARY SHEET	Project Nam	13.8 k	V Overhead Conversion
London Hydro			Start Date:	Jan-18	3
SY	STE		In-Service D	ate: Dec-1	3
Project Title:	13.8	3 kV Overhead Conversions			
Supporting Reference Material:	Lon	don Downtown - 13.8 kV/27.6 kV N	lelson TS - 5 Yea	ar Plan	
Description:	A m dist Dov non The load con Son Proj 18C	nulti-year voltage conversion of 13. ribution infrastructure, as well as a vntown - 13.8 kV/27.6 kV Nelson T -network downtown core to 27.6 kV work proposed is the fourth year of as at 27.6 kV supply. This work is dition of the existing 13.8 kV Nelso ne of this work will require coordina ject 18B10 and coordination with n 22.	8 kV loads to 27.6 ddress the long te S - 5 Year Plan re / supply. of a multi-year stra also co-ordinated on TS supply from ation with neighbo eighbouring 13.8	6 kV will facilitate the erm strategic plans de eport which recomme ategic plan to resupp d with other plans tha h Hydro One. buring 13.8 kV underg kV overhead feeder	removal of aging escribed in the London ends the conversion of the ly non-network 13.8 kV t will address the age and ground conversions under conversions under Project
PRIMARY DRIVE	ER:	Reliability		COST ESTIMATE	- BY YEAR
OTHER DRIVER	RS:	Efficiency Customer Value Safety Econ, Dev	2012 2013 2014 2015	S 568 217	3 Streets
	RS):	277	2016 2017 2018 2019	\$402,216 \$241,520 \$243,000 \$445,000	4 Streets 4 Streets 5 Streets
G5 -	Overhea	ad Voltage Conversion	2020 2021	\$32,000 \$0	
			TOTAL CO	OST ESTIMATE:	\$1,931,953
LH PROJECT DF	RIVER:	RNF	LH SECTIO	ON #	132

6	CAPI	TAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18G4	
London	SUM	MARY SHEET		Project Name:	13.8 kV Overhead Conversion	
Hydro	OVOT			Start Date:	Jan-18	
	2121			In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Ti	itle:	13.8 kV Overhead Convers	ions			
Risks to (Mitigatior	Completion n Plan:	& Availability of reso under Projects 180 and securing reso	urces to m C2 and 18l urces (inte	atch timing with the ove 310; mitigation plan is c rnal or contract) to ensu	erhead line and underground projects lose co-ordination with these projects ire completion.	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability			In accordance with the multi-year plan to off load Nelson TS, the non- network load currently supplied at 13.8 kV will gradually be switched over to the much more reliable 27.6 kV system, with increased alternatives for backup during contingencies. Older infrastucture may also be addressed during voltage conversion of 13.8 kV overhead lateral circuits. This project is coordinated with conversion of related underground 13.8 kV loads.			
Safety			In converting 13.8 kV overhead plant, any depreciated equipment such as poles will be eliminated from the system, increasing overall safety.			
Cyber-Security, Privacy		Not applicable				
Co-ordination, Interoperability		Not applicable				
Economic Development		Improved reliability will contribute to overall attractiveness of London as a place in which to live and do business.				
Environmental Benefits			There al project;	are no direct environmental benefits associated with this ; some material (e,g., wire) may be recycled in the process.		

IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:

Annual operating and maintenance costs may be reduced due to possibly fewer outages on newer infrastructure.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Voltage conversion of all 13.8 kV non-network load must be completed by 2020. An evaluation of the challenges encountered in this project leaves as an option some temporary supply via step-down transformation at selected locations where load still needs to be supplied at 13.8 kV.

LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:

Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability

CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:

At the design stage, when changing the physical layout of the distribution system, property owners may be invited to discuss placement options of poles, potential new routing, etc.



London Hydro	CAPITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18G4
	SUMMARY SHEET	Project Name:	13.8 kV Overhead Conversion
		Start Date:	Jan-18
	STSTEW RENEWAL	In-Service Date:	Dec-18

Project Title:

13.8 kV Overhead Conversions

Additional Information:

The initiatives outlined in the London Downtown - 13.8 kV/27.6 kV Nelson TS - 5 Year Plan report require converting all 13.8 kV load by year 2020 when Hydro One eliminates the only transformer station supplying this voltage. Converting the downtown from 13.8 kV distribution, that had only one supply source, to the 27.6 kV distribution system, that has multiple supply sources, ensures a more reliable system to the city of London's core area and also aids in optimizing switching and load transferring amongst the other 27.6 kV stations.

Under this project it is anticipated that approximately 682 kW of 13.8 kV load will be converted to the 27.6 kV distribution system. The general project area is shown below with the streets planned for load conversion highlighted in yellow. Upon successful conversion of the planned load, it is anticipated there will be an additional 1,529 kW of 13.8 kV overhead load remaining to convert by year 2020.


