McCarthy Tétrault LLP
Suite 5300, 66 Wellington St. W
Toronto, ON M5K 1E6
Canada
Tel: 416- 362-1812
Fax: 416-886-0673

George Vegh
Direct Line: 416-601-7709
Email: gvegh@mccarthy.ca

mccarthy tétrault

April 20, 2012

Via Courier

Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700
Toronto ON
M4P 1E4

Dear Madame:

Re: Board File No.: EB-2011-0140
Request for Directions

We are counsel for TransCanada Power Transmission LTD ("TPT") in the above noted proceeding. This letter is written on behalf of TPT, Upper Canada Transmission Inc. ("UCT"), AltaLink Ontario L.P. (AltaLink), Iccon Transmission Inc. (Iccon) and, RES Canada Transmission LP (RES) (collectively, the "New Entrant Transmitters") to request that the Board provide direction with respect to the treatment of Issue 19 on the Issues List included as Appendix A to Procedural Order No. 2 in this proceeding. That issue is as follows: "19. What information should Hydro One Networks Inc. and Great Lakes Power Transmission LP be required to disclose?"

By e-mail dated April 9, 2012, Board Staff circulated lists of documents provided by Hydro One Inc. ("Hydro One") and Great Lakes Power Transmission ("GLPT") (collectively, the "Incumbent Transmitters") which were described as "documents relating to the development of the East-West Tie." These lists contain documents that certainly appear to be relevant to the Board's evaluation of proposals respecting the development of the East-West Tie line.

In the preamble to its list of documents, Hydro One stated that, "This list has been produced for discussion purposes only. The documents identified in this list will not necessarily be released by Hydro One Networks Inc. ("HONI") in this proceeding." The preamble to the GLPT list of documents states that, "GLPT has in its possession the following information that it can provide following approval from the Board for release." Neither document addresses any reasons that may be advanced with respect to their production, such as a claim for confidentiality. Further, the GLPT letter does not indicate what type of "approval" it is seeking from the Board.

In order to scope and provide context for the Board's determination of Issue 19, it would be helpful for both of the Incumbent Transmitters to advise if they object to providing any of the documents in the list and, if so, why. Further, if they do not object to produce those documents,

then the New Entrant Transmitters request that they provide such documents immediately, and without the necessity of a Board order.

Making this request through the Board is somewhat unusual, but, given their varying positions at the stakeholder sessions in this matter, and the language used in the preambles, it is not clear whether or why either of the Incumbent Transmitters do object to producing these documents.

It would be helpful if the Board could direct the Incumbent Transmitters to advise of their position on the production of documents and to produce whatever documents that they do not object to producing by April 25, 2012, so that all parties can have that information available in time for their submissions on May 7, 2012.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Sincerely,

George Vegh

GAV:mt
att.

CC: All Parties