

Toronto Hydro Electric System Limited

2012, 2013 and 2014 IRM Rate Adjustments and ICM Rate Adders

EB-2012-0064

EXHIBIT LIST

Exh. K Exhibits Filed at the Oral Hearing

March 1, 2013

- 9.1 ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS.
- 9.2 BOMA FACTUM.

February 21, 2013

- 8.1 THESL COMPENDIUM OF EVIDENTIARY REFERENCES FOR SUBMISSIONS IN-CHIEF.

February 20, 2013

- 7.1 SEC COMPENDIUM OF DOCUMENTS.
- 7.2 SPREADSHEET ENTITLED: "DOWNTOWN TORONTO JET AREA LOAD FORECAST AND BREMNER MTS INCREMENTAL LOAD."
- 7.3 TABLE ENTITLED, "LIST OF CDM PROJECTS BY H.R. BACH."
- 7.4 ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE PRESS RELEASE.

February 19, 2013

- 6.1 MAP SHOWING VARIOUS TRANSFORMER STATIONS.
- 6.2 CVS OF ONTARIO POWER AUTHORITY WITNESSES.
- 6.3 OPA SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE.
- 6.4 ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE CROSS-EXAMINATION REFERENCE BOOK.
- 6.5 PREFILED EVIDENCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE.
- 6.6 LETTER DATED FEBRUARY 15, 2013 FROM TORONTO HYDRO TO THE ESA.

December 14, 2012

- 5.1 CROSS-EXAMINATION COMPENDIUM FROM CCC FOR PANEL 3.
- 5.2 CROSS-EXAMINATION COMPENDIUM FROM BOMA FOR PANEL 3.

December 13, 2012

- 4.1 AMPCO CROSS-EXAMINATION COMPENDIUM FOR PANEL 2b.
- 4.2 TORONTO HYDRO'S TWO SCENARIOS FROM A LETTER DATED DECEMBER 6, 2012.
- 4.3 TWO DOCUMENTS, ONE HEADED "THESL'S PROPOSED ICM ADDERS BASED ON OEB ICM FRAMEWORK" AND ONE HEADED "THESL'S UNFUNDED NET FIXED ASSETS DURING IRM PERIOD".
- 4.4 CITY OF TORONTO CROSS-EXAMINATION COMPENDIUM FOR WITNESS PANEL 3.
- 4.5 CITY OF TORONTO APPLICATION IN EB-2012-0250, DATED MAY 10TH, 2012.
- 4.6 COPY OF BELL CANADA VERSUS CRTC, WITH A COVERING LETTER.
- 4.7 DOCUMENT ENTITLED "CROSS-EXAMINATION COMPENDIUM OF THE SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION, PANEL 3."

December 12, 2012

- 3.1 CORRECTED TABLES SHOWING THE CONTRIBUTION OF SUBMERSIBLE TRANSFORMERS TO FEEDER CI AND FEEDER CHI FOR 2007 TO 2011.
- 3.2 SEC COMPENDIUM FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF WITNESS PANEL 2B.
- 3.3 BOMA COMPENDIUM FOR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF WITNESS PANEL 2B.

December 11, 2012

- 2.1 ENERGY PROBE'S CROSS-EXAMINATION COMPENDIUM FOR PANEL 2B, PART 1.
- 2.2 ENERGY PROBE'S CROSS-EXAMINATION COMPENDIUM FOR PANEL 2B, PART 2.
- 2.3 SERIES OF TABLES SHOWING CONTRIBUTION OF SUBMERSIBLE TRANSFORMERS TO FEEDER CI AND FEEDER CHI FOR 2007 TO 2011.

December 10, 2012

- 1.1 CROSS-EXAMINATION COMPENDIUM OF THE SCHOOL ENERGY COALITION FOR PANEL 1A.
- 1.2 ENERGY PROBE CROSS-EXAMINATION COMPEDIUM FOR WITNESS PANEL 1A.
- 1.3 ENERGY PROBE CROSS-EXAMINATION COMPENDIUM FOR PANEL 2A
- 1.4 THESL ICM BUSINESS CASES AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS.

