

# Fax Cover Sheet

Date: JANUARY 06 2011.

Fax #: 416 440 7656. # of Pages: (including this page) 2.

To: BOARD SECRETARY

From: SUSAN SMITH.

Company: ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD.

Subject: EB 2010-6097 NOTICE

Comments: I OBJECT TO A WRITTEN HEARING,  
AND REQUEST THE BOARD NOT HOLD A WRITTEN  
HEARING BUT AN ORAL HEARING ON THIS.  
EB-21000 0097. APPLICATION

SUSAN SMITH.

If any pages are missing or not legible, please contact the sender at (519) \_\_\_\_\_

Thank you.

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD  
PO BOX 2319  
27<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR  
2300 YONGE STREET  
TORONTO ON M4P 1E4  
ATTENTION; BOARD SECRETARY  
FILINGS: <https://www.errr.oeb.gov.on.ca/>

Attention Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary, original signer

I request intervenor status in this letter of intervention. I am, or may be affected by the outcome of this proceeding.

Your published notice EB-2010-0097 that appeared in London Free Press December 20, 2010 states " The Board will not award costs in this proceeding as the applicant has not made any proposals that deviate from the Board's guidelines for rate adjustments."

I object to a written hearing and am requesting an oral hearing as the substance of London Hydro's application filed with the Board deals with the potential change in the delivery component of the bill that may result from changes in the delivery rates.

The application was filed under the Ontario Energy Board's guidelines for 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Incentive Regulation. 3rd Generation Incentive Regulation . ."provides for a mechanistic and formulaic adjustment to distribution rates between cost of service applications."

Nowhere in the notice is the reader offered the substance of any alpha-numeric formula to decipher any substance of the mechanism which may be used for an "adjustment".

I object to the unsubstantiated statement that "delivery charges . . .vary depending on the amount of electricity consumed."

What is the justification for a General Service Customer [undefined] consuming 2000kWh [exactly 2000kWh, no more, no less?] per month and having a monthly demand of less than 50kW to "experience an approximate 5.1% increase in their current delivery charges."?

To quote the application, "London Hydro indicates that if the application is approved as filed, residential customers consuming 800 kWh per month [800 kWh exactly, no more, no less]? Would experience an approximate 6.6% increase in their current delivery charges."

These increases are excessive.

The absence of reference to the policy of .018 adjustment to customers [general service and the I C I customer categories] for support to lower income electricity customers bears no relation to my objection and request for intervenor status at an oral hearing.

SUSAN SALITH  
[REDACTED]