CAP	ITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18G5
SUN	MARY SHEET	Project Name:	4.16 kV Overhead Conversion
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18
SYS		In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title:	Sub 18 & 54 (Zone B), Sub 21 & 40 (Zo	one C) Overhead Conve	rsion
Supporting Reference Material:	4.16 kV Aging Infrastructure System Pla 4.16 kV Aging Infrastructure System Pla Electric Distribution System Asset Sust	anning Report (2011) anning Report - Amendr ainment Plan: 2015-202	nent (2015) 9 (2014)
Description:	The initiatives outlined in the 4.16 kV A and converting all the 4.16 kV plant with addition, the proposed rebuilds replace Asset Sustainment Plan Report. Some of this work will require coordinat Project 18B9 and coordination with tran	ging Infrastructure Syste nin three specified areas deteriorating infrastruct ion with neighbouring 4 isformer vault replaceme	em Planning Report require rebuilding a, identified as Zones A, B & C. In ure meeting the criteria outlined in the 16 kV underground conversions under ents under Project 18B6.
PRIMARY DRIVER:	Reliability	соѕт	ESTIMATE - BY YEAR
OTHER DRIVERS: CUSTOMERS IMPACTED: OEB CAPITAL REP G5 - Ove	Efficiency Safety Customer Value 874 ORTING: erhead Voltage Conversion	C 2012 \$80 2013 \$2,9 2014 \$3,0 2015 \$2,5 2016 \$2,5 2017 \$2,9 2018 \$2,3 2019 \$3,9 2020 \$4,5 2021 \$57	OST AREA/SCOPE 10,399 68,682 75,859 50,000 25,000 1,583 kW Converted 66,000 1,238 kW Converted 60,000 1,864 kW Converted 02,600 1,200 25,300 25,300
	<u> </u>	TOTAL COST EST	IMATE: \$26,224,040
LH PROJECT DRIVE	R: REL	LH SECTION #	132

SUMMARY SHEET SYSTEM RENEWAL Project Title: Sub 18 & 54 (Zone B), Sub 21 & 40 (Zo Risks to Completion & Risk to completion is low. F Mitigation Plan: Risk to completion is low. F EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Convert Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability Convert	Project Name: 4.16 kV Overhead Conversion Start Date: Jan-18 In-Service Date: Dec-18 one C) Overhead Conversion Resources must be secured to coordinate timing with rojects under Project 18B9. This project is part of a program rexecuted in each of the past six years. The availability of intract) is sufficient to complete this project. ersion by zones allows us to offload multiple substations that le backup to each other during the same time interval, so mmissioning is possible without jeopardizing the ability to reliably of these customers.
Hydro SYSTEM RENEWAL Project Title: Sub 18 & 54 (Zone B), Sub 21 & 40 (Zo Risks to Completion & Risk to completion is low. F Mitigation Plan: underground conversion pi that has been successfully resources (internal and conversion) EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Convert Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability Convert	Start Date: Jan-18 In-Service Date: Dec-18 one C) Overhead Conversion Resources must be secured to coordinate timing with rojects under Project 18B9. This project is part of a program executed in each of the past six years. The availability of intract) is sufficient to complete this project. ersion by zones allows us to offload multiple substations that the backup to each other during the same time interval, so missioning is possible without jeopardizing the ability to reliably of these customers.
SYSTEM RENEWAL Project Title: Sub 18 & 54 (Zone B), Sub 21 & 40 (Zo Risks to Completion & Risk to completion is low. F Mitigation Plan: Risk to completion is low. F underground conversion pi that has been successfully resources (internal and conversion pi that has been successfully EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Convert Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability Sources	In-Service Date: Dec-18 In-Service Date: D
Project Title: Sub 18 & 54 (Zone B), Sub 21 & 40 (Zone B), Sub 2	one C) Overhead Conversion Resources must be secured to coordinate timing with rojects under Project 18B9. This project is part of a program rexecuted in each of the past six years. The availability of intract) is sufficient to complete this project. ersion by zones allows us to offload multiple substations that le backup to each other during the same time interval, so inmissioning is possible without jeopardizing the ability to reliably y these customers.
Risks to Completion & Risk to completion is low. I Mitigation Plan: underground conversion plant that has been successfully resources (internal and conversion plant) resources (internal and conversion plant) EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Conversion plant) Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability supply	Resources must be secured to coordinate timing with rojects under Project 18B9. This project is part of a program rexecuted in each of the past six years. The availability of intract) is sufficient to complete this project. ersion by zones allows us to offload multiple substations that le backup to each other during the same time interval, so inmissioning is possible without jeopardizing the ability to reliably y these customers.
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES: Converse Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability decom supply	ersion by zones allows us to offload multiple substations that le backup to each other during the same time interval, so nmissioning is possible without jeopardizing the ability to reliably y these customers.
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability supply	le backup to each other during the same time interval, so nmissioning is possible without jeopardizing the ability to reliably y these customers.
	ving high voltage overhead lines from residential backvards
Safety Safety By old Substa picture	ves safety for both the public and staff. Safety of the public and s also improved throughout voltage conversion of loads supplied l overhead plant since some in-service installations are andard, such as positek fused transformers and open bus (see e below).
Cyber-Security, Privacy Not ap	oplicable
Co-ordination, Interoperability Not ap	oplicable
Economic Development Impro	ved reliability will contribute to the overall attractiveness of on as a place in which to live and do business.
Environmental Benefits transfe	onmental benefits include elimination of deteriorated polemount ormers, which might have bushings that are leaking oil.
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS: Fewer outages can be expected as the supply changes to the 27.6 kV new supply system, leading to a benefit for the annual operating and maintenance costs.	he
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Some of the overhead infrastructure installed on the 4.16 kV is as old as 60 years and has passed end of life. Rebuilding it at 4.16 kV would deviate from the 4 kV plan of converting overhead areas by zone, which is necessary in order to offload old 4.16 kV substations that would otherwise also needed to be rebuilt. LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN: Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability	Vg Positek fuse and secondary
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: Customers that maybe affected are usually contacted for discussion regarding pole relocations, anchoring, ground restoration, etc. Utility contact names are provided to customers who may have concerns.	

