



BY EMAIL and RESS

Mark Rubenstein
mark@shepherdrubenstein.com
Dir. 647-483-0113

Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street
27th Floor
Toronto, Ontario
M4P 1E4

June 7, 2019
Our File: EB20190120

Attn: Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: EB-2019-0120 – Hydro One SECTR Cost Allocation – SEC Interrogatories

We are counsel to the School Energy Coalition (“SEC”). Pursuant to Procedural Order No. 1, please find interrogatories on behalf of SEC.

Yours very truly,
Shepherd Rubenstein P.C.

Original signed by

Mark Rubenstein

cc: Wayne McNally, SEC (by email)
Applicant and interested parties (by email)

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF *the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*,
S.O.1998, c. 15, (Schedule B);

AND IN THE MATTER OF an application by Hydro One Networks
Inc. to the Ontario Energy Board for an Order or Orders for Approval
of Attribution of Costs in the Supply to Essex County Transmission
Reinforcement.

INTERROGATORIES
ON BEHALF OF THE
SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION

SEC-1

Please explain all differences between what Hydro One originally proposed in EB-2014-0421 regarding allocation of costs, and the proposed in this Application.

SEC-2

Hydro One proposed to allocate 72.6% costs to the triggering load customer, Hydro One Distribution:

- a. Does Hydro One Distribution expect to allocate any of those costs to any of its embedded distributors or large users by way of a required a capital contribution?
- b. If the answer to part (a) is yes, please provide details of who will be impacted, the expected amount allocated to them, and the supporting calculations, regarding those amounts. (Note: SEC would expect to see information similar to what was provided in EB-2014-0421, Exhibit I-P2-2-9 Attachment 1).
- c. If any capital contributions will be required, please provide the specific provisions of the DSC which authorize Hydro One Distribution to require such a capital contribution.
- d. If any capital contributions will be required, does Hydro One envision the Board ever approving the allocation in a proceeding? Please explain your answer.
- e. If any capital contribution will be required, please explain how the allocation differs from what was proposed in Phase 2 of EB-2014-0421.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the School Energy Coalition this June 7, 2019.

Original signed by

Mark Rubenstein
Counsel for the School Energy Coalition