

**Draft Issues List for
EB-2011-0140 East-West Tie Line Designation
Phase 1**

Decision Criteria

1. Should any of the general decision criteria listed by the Board in its policy *Framework for Transmission Project Development Plans* (EB-2010-0059), be discarded? Those criteria are: organization, technical capability, financial capability, construction schedule, costs, landowner and other consultations, and other factors based on the evidence.
2. Should the decision criteria be refined to take into account the individual circumstances of the East-West Tie Line project? If yes, how?
3. Are there any additional criteria that the Board should consider? For example, should one or more criteria be added as a result of the Minister's letter to the Board dated March 29, 2011?

Relative Importance of the Decision Criteria

4. Should the Board assign relative importance to the decision criteria through rankings, groupings or weightings?
5. If yes, what should those rankings, groupings or weightings be?

Filing Requirements

6. What additions, deletions or changes should be made to the Filing Requirements (G-2010-0059)?
7. Each applicant has the option of filing a plan for the East-West Tie Line that differs from the Reference Option. Should each applicant also be required to file a plan based on the Reference Option?

Reporting Obligations and Performance Milestones

8. What reporting obligations should be imposed on the designated transmitter (subject matter and timing)?
9. What are the performance milestones that the designated transmitter should be required to meet, and how are these to be reported?

- For the development period?
- For the construction period?

10. Should the Board determine, before plans are filed, the consequences of failure to meet these reporting obligations or performance milestones? If yes, what should the consequences be?

Consequences of Designation

11. To what extent will the designated transmitter be held to the content of its plan?

12. What costs will a designated transmitter be entitled to recover in the event that the project does not move forward to a successful application for leave to construct?

Process

13. The Board has received objections to a written hearing. Should the Board proceed by way of written hearing?

14. Should the Board clarify the roles of the Board's expert advisor, the IESO, the OPA, Hydro One Networks Inc. and Great Lakes Power Transmission LP in the designation process? If yes, what should those roles be?

15. What information should Hydro One Networks Inc. and Great Lakes Power Transmission be required to disclose?

16. Are any special conditions required regarding the participation in the designation process of any or all registered transmitters?

17. What should be the required date for filing a plan?