6	CAPITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18G5
1	SUMMARY SHEET	Project Name:	4.16 kV Overhead Conversion
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18
	STSTEW RENEWAL	In-Service Date:	Dec-18

Project Title: Sub 18 & 54 (Zone B), Sub 21 & 40 (Zone C) Overhead Conversion

Additional Information:

The initiatives outlined in the 4.16 kV Aging Infrastructure System Planning Report require converting all 4.16 kV within Zone B, with Zone B being the service territories for Substations 18, 48, 54 and 92. In addition, the proposed voltage conversion area requires rebuilding and converting deteriorating underground systems and transformer vaults; thus, meeting the criteria outlined in the Asset Sustainment Plan Report. The deficiencies related to the age of the equipment may adversely impact the reliability of supply as well as public and employee safety since some of the transformers are located in confined spaces within the customer's building.

Under this project it is anticipated that approximately 1,864 kW of 4.16 kV load will be converted to the 27.6 kV distribution system. The general project area is shown below with the streets planned for load conversion highlighted in yellow.



CAPI	TAL PROJECT	Project Nu	mber:	18G6, 18G7, 18G8	
SUM	MARY SHEET	Project Na	me:	Overhead System Safety Enhancements	
Hydro		Start Date:		Jan-18	
5151	EIVI RENEVVAL	In-Service	Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title:	Replacement of Automatic Splices, Rep nstallation of Copper-Clad Steel (CCS))lacement of P) Grounds	Porcelain Insula	ators, and	
Supporting F Reference H Material:	Reliability Incident Report - August 2013, Automatic Splice Failure; Kinectrics Reports: Forensic Analysis of Canadian Porcelain Line Post Insulators (2014); AESI Report: Copper-Clad Steel - An Alternative for Copper Grounding Conductors				
Description:	Safety has been recognized to be at ris ground or other equipment. Multiple ind automatic splice suggests that these no herefore, safe. Ongoing system audits with ensuring the mechanical strength in automatic splices. Up to 2,300 porcelain line post insulator wide program of eliminating this equipm extremely poor reliability. Small probab consequences, therefore, elimination of leal with additional porcelain insulators measure of enhancing safety on the ae An additional element essential to the s grounding. The integrity of the grounding conductors have been stolen or cut at the conductor adopted by London Hydro as possible for crews to re-establish lasting selected for grounding repairs in this bu	k when energiz idents of cond in-standard aei identify location in the overhead rs were replace nent from many pility/ high impa f these porcela installed on the rial system. afe and reliable ng system has he base of the s replacement fing system groun udget item.	zed conductors ductor breakag rial connectors ons of such sp d lines by addr ed in 2016 and y manufacture act events on c in insulators h the 27.6 kV syste e operation of been compror poles. The ne for traditional c nding connecti	a come in contact with the e occuring in the past in an a may not be very secure and, lices. This budget item deals essing risk from using these 2017, as a result of a system rs, which over time has exhibited verbuild lines have had ad priority. This budget item will eem across the city, as a a distribution system is proper nised over time as grounding ew standard copper-clad steel copper grounds makes it ons. Several grids will be	
	C-fab.				
PRIMARY DRIVER:	Salety	-	COST ESTI	MATE - BY YEAR	
			COST	AREA/SCOPE	
OTHER DRIVERS:	Reliability	2012	\$130,729	various grids	
	Customer Value	2013	\$72,056	various grids	
	Econ. Dev.	2014	\$772,265	various grids	
		2015	\$950,000	various grids	
CUSTOMERS		2016	\$880,000	various grids	
IMPACTED:	Various	2017	\$281,258	various grids	
		2018	\$380,000	various grids	
		2019	\$660,000		
OEB CAPITAL REPO	ORTING:	2020	\$285,000		
		2021	\$235,000		
C2 _ Ar	restor/Insulator/Other				
		TOTAL CO	ST ESTIMA	FE: \$4,646,308	
LH PROJECT DRIVE	R: SAF	LH SECT	ION #	132	

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18G6, 18G7, 18G8	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Overhead System Safety Enhancements	
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18	
SYSTEM RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Replacement of Automatic Installation of Copper-Clac	c Splices, Re d Steel (CCS	eplacement of Porcelain Ins 6) Grounds	sulators, and	
Risks to Completion & Availability of res Mitigation Plan: Progress of these secure external r	ources and e projects; th resources (co	co-ordination of the potenti ne mitigation plan is to close ontractor), if required.	al outages may slow down the ely monitor projects' progress and	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:				
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	A robust overall s custome	A robust and secure overhead distribution system will improve the overall system operation by ensuring the reliable distribution of power to customers and by reducing outages that can be avoided.		
Safety	Safety is system v	the number one factor cor weaknesses since live cont	nsidered in addressing overhead act can occur when equipment fails.	
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not appl	Not applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Certain print the print the print the print the print technology t	Certain practices and standards have been adopted by multiple utilities in the province through the exchange of performance standards, expertise and the availability of new certified equipment and technologies (e.g., copperclad conductor).		
Economic Development	Improve as a plac	nproved reliability will contribute to the overall attractiveness of London s a place in which to live and do business.		
Environmental Benefits	Not appl	icable		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS: Fewer outages due to faults on the overhead syst result in a slight reduction in annual operating and maintenance costs.	tem may		a Allan	
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Equipment at risk can remain in service but could compromise performance and increase safety risk	ks.			
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN: 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: Customers were not directly contacted regarding but recent surveys indicate customers value impro- reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer	this project ovements in			
Engagement).				

CAPITAL PROJECT

SUMMARY SHEET

Project Number:

Project Name:

18G6, 18G7, 18G8 Overhead System Safety Enhancements Jan-18

SYSTEM RENEWAL

Start Date: In-Service Date:

Dec-18

Project Title: Replacement of Automatic Splices, Replacement of Porcelain Insulators, and Installation of Copper-Clad Steel (CCS) Grounds

Additional Information:

Hydro

Outages resulting from the failure of a component on the overhead system usually result in the interruption of power on an entire feeder, which supplies, on average, several thousand customers. While automated equipment exists in the system to detect and isolate the faulted segment, the necessary repairs can still create prolonged outages, depending on the damage. The following equipment types will be addressed in this budget item.

1) Certain risk can arise when an automated splice installed on a main 600 amp circuit fails and the conductor breaks inside the splice. Such splices have been identified on segments of circuits that run across a highway; those splices will be replaced with priority and, as more are identified, risks will be mitigated, prioritized by location or grid.

2) Failed porcelain insulators installed on the main circuits have proven to create dangerous situations wherein their breakage can cause separate phases to swing onto one another or make contact with the ground. All the remaining porcelain insulators installed on the 27.6 kV system are being located through audits and their replacement will be staged over the next several years.

3) Installation of the new standard copper-clad steel ground conductors will continue in the city where grounding at poles have been compromised. This budget item covers the installation of new grounds at approximately 240 poles.

Prepared By:	Sunny Patel, P.Eng. Distribution Engineer
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations

	CAPIT	AL PROJECT	Project Numbe	er: 18G	9
1	SUMM		Project Name:	Ove	rhead System Safety
London Hydro	COMIN		Start Date:	Jan-	18
	SYSTE	MRENEWAL	In-Service Date	e: Dec	-18
Project Title:	Sec	ctionalizing Switch Installations			
Supporting Reference Material:	Fire	on Industries Report re: TW28900S	Switch Failure (Au	gust 2007)	
Description:	We out mo larg	have experienced reoccurring failur ages due to the mode of failure. This des of failure, and then replacement ger scale outages.	es of in-line switch s budget is for fore is planned across	les over the ye nsic analysis to the system on	ars that have caused large o determine patterns and the 27.6 kV lines to prevent
PRIMARY DF	RIVER:	Safety	со	ST ESTIMAT	E - BY YEAR
		Dellation	-	COST	AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIV	ERS:	Customer Value	2012	\$ሀ ቄስ	
			2013	φ0 \$0	
			2015	\$276	
CUSTOMERS	S		2016	\$190,094	
IMPACTED:		Various	2017	\$1,140	
		vanous	2018	\$100,000	
			2019	\$0	
	L REPOR	TING:	2020	\$0 ¢0	
		00	2021	ΦŪ	
		GA	TOTAL COST	ESTIMATE:	\$291,510
	DRIVER:	SAF	LH SECTION	#	132

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18G9
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Overhead System Safety Enhancements
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18
SYSTEM RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title: Sectionalizing Switch Instal	llations		
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan:Availability of reso components can s can be necessary 	burces to co slow down to complet t according	omplete replacements and these enhancements. At th e work. Priority is given to to locations, severity of fa	repairs of various aerial system he same time, some planned outages o projects that can impact safety and ults and risks.
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:			
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	A robust overall s reducing	robust and secure overhead distribution system will improve the /erall system operation by reliably distributing power to customers and educing outages that can be avoided.	
Safety	Safety is system v in some	s the number one factor co weaknesses since live con equipment.	nsidered in addressing overhead tact can occur due to the failure mode
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not app	licable	
Co-ordination, Interoperability		ertain practices and standards have been adopted by multiple utilities the province through exchange of performance standards, expertise d the availability of new certified equipment and technologies (i.e., pperclad conductor).	
Economic Development	Improve a place	d reliability will contribute t to live and do business.	o overall attractiveness of London as
Environmental Benefits	No direc	t environmental benefits c	ome from this project type.
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS: Fewer outages due to faults on the overhead syste have a slight reduction in annual operating and ma costs	em may intenance		
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Equipment can remain in service at risk but perform could decrease and there are safety risks associate on previous failure events.			
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:			
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability			
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: Customers were not directly contacted regarding th but recent surveys indicate customers value improv reliability (insert reference to Cust. Engagement se	nis project vements in ection)		

CAPITAL PROJECT

SUMMARY SHEET

Project Number:

Project Name:

SYSTEM RENEWAL

Start Date: In-Service Date: 18G9 Overhead System Safety Enhancements Jan-18

Dec-18

Project Title:

London Hydro

Sectionalizing Switch Installations

Additional Information:

Outages resulting from failure of a component on the overhead system usually result in the interruption of power on an entire feeder, which supplies, on average, several thousand customers. While automated equipment exists in the system to detect and isolate the faulted segment, the necessary repairs can still create prolonged outages, depending on the damage. Overhead Line switches that have been determined to cause these types of outages will be identified and replaced under this section.

Prepared By:	Sunny Patel, P.Eng. Distribution Engineer
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations

4	CAPITA	AL PROJECT	Project Number:	18G10
1	SUMM	ARY SHEET	Project Name:	Overhead System Safety
London Hydro	COMM		Start Date:	Jan-18
	SYSTE	MRENEWAL	In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title:	Pol	e Reinforcement		
Supporting Reference Material:	Мо	nthly Report (03) Mar 2017		
	This kV pole larg Eac prov rein con add	s is a new capital project intended overhead distribution systems. T unted transformer bank of larger t es were deemed to require addition e transformer banks installed. The of the poles identified at potent gram (SpidaCalc) to identify any of forced with additional guy wires of tinue in the following years if syst ressed for safety.	I to cover the costs of reinf he selection was based on han 100 kVA units. Upon onal support or total replace ial risk will be investigated deficiencies in the existing or replaced as part of this b tem audits will reveal additi	forcing certain poles supporting 27.6 a poles that have a three-phase pole- field audits, a sample of the inspecte ement in order to safely support the using non-linear guying analysis installlations. Up to 80 poles will be budget item. This program may ional such locations that need to be
PRIMARY DR	RIVER:	Safety	COST E	ESTIMATE - BY YEAR
			cc	OST AREA/SCOPE
OTHER DRIV	ERS:	Reliability	2012	
		Customer Value	2013	
			2014	
			2015	
CUSTOMERS	5		2016	
IMPACTED:		Various	2017	
			2018 \$75	o,000 various
			2019	
		ING:	2020	
		G10		
			TOTAL COST EST	IMATE: \$75,000
LH PROJECT	DRIVER:	SAF	LH SECTION #	132

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18G10
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Overhead System Safety Enhancements
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18
SYSTEM RENEWAL		In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title: Pole Reinforcement			
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan:Availability of resc components can s can be necessary 	ources to co slow down t to complet at according	omplete replacements and these enhancements. At the e work. Priority is given to to locations, severity of fa	repairs of various aerial system he same time, some planned outages o projects that can impact safety and aults and risks.
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:			
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	A robust overall s reducing	and secure overhead dis ystem operation by reliabl outages that can be avoi	tribution system will improve the y distributing power to customers and ded.
Safety	Safety is system v in some	the number one factor co weaknesses since live cor equipment.	onsidered in addressing overhead ntact can occur due to the failure mode
Cyber-Security, Privacy	Not applicable		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	Certain practices and standards have been adopted by multiple utilities in the province through exchange of performance standards, expertise and the availability of new certified equipment and technologies (i.e., copperclad conductor).		
Economic Development	Improved reliability will contribute to overall attractiveness of London as a place to live and do business.		
Environmental Benefits	No direc	t environmental benefits c	come from this project type.
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS: Fewer outages due to faults on the overhead syste have a slight reduction in annual operating and ma costs	em may aintenance	4	A
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Equipment can remain in service at risk but perforr could decrease and there are safety risks associat on previous failure events.	mance ed based		
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:			
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability			
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: Customers were not directly contacted regarding the but recent surveys indicate customers value impro reliability (insert reference to Cust. Engagement se	his project vements in ection)		

London Hydro	CAPITAL PROJECT SUMMARY SHEET SYSTEM RENEWAL	Project Number: Project Name: Start Date: In-Service Date:	18G10 Overhead System Safety Enhancements Jan-18 Dec-18
Project Til	tle: Pole Reinforcement		
dditional	Information:		
Not applic	able.		
		Prepared By:	Sunny Patel, P.Eng. Distribution Engineer
		Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng.

V.P. of Operations

CAP	PITAL F	PROJECT	Project Num	Der: 18H1	
SUN	MMAR	Y SHEET	Project Name	Reclos	er Installations
London Hydro			Start Date:	Jan-18	5
SYS	STEM S	SERVICE	In-Service Da	te: Dec-18	3
Project Title:	Recloser	Installation Program			
Supporting Reference Material:	Use of Re 2016 Qua Feeder S	eclosers on London Hydro lity of Supply Report egmentation Proposals, 2	o's Electrical System, 2015	1995	
Description:	The insta outages t controllec sectionali	llation of SCADA-controll hat customers experience I devices and plans to co zed into groups of approx	ed switches or reclose e. London Hydro has ntinue installing reclos kimately 1000.	ers reduces the dura installed approximat ers until all custome	tion and frequency of ely 160 SCADA- rs have been
	In 2018, f	our new reclosers will be	installed at locations t	hat enhance system	a segmentation.
PRIMARY DRIVER	:	Reliability	C	OST ESTIMATE	· BY YEAR
				000T	
		Efficiency	2012	\$173 246	3 Locations
OTTER DRIVERS.		Emoleney	2012	\$184 026	3 Locations
			2014	\$236.482	5 Locations
			2015	\$195.000	4 Locations
CUSTOMERS			2016	\$133,200	3 Locations
IMPACTED:			2017	\$230,135	5 Locations
		Various	2018	\$245,000	4 Locations
			2019	\$195,000	3 Locations
OEB CAPITAL REF	PORTING		2020	\$195,000	3 Locations
			2021	\$195,000	3 Locations
H1	- Recloser	Installations			
			TOTAL CO	ST ESTIMATE:	\$1,982,089
LH PROJECT DRIV	ER:	REL	LH SECTIO	N #	250