UNDERTAKINGS

J

Response Filed

March 1, 2013

No undertakings were filed

J

Response Filed

February 21, 2013

No undertakings were filed

February 20, 2013

- | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| 7.1 | TO PROVIDE LOAD BY YEAR AND THE REVENUE BY YEAR FOR PROJECTED LOAD, INCREMENTAL LOAD ON THE STATION, AND THE ESTIMATED REVENUES FROM THE INCREMENTAL LOAD AT THE STATION. | February 20, 2013
(refer to Transcript Volume 7, page 83) |
| 7.2 | TO CONFIRM WHEN A PARTICULAR IN-SERVICE DATE FOR BREMNER WAS PLANNED. | February 20, 2013 |
| 7.3 | TO EXPLAIN PROJECTED 2015 INCREASE IN CAPACITY. | February 21, 2013 |
| 7.4 | TO PROVIDE WORDING OF THE TERMS OF REFERENCE PROVIDED TO MR. BACH BY ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE. | February 21, 2013 |

February 19, 2013

- | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 6.1 | TO PROVIDE AGGREGATE CAPACITY OF THE FIVE DOWNTOWN TRANSFORMER STATIONS. | February 20, 2013 |
| 6.2 | TO PROVIDE ESTIMATED NUMBER OF MEGAWATTS OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION THAT ARE GOING TO BE COMING ONLINE IN THE NEXT ONE TO FIVE YEARS OF UNDER 10 MEGAWATTS PER PROJECT. | February 20, 2013 |
| 6.3 | FOR FORECAST AT PAGE 9 OF THE NAVIGANT REPORT, to CONFIRM WHETHER PEAK DEMAND REDUCTION WAS APPLIED OR INCLUDED. | February 20, 2013 |
| 6.4 | TO PROVIDE A TABLE INDICATING THE AMOUNT OF (A) CONSERVATION, (B) DEMAND RESPONSE, AND (C) DISTRIBUTED GENERATION SUBTRACTED FROM THE LOAD FORECAST APPEARING AT PAGE 9 OF THE NAVIGANT REPORT, BREAKING OUT EACH OF THOSE THREE ELEMENTS IN THE TABLE FOR EACH YEAR INDICATED IN FIGURE 2. | February 21, 2013 |
| 6.5 | TO PROVIDE A TABLE INDICATING THE AMOUNT OF (A) CONSERVATION, (B) DEMAND RESPONSE AND (C) DISTRIBUTED GENERATION SUBTRACTED FROM THE LOAD FORECAST PER YEAR APPEARING IN TAB 4 ON PAGE 10 OF THE NAVIGANT REPORT, INCLUDING ASSUMPTIONS MADE. | February 21, 2013 |
| 6.6 | TO PROVIDE NEW LOAD FORECAST PROVIDED TO THE OPA IN JANUARY 2013, INCLUDING ANY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS JUSTIFYING THAT FORECAST. | February 22, 2013
(Appendix C – Confidential) |
| 6.7 | TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO DETERMINE TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY AND COST OF CONNECTING THE REQUIRED 16 FEEDER LINES FROM WINDSOR TO ESPLANADE, USING EXISTING TUNNELS OR OTHERWISE, THROUGH THE LEAST EXPENSIVE MEANS. | February 20, 2013 |

J**Response Filed****December 14, 2012**

5.1	TO RECAST THE TABLE IN J2.1 SO THE IN-SERVICE ADDITIONS INCLUDE THE CWIP.	December 21, 2012
5.2	TO PROVIDE ESTIMATE OF REVENUE REQUIREMENT OFFSETS FOR EACH ICM YEAR.	December 21, 2012
5.3	TO UPDATE REVENUE REQUIREMENTS FIGURES FOR 2012 AND 2013 DEPICTED IN TOP THREE ROWS OF TABLE 1, TAB 2, PAGE 13 OF THE UPDATED VERSION OF THE MANAGER'S SUMMARY.	December 21, 2012
5.4	TO CALCULATE REVENUE REQUIREMENT USING THESL'S PROXY FACTOR.	December 21, 2012
5.5	TO PROVIDE DEPRECIATION CALCULATION INCLUDING DEADBAND.	December 21, 2012
5.6	TO UPDATE SUMMARY TABLE AT TCQR TAB 7, 2-12	December 21, 2012
5.7	TO PROVIDE VIEWS ON CALCULATING TRUE-UPS	December 21, 2012
5.8	TO PROVIDE UPDATED FORM K2.	December 21, 2012
5.9	TO PROVIDE SUMMARY OF MAINTENANCE SAVINGS ACCRUING FROM REPLACEMENT OF CAPITAL EQUIPMENT.	December 21, 2012