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18H1	
SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Recloser Installations	
		Start Date:	Jan-18	
SYSTEM SERVICE		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: Recloser Installation Progra	am			
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: The risks to comp successfully exec	eletion are n uted in eac	ninimal. This project is par h of the past five years.	rt of a program that has been	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:				
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability	By incre will expe expedie	asing the segmentation of erience fewer faults and cr ntly.	the distribution system, customers ews will be able to find faults more	
Safety	Not App	licable		
Cyber-Security, PrivacyThe rer Hydro'sCo-ordination, InteroperabilityNot Application		The remote terminal units will be secured in accordance with London Hydro's cyber security practices. Not Applicable		
Environmental Benefits	Not App	licable		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:	<u>.</u>			
Operating costs related to finding faults will be reduced maintenance costs will increase due to the addition	uced, while nal assets.	The tra		
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED: Do nothing, however this alternative was rejected be will not enhance the reliability of supply provided to customers.	because it	- the gran		
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:			The state of the s	
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability			the second	
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:			perfer in	
Customers were not directly engaged regarding thi but recent surveys indicate customers value impro reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).	is project, vements in		4	



CAPITAL PROJECT

Project Number:

18H1

SUMMARY SHEET

SYSTEM SERVICE

Project Name: Start Date: **In-Service Date:**

Recloser Installations Jan-18

Dec-18

Project Title: **Recloser Installation Program**

Additional Information:

Not Applicable

Prepared By:	Hassan El-Madhoun, P.Eng. Distribution Engineer
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations

		Ducto of Neurole and	40110 40110	40114 40115 40116
		Project Number:		
London 5U	ININARY SHEET	Project Name:		ancements
Hydro SY	STEM SERVICE	Start Date:	Jan-18	
		III-Service Date.	Dec-18	
Project Title:	SCADA Enhancements			
Supporting Reference Material:	Electric Distribution System Asset S	ustainment Plan: 2015-2029	(2014)	
Description:	A reliable SCADA system is required SCADA projects will enhance compo- inefficient to maintain. Specifically, th (RTUs), modernize communications develop system intelligence tools that	d to efficiently monitor and co onents of the system that are he projects will increase the re protocols and mediums, sec at enable automation.	ntrol the distributi either technically eliability of remote ure data against o	on system. The obsolete or e terminal units cyber threats, and
PRIMARY DRIVE	R: Reliability	COST E	STIMATE - BY	YEAR
PRIMARY DRIVE	R: Reliability	COST E	STIMATE - BY	YEAR
	R: Reliability	COST E	STIMATE - BY	YEAR AREA/SCOPE
PRIMARY DRIVE	R: Reliability	COST E 2012 \$15 2013 \$24	STIMATE - BY OST 50,000	YEAR AREA/SCOPE
PRIMARY DRIVE	R: Reliability	COST E COST E 2012 \$15 2013 \$24 2014 \$36	STIMATE - BY OST 50,000 40,000 50.000	YEAR AREA/SCOPE
PRIMARY DRIVE	R: Reliability	COST E Co 2012 \$15 2013 \$24 2014 \$36 2015 \$30	STIMATE - BY OST 50,000 40,000 50,000 50,000	YEAR AREA/SCOPE
PRIMARY DRIVE	R: Reliability	COST E COST E 2012 \$15 2013 \$24 2014 \$36 2015 \$30 2016 \$26	STIMATE - BY OST 50,000 40,000 50,000 50,000 30,000	YEAR AREA/SCOPE
PRIMARY DRIVE	R: Reliability	COST E 2012 \$15 2013 \$24 2014 \$36 2015 \$30 2016 \$28 2017 \$28	STIMATE - BY OST 50,000 40,000 50,000 50,000 30,000 30,000 39,424	YEAR AREA/SCOPE SCADA SCADA
PRIMARY DRIVE	R: Reliability	COST E 2012 \$15 2013 \$24 2014 \$36 2015 \$30 2016 \$28 2017 \$28 2018 \$30	STIMATE - BY 50,000 40,000 50,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 39,424 95,000	YEAR AREA/SCOPE SCADA SCADA SCADA
PRIMARY DRIVE	R: Reliability S: Efficiency Reliability	COST E 2012 \$15 2013 \$24 2014 \$36 2015 \$30 2016 \$28 2017 \$28 2018 \$39 2019 \$29	STIMATE - BY OST 50,000 40,000 50,000 30,000 30,000 39,424 95,000 30,000	YEAR AREA/SCOPE SCADA SCADA SCADA SCADA
PRIMARY DRIVE	R: Reliability Efficiency Reliability	COST ES 2012 \$15 2013 \$24 2014 \$36 2015 \$30 2016 \$28 2017 \$28 2018 \$39 2019 \$28 2020 \$30	STIMATE - BY 50,000 40,000 50,000 30,000 30,000 39,424 95,000 30,000	YEAR AREA/SCOPE SCADA SCADA SCADA SCADA
PRIMARY DRIVER OTHER DRIVERS CUSTOMERS IMPACTED: OEB CAPITAL RE	R: Reliability S: Efficiency Reliability EFORTING:	COST ES 2012 \$15 2013 \$24 2014 \$36 2015 \$30 2016 \$28 2017 \$28 2018 \$39 2019 \$28 2020 \$30 2021 \$28	STIMATE - BY OST 50,000 40,000 50,000 30,000 30,000 39,424 95,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000	YEAR AREA/SCOPE SCADA SCADA SCADA SCADA
PRIMARY DRIVE	R: Reliability Efficiency Reliability	COST E 2012 \$15 2013 \$24 2014 \$36 2015 \$30 2016 \$28 2017 \$28 2018 \$39 2019 \$28 2020 \$30 2021 \$28	STIMATE - BY OST 50,000 40,000 50,000 30,000 30,000 39,424 95,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000	YEAR AREA/SCOPE SCADA SCADA SCADA SCADA
PRIMARY DRIVER OTHER DRIVERS IMPACTED: OEB CAPITAL RE H2 - F	R: Reliability C: Efficiency Reliability EPORTING: RTU Replacement Program	COST E 2012 \$15 2013 \$24 2014 \$36 2015 \$30 2016 \$28 2017 \$28 2018 \$39 2019 \$28 2020 \$30 2021 \$28	STIMATE - BY OST 50,000 40,000 50,000 30,000 30,000 39,424 95,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000	YEAR AREA/SCOPE SCADA SCADA SCADA SCADA
PRIMARY DRIVER OTHER DRIVERS IMPACTED: OEB CAPITAL RE H2 - F	R: Reliability C: Efficiency Reliability EFORTING: RTU Replacement Program	COST ES 2012 \$15 2013 \$24 2014 \$36 2015 \$30 2016 \$28 2017 \$28 2018 \$39 2019 \$28 2020 \$30 2021 \$28 TOTAL COST EST	STIMATE - BY OST 50,000 40,000 50,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 TIMATE:	YEAR AREA/SCOPE SCADA SCADA SCADA SCADA SCADA

CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18H2, 18H3, 18H4, 18H5, 18H6	
London Hydro SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	SCADA Enhancements	
SYSTEM SERVICE		Start Date:	Jan-18	
		In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Title: SCADA Enhancements				
Risks to Completion & Mitigation Plan: The risks to comprograms that has requirements exc been established	pletion are r ave success ceed interna I.	ninimal. Most of the SCADA fully been completed in prev I capabilities, working relati	A enhancement projects are part of vious years. Should project onships with external resources have	
EVALUATION OF OUTCOMES:				
Efficiency, Customer Value, Reliability		A modern, secure and robust SCADA system will the overall system operation by reliably providing real-time data to the Control Centre and historical data for engineering analysis.		
Safety	Increase expendi	ed central visibility will impro ently respond to developing	ove London Hydro's ability to emergency situations.	
Cyber-Security, Privacy Moder electri		Aodern equipment will enhance London Hydro's ability to prevent the electrical supply from being compromised by cyber attacks.		
Co-ordination, Interoperability	The tecl standar	The technology employed will be selected to adhere to industry standards and provide the functionality required for future initiatives.		
Economic Development No		Not Applicable		
Environmental Benefits	Not App	licable		
IMPACT TO O&M COSTS:				
Proactively replacing components identified as be of failure will reduce the operating and maintenan reducing the number of emergency repairs.	ing at risk ce costs by			
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:				
An evaluation of different technologies (eg. wired vs. radio) and vendors was conducted to determine the optimal investments.				
LINK TO STRATEGIC PLAN:				
Section 6.2.1 - Emphasis on Reliability				
CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT:				
Customers were not directly engaged regarding the but recent surveys indicate customers value impro- reliability (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer Engagement).	nis project, ovements in			

6	CAPITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18H2, 18H3, 18H4, 18H5, 18H6
Landon	SUMMARY SHEET	Project Name:	SCADA Enhancements
Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18
	STSTEN SERVICE	In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title	: SCADA Enhancements		

Additional Information:

H2 - Serial communications equipment is used throughout London Hydro's SCADA system. However, serial technology has reached technical obsolescence and many of the equipment manufacturers no longer provide product support. To address this change in communications technology London Hydro has developed a program to replace end-of-life serial communications equipment with Ethernet-capable communications equipment. In addition to replacing end-of-life equipment, this program will also advance the decommissioning of leased-lines, thereby reducing monthly communications rental costs.

H3 - DART Remote Terminal Units (RTU) were installed to monitor and control 70 line switches and 2 substations. The RTUs employ circuit-board technology that is now obsolete, requiring expensive batch orders of circuit boards to maintain. Since the switches that the DARTs control have remaining useful lives in excess of 25 years, a replacement RTU was required. To address this challenge London Hydro identified a replacement RTU, developed by Virelec in conjunction with Power Stream and Oakville Hydro, that uses equipment already employed to monitor and control London Hydro's substations and FITs. The program, which began in 2012, will replace all DART RTUs by the end of 2020, assuming that their rate of failure does not increase.