December 13, 2012

4.1	TO CONFIRM, OF THE 61 FIBERTOP NETWORK UNITS BEING PROPOSED TO BE REPLACED, HOW MANY ARE IN VAULTS TO BE REPLACED AND HOW MANY IN VAULTS BEING PROPOSED TO HAVE REPLACED ROOFS.	December 21, 2012
4.2	TO CONFIRM WHETHER THESL HAS AN END-OF-LIFE FORECAST.	December 21, 2012

December 12, 2012

3.1	RE-FILE TABLE 10-2 WITH UPDATED ASSUMPTIONS, AND PROVIDE DESCRIPTOR OF ASSET AS A WHOLE AND WHY THE ASSET HAS TO BE CONSIDERED A WHOLE.	December 21, 2012
3.2	TO PROVIDE THE COST OF REPLACING A MULTI-TAP VERSUS REPLACING A TRANSFORMER.	December 13, 2012
3.3	FOR ALL HYDRO ONE CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS THESL IS SEEKING, PROVIDE ESTIMATED TIME FOR THE WORK TO BE COMPLETED FOR EACH PROJECT.	December 13, 2012
3.4	TO PROVIDE TOTAL NUMBER OF KILOMETRES OF CONDUCTOR AND CABLE BEING REMOVED, AND NUMBER OF POLES AND SWITCHES.	December 13, 2012

December 11, 2012

2.1	TO PROVIDE BREAKDOWN BY PROJECT AND PROJECT SEGMENT OF ACTUAL IN-SERVICE ADDITIONS.	December 11, 2012
2.2	TO PROVIDE DETAILS ON WHICH FEEDERS FALL WITHIN THIS ICM APPLICATION.	December 13, 2012

J**Response Filed**

- | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 2.3 | TO CONFIRM WHETHER THESL OWNS DIRECTIONAL ANY BORING EQUIPMENT. | December 13, 2012 |
| 2.4 | TO CONFIRM IF OPTION 6 ON PAGE 25 OF THE ENERGY PROBE CROSS-EXAMINATION COMPENDIUM FOR WITNESS PANE 2B, PART 1 IS CORRECT | December 13, 2012 |

December 10, 2012

- | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1.1 | TO PROVIDE MORE UP-TO-DATE FIGURE OF WHERE SPENDING IS AS OF TODAY OR DECEMBER 1. | December 11, 2012 |
| 1.2 | TO CONFIRM ROADBLOCKS TO PROVIDING APPENDICES IDENTIFIED IN CAPGEMINI REPORT, AND CONFIRM AGE OF DATA. | December 13, 2012 |
| 1.3 | TO CONFIRM WHETHER THERE WERE ANY FORMAL INSTRUCTIONS GIVEN TO EMPLOYEES WITH RESPECT TO THE REBASING DECISION. | December 11, 2012 |
| 1.4 | TO ADVISE WHETHER THERE ARE MEMOS OR DIRECTIONS FROM SENIOR EXECUTIVE REGARDING APPROVAL TO SPEND BEYOND THE MATERIALITY THRESHOLD. | December 11, 2012 |
| 1.5 | FOR TABLE 1 ON BOARD STAFF IR NO. 28, to RERUN THE NUMBERS FOR JOB NUMBER ONE USING \$3 AND \$1.50 AND THEN \$6 AND \$3 AS THE INPUT ASSUMPTIONS. | December 11, 2012 |
| 1.6 | TO PROVIDE REFERENCE IN BULLET POINT 3 ON PAGE 5 OF BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORY No. 62. | December 11, 2012 |