H4 - London Hydro's SCADA system was designed during an era when cyber security was a relatively unknown term. As a result, many of the legacy devices employed by the SCADA system have limited security functions. To address this weakness London Hydro has developed a multi-faceted SCADA Cyber Security program. In coordination with the Asset Sustainment plan, legacy SCADA assets will be replaced with modern devices designed to ensure industrial security. To eliminate opportunities for remote security breaches, SCADA communications will be transferred from publicly accessible networks to privately controlled networks whenever it is economically efficient. This transfer will increase both the length of fibre optic cable employed for operational functions and the volume of traffic on London Hydro's licensed radio frequencies. Where it is economically inefficient to isolate SCADA communications from public networks, efforts will be directed toward enhanced network segregation and encryption.

H5 - For decades utilities have utilized faulted circuit indicators to efficiently locate and isolate faults. As a result of advancements in wireless technology, this information can now be provided to Control Room Operators in real-time using Line Status Sensors. In addition to fault indication, the line sensors also provide real-time load information. This new technology represents an economical alternative to installing SCADA-controlled switches to provide greater visibility into the distribution system.

H6 - FDIR refers to the use of SCADA assets to independently identify faults on the distribution system and reconfigure the system to minimize the number of customers impacted. This type of system automation has been trialed at many of London Hydro's peer utilities and it is now common place within the industry. To enable the application of FDIR to London Hydro's distribution will require a combination of investments in communications equipment and system connectivity intelligence.

Prepared By:	Hassan El-Madhoun, P.Eng. Distribution Engineer
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations

CAP	ITAL PROJECT	Project Number:	18H7
SUN	MARY SHEET	Project Name:	Control Centre Modernization
London Hydro		Start Date:	Jan-18
SYS	STEM SERVICE	In-Service Date:	Dec-18
Project Title:	Control Centre Investment - Digital Wig	de Area Situational Aware	ness Display
Supporting Reference Material:	Planning Study Report: Rennovation of	f the Existing Distribution	Control Room
Description:	Since the Control Room was first const introduction of personal computers, SC accommodate these changes, the Con a sub-optimal configuration with respect optimize the operation of the Control R series of investments will address the o years.	tructed many technologica ADA, flat screen displays trol Room was altered as to both technology integ oom, a consultant was hir consultant's recommendat	al changes have occurred; the , and most recently OMS. To each change occurred. This has led to ration and workflow processes. To ed to design an integrated layout. A tions over the course of three to five
PRIMARY DRIVER	Interoperability	COST E	STIMATE - BY YEAR
OTHER DRIVERS:	Efficiency Reliability	CC 2012 \$59 2013 \$59 2014 \$72 2015 \$24	AREA/SCOPE ,207 ,408 ,357 200
CUSTOMERS IMPACTED:		2016 \$250 2017 \$250 2018 \$50 2019 \$50),000),000 ,000 ,000
OEB CAPITAL REF	- Misc. Control Room	2020 \$50 2021 \$50	,000 ,000
		TOTAL COST EST	MATE: \$925,172
LH PROJECT DRIV	ER: REL	LH SECTION #	250

6	CAPITAL PROJECT		Project Number:	18H7	
London	SUMMARY SHEET		Project Name:	Control Centre Modernization	
SYSTEM SERVICE			Start Date:	Jan-18	
			In-Service Date:	Dec-18	
Project Ti	tle: Control Centre Investment	- Digital W	ide Area Situational Awar	eness Display	
Risks to 0 Mitigation	Completion &The risks to compPlan:vendors and signiwide-area displayacquired.	letion are r ficant effor . Should in	ninimal. Relationships ha ts have been made to def ternal capabilities be insu	ve been established with a variety of ine precisely the requirements of a fficient, external expertise can be	
EVALUAT	ION OF OUTCOMES:				
Effici	ency, Customer Value, Reliability	The inve the syste efficience	estment will eliminate the em configuration in multip cies.	requirement to maintain a record of le formats leading to labour	
	Safety	Enhanci informat charged	ing the efficiency of work t tion in the Control Centre I with safely managing the	flows and the presentation of will increase the focus of Operators e system.	
	Cyber-Security, Privacy		Not Applicable The digitalization of our schematic system maps will create a direct line with other corporate technologies (e.g. GIS).		
Co-ordination, Interoperability		The digi line with			
Economic Development		Not App	licable		
	Environmental Benefits	Not App	licable		
ІМРАСТ Т	O O&M COSTS:				
Not Applie	cable				
ALTERNA Do nothin does not a Centre.	TIVES CONSIDERED: g; however, this alternative was rejected address the inefficient organization of the	because it Control			
LINK TO S	STRATEGIC PLAN:				
6.2.1 - En	nphasis on Reliability				
CUSTOM	ER ENGAGEMENT:				
Customer	s were not directly engaged regarding this	is project,			
reliability Engagem	r surveys indicate customers value impro (refer to DSP Section 3.2.4 Customer ent).	vements in			
	,		1		

CAPITAL PROJECT Project Number: 18H7 Project Name: **Control Centre Modernization SUMMARY SHEET** London Hydro Start Date: Jan-18 **SYSTEM SERVICE In-Service Date:** Dec-18 Project Title: Control Centre Investment - Digital Wide Area Situational Awareness Display Additional Information: Not Appllicable

Prepared By:	Hassan El-Madhoun, P.Eng. Distribution Engineer
Approved By:	William Milroy, P.Eng. Chief Engineer & V.P. of